

**Marion County Voter Pamphlet
GENERAL ELECTION
November 5, 2002**

Marion County Commissioner Position #1

[Mary Lou Cornejo- Democratic](#)

PO BOX 7945

Salem, OR 97303

Phone: 503-361-0188 (home)

503-585-4969 (work)

OCCUPATION: Program Assistant, OSU Marion County; Small Business Owner

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Retired, Oregon Army National Guard

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Vincennes University, Vincennes, Indiana

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Salem/Keizer School District Superintendent's Oversight Committee; Board Member of the Woodburn Downtown Association; Member of American Veterans Association

Mary Lou Cornejo is right for Marion County

With 24 years in the Oregon Army National Guard, I learned to get the job done through teamwork.

Commitment

- To work cooperatively with other members of the Marion County Board
- Tough on crime
- Bring stable family wage jobs to Marion County
- Take care of our seniors

Why I am right for the county

I support public safety!

- I support sending the public safety levy to the voters since Marion County is rated #1 in growth of violent crime and
- I support building a juvenile facility with federal dollars so that the county will not incur lawsuits because of the current overcrowded facility; and

I am a team player

Cornejo – Tough on Crime

Public Safety is #1 on my agenda. Doing nothing is not an option! I support common sense solutions without undermining the stability of the county budget.

Jobs

As a small business owner I know the County needs to attract new diversified industry and business to Marion County. Important components to this strategy are a good infrastructure; a safe community and an educated workforce o attract family wage jobs.

Vote Cornejo

John Gest, Stayton Chamber of Commerce, Director of Economic Development: "As a business owner I know our community will benefit from Mary Lou Cornejo's vision and priorities for a diversified economy and educated workforce."

(This information furnished by Mary Lou Cornejo and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Patricia Milne- Republican](#)

PO BOX 627

Woodburn, OR 97071

Phone: 503-982-6097 (home)

503-588-5212 (work)

OCCUPATION: Marion County Commissioner

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Small Business Owner

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Graduate, Mt. Lakes, High School, Claremont School

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: State Representative (1993-1998); Majority Whip, 1995; Chair, Human Resources Committee, Task Force on Juvenile Court System and Juvenile Delinquency; Chair, Joint Interim Committee on Hispanic Affairs; Chair, House Education subcommittee, Ways and Means General Government subcommittee. Woodburn School Board, vice-chair, 1992, School District Strategic Planning Committee; Marion County Educational Service District Budget Committee.

COMMUNITY SERVICE: Woodburn Chamber of Commerce; Blanchet Catholic School Board; St. Joseph's Shelter Advisory Board; Willamette Valley Hospice Board.

Dear Friends,

When I first ran for the Marion County Board of Commissioners, I promised to work toward rebuilding the faith and credibility in county government, returning our government to its core functions, restoring employee moral, and regaining control of the county budget.

Since taking office more than three years ago, I have worked hard to keep those promises. I have been to every one of the 20 communities that make up our county, helping literally hundreds of people who have had problems with Marion County government. I have worked hard to build relationships not only with elected officials, but also with county employees who are the backbone of Marion County. And, yes, I have made the hard votes when I've had to, standing up for the principles we share.

I have worked with farmers to ensure they can continue to work their land. I have worked with businesses on vital economic stimulus issues. I have worked with law enforcement to keep our communities safe. And, I have worked with state transportation officials to improve our roads.

There is more to do, however, and I am asking for your vote so I can return to the Board of Commissioners to continue working with you and for you.

Thank you for your continued support. You can always trust me to work hard to put your interests first.

Sincerely,
Patti Milne

(This information furnished by Patricia Milne and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Marion County Commissioner Position #2

[Roger Charles Shipman- Constitution](#)

PO Box 7546

Salem, OR 97303

Phone: 503-678-2550 (home)

503-641-7287 (work)

OCCUPATION: Software Engineer

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Software Engineer

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: B.S., Computer Science, Portland State University, 1996

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: None

The Founders agreed that life and liberty are God-given rights.

With these rights come responsibilities. My task, as commissioner, is to take on those responsibilities.

First, to honor God. Without His blessing, we are headed for certain destruction.

Second, to protect life. Without the right to life, all the other rights are meaningless.

My responsibility as a legislator is to protect the most vulnerable – the unborn. In this county, the government encourages—and pays for—minor children to abort their own children, without the knowledge and consent of their parents. I will not only refuse to pass, but seek to repeal, laws that kill unborn children and permanently harm born children. I will seek to restore parents to their God-ordained rôle as guardians of their sons and daughters.

Third, to restore liberty. We have surrendered more of our freedom to the State (even at the county level!) than ever the colonists did to the tyrant King George.

The government's job is to keep people from harming one another, and to provide police and courts to punish people who do. The government also should keep up public roads. But it is not the government's job to provide cradle-to-grave services for all of the needs and wants of the citizen.

As Thomas Jefferson said, that government which governs least, governs best.

As commissioner, I will work to make you more free—more free of regulations on what you can do with your life and property, more free to keep the money you earn and spend it on what you choose.

Spend wisely.

Our government is one under God. He takes his responsibilities seriously, to defend the innocent; so must we.

(This information furnished by Roger Shipman and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Bill Burgess- Democratic](#)

4676 Commercial SE, Box 370

Salem, OR 97302

Phone: 503-370-9044 (home)

OCCUPATION: Pharmacist, Oregon State Hospital; Medicine Shoppe.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Pharmacy manager, juvenile group home worker, electrical construction, farm work, pizza delivery manager.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Master, Public Administration, Lewis & Clark College; B.S., Pharmacy, Oregon State University.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Present: Salem-Keizer Schools Bond Steering Committee. Past: Salem City Council, 1990-1998, (President 1998), with audit, budget, fire, police, water and sewer, transportation, county solid waste, and city-county data center policy development. Liberty-Boone Neighborhood Association (Chair).

• What Others Say •

"Bill understands the importance of small business to our community."

Sandi Kasprzyk, RPh., owner, Medicine Shoppe Pharmacies, Salem & Dallas.

"Bill puts public safety first."

Tom Dourgarian, Retired Deputy Sheriff; Past-President, Marion County Law Enforcement Association.

"Bill brings openness, balance and accountability to the Commission."

Mary Kamppi, concerned community advocate and teacher.

"Bill provides needed leadership, stewardship and fiscal responsibility to County business."

Bob Wallace, Salem City Councilor; Assistant Superintendent, Oregon State Penitentiary, retired; Past-President, Oregon State Department of Korean War Veterans.

Dear Marion County Voters,

I am running to protect our quality of life in Marion County by promoting public safety and controlling urban sprawl.

Results! While serving on the Salem City Council I made sure we:

- Strengthened our police force by 30 and firefighter paramedics by 15, improving emergency response times significantly.
- Protected our excellent drinking water from pollution and shortage.
- Made it safer for children around schools throughout Salem with street and sidewalk improvements and flashing caution lights

My Top Priorities for Marion County:

- Prevention and early intervention.
- Increase deputy patrols and jail space.
- Protect our farmlands, forest lands and watersheds.
- Work cooperatively, both within the Commission, and with others to prioritize and provide public services effectively, efficiently and responsibly.

As a husband, father, pharmacist and former elected official, I know that protecting our community's health requires persistence, and a true spirit of cooperation. I would be honored by your vote to allow me to work for you on the County Commission.

Thank You----Bill Burgess

Bill Burgess... Gets Results for Us All

(This information furnished by William J. (Bill) Burgess and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Greg Harr- Nominated by Individual Electors \(Petition\)](#)

1070 Summer St NE

Salem, OR 97301

Phone: 503-566-6884 (home)

503-767-3507 (work)

OCCUPATION: Small Business Owner

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: VISTA Volunteer, Legislative Aide, Lobbyist National VISTA Alliance, Community Organizer, Food Coop Operator, Assistant Buyer, Receiving Manager, and Marion County Employee for twelve years.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Two years St. Francis College Brooklyn NY. Political Science Major, Minor Latin American History.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Marion County Health Task Force, Marion County Health Insurance Study Committee, Marion County Finance Committee, Marion County Performance Evaluation Committee, Marion County Growth Management Committee, Marion County Labor Management Committee.

Marion County Relay for Life Coordinator.

Union President Local 294, SEIU Local 503, OPEU

My Priorities Are:

Stabilize the County's Financial Situation.

- There are revenues in the County not being utilized at this time.

Unify the County.

- We must view any projects effect on the entire County.

Improve Labor-Management Relations.

- We need to work together on the serious problems Marion County faces.
- No employee who disagrees with a project, has ideas to save money, or an innovation should be afraid to come forward.
- Our employees are our strength.

Improve County accessibility.

- Hold Board meetings in the evening.
- Open Department Budgets to citizen input.

- Expand public input into the Budget process.

Accountability.

- Starting with the Commissioners, hold all Marion County Employees to the same high standard. Expectations and Policies should be uniformly enforced, with no exceptions.

Marion County must lead in regional issues such as growth, resource protection, and the economy. We must create partnerships with all the cities in Marion County to provide efficient services.

“Gregg is a strong advocate for Working Families.” Evelyn Pech President Local 294

“Gregg’s Leadership and grasp of issues resulted in a Health Plan that saved the County money.” David Hart wig, Former County Business Service Director.

“Una persona con buena integridad, buenos valores, siempre trabajando para nuestra comunidad. Mi voto es para Gregg Harr.” Sonny Ortiz Child Health Initiative

(This information furnished by Gregg Harr and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Janet Carlson- Republican](#)

4560 Patriot Ct SE

Salem, OR 97302

Phone: 503-399-7451 (home)

503-569-0376 (work)

OCCUPATION: State Representative, Small Business Owner

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Teacher, junior high activities director, state manager, budget analyst

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Ph.D., Education, University of Oregon; M.A., Political Science, Brigham Young University; B.A., Political Science, Willamette University

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE:

2001-2002 Vice Chair, Revenue Committee; Joint Ways & Means Human Services Subcommittee; Chair, Interim Patient Choice in Health Care Committee

1998-2002 Precinct Committee Person

1997-1999 Administrator, House Human Resources. Children & Families Committees

1996 Interim Director, Lane County Commission on Children and Families

1991-1995 Budget Director, Regional Coordinator, Oregon Commission on Children and Families

1989-1991 Budget & Policy Analyst, Oregon Executive Department

COMMUNITY SERVICE: Governor’s Task Force on the Future of Seniors and Persons with Disabilities; State Interagency Coordinating Council on Early Intervention/Early Childhood Special Education; Oregon Commission on Children and Families; Oregon Commission on Black Affairs; Salem Social Services Advisory Board; Teen Parent Program, Downtown Learning Center business partnerships; state employee food drive.

FAMILY: Husband Dee Kevin Carlson; children Erika 23, Justin 20, and Christian 16.

JANET CARLSON

ENERGY EXPERIENCE RESULTS

“Janet Carlson was a student in one of my U.S. history classes, and her outstanding performances as a student have continued in her adult and professional life. I am convinced that Janet Carlson is not only an outstanding person; she is also the best candidate for county commissioner, Position 2.”

Rep. Vic Backlund, Keizer

“I vote for the person, not the party, and I vote for the entire person. Janet Carlson lives by principles of honesty, responsibility, dedication and service. Her reasoning ability will allow her to bring together divergent ideas and create constructive solutions.”

Tamara Blair, Salem

“I have found Janet Carlson to be thoughtful and committed to working on issues that impact families. Janet has the ability to see issues from both the ‘big picture’ and the day-to-day detail.”

Rebecca Hernandez, Silverton

“Janet Carlson is a strong supporter of senior issues. She is intelligent, caring, and responsible.”

Lee Hazelwood, Stayton

MARION COUNTY FARM BUREAU ENDORSEMENT

(This information furnished by Janet Carlson and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Marion County Sheriff - Nonpartisan

[Raul Ramirez](#)

367 Sanrodee Dr SE

Salem, OR 97301

Phone: 503-370-8286 (home)

503-588-7971 (work)

OCCUPATION: Marion County Sheriff

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Juvenile Probation Officer; Corrections Officer; Deputy Sheriff; Corporal; Detective; Sergeant; Lieutenant; Instructor, Community Policing and Community Relations; 21-years of Supervisory, Management, and Executive Law Enforcement experience

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Woodburn High School graduate; attended Southern Oregon College & Oregon College of Education. Certified police officer with 1,500 + hours of Law Enforcement, Supervisor, and Management, and Executive Training.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Marion County Sheriff, U.S. Senate County Council; Marion County Public Safety Coordinating Council; Oregon State Sheriff's Association, Oregon Youth Authority, Governor's Public Safety and Planning Council, Association of Oregon Counties.

SHERIFF RAMIREZ – A COMMUNITY LEADER

- Pro-active Sheriff
- Actively involved with state and local community groups
- A law enforcement professional committed to serving you

SHERIFF RAMIREZ – TOUGH ON CRIMINALS

- Zero tolerance – drugs and gangs
- Force criminals to be accountable
- Law enforcement presence throughout the county

SHERIFF RAMIREZ – RESTORE JUSTICE

- Proactive in community policing
- Protect the rights of victims
- Enhance prevention programs
- Prevent victimization of seniors

SHERIFF RAMIREZ – COST EFFECTIVE SERVICES

- Efficient use of limited resources, utilize technology
- Build interagency cooperation
- Support community policing and create new partnerships

"We must continue to work together to reduce crime by involving residents in the community." SHERIFF RAUL RAMIREZ

RE-ELECT SHERIFF RAUL RAMIREZ

(This information furnished by Raul Ramirez and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Marion County Treasurer - NonPartisan

[Laurie Steele](#)

701 SW Lupine Ct

Sublimity, OR 97385

Phone: 503-769-9779 (home)

503-588-5034 (work)

OCCUPATION: Marion County Treasurer

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Appointed Marion County Treasurer in 2002, Marion County Tax Collector 2002, Marion County Deputy Treasurer 1999 to 2002, Marion County Human Resources 1992 – 1999

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Chemeketa Community College; The Pacific Institute, Oregon Leadership Institute; Ames Senior High School

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Marion County Treasurer, Marion County Tax Collector, Marion County Deputy Treasurer, Twelve years in Marion County government

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT: Salem Downtown Association, United Way of the Mid-Willamette Valley, Capitol City Exchange Club of Salem

VOTE FOR LAURIE STEELE

A SECURE INVESTMENT IN MARION COUNTY'S FUTURE

LAURIE STEELE was unanimously appointed Treasurer of Marion County by the elected County Commissioners.

LAURIE STEELE has proven fiscal responsibility for over 300 million dollars that annually passes through the Marion County Treasury.

LAURIE STEELE is the only candidate with the on-the-job experience necessary to get the job done.

LAURIE STEELE has developed strong and cooperative relationships with Marion County's taxing districts, Marion County departments and Marion County leadership.

LAURIE STEELE has provided responsible, conservative and sound fiscal management of your tax dollars.

LAURIE STEELE is respected, honest and is the hardworking Treasurer who has proven she works for you!

"Laurie Steele fully understands the job of Marion County Treasurer and has done a great job as Treasurer this year. She is bright, articulate and hard working. I support Treasurer Laurie Steele and urge voters to elect her without hesitation."

Mike Ryan, Chair, Marion County Board of Commissioners

"Laurie Steele served as my deputy for over three years. During that period, she worked closely with me and developed the expertise needed to serve as your Marion County Treasurer." Ralph Grim, Marion County Treasurer 1980 – 2002

VOTE TO KEEP LAURIE STEELE YOUR MARION COUNTY TREASURER
EXPERIENCE COUNTS!

Endorsed by: Mike Ryan, Chairman, Marion County Board of Commissioners; Ralph Grim, Marion County Chief Administrative Officer; Dale Penn, Marion County District Attorney; Randy Franke, Marion County Commissioner
(This information furnished by Laurie J. Steele and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Carl D. Pitts](#)

4777 Lisa St. NE
Salem, OR 97305
Phone: 503-393-2670 (home)
503-566-3959 (work)

OCCUPATION: Accountant, Marion County, 1986-present

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Accounting Manager, Colonial Pacific, 1982-84; Auditor, State of Oregon, 1980-82; Assistant Controller, Evergreen Helicopters, 1978-80

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: California State University, Long Beach California, B.S., 1971

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: NONE

Marion County has a very adequate General Fund balance to continue present level of all Public Services with no cuts or new taxes.

My goals are:

1. To maintain all General Fund Services (ie: staffing of Sheriff's Office and jail)
2. Stop excess spending on defunct computer system(s) and other unnecessary projects.
3. Increase Public Safety to all areas of the County(especially the Canyon Area)
4. Unify all cities in Marion County by including them in decision making for County issues.
5. Bring unity of purpose and intent to Marion County.

(This information furnished by Carl D. Pitts and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Soosie Sullivan](#)

PO BOX 132
Turner, OR 97392
Phone: 503-743-3269 (home)
503-588-5164 (work)

OCCUPATION: Property Appraiser, Marion County

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Real Estate Agent, Russ Hicks Realty

Bookkeeper for Sullivan Enterprises Inc., Construction Company

Kaiser Permanente, Pharmacy

Marion County, Assessor's Office, Assessment Clerk, to Present Property Appraiser

Health Department, W.I.C., Family Planning

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Graduated Stayton Union High School

A.A. Chemeketa Community College

B.S. Oregon State University

Western Medical Advisor College Of Medical/Dental Office Management

Licensed Real Estate Agent

Registered Appraiser

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Appointed Turner City Council 1987-1990

Elected Turner City Council 2001-Present

Reduced the speed limit on Delaney Road

Initiated, procured funding, and assisted with the photo identification and fingerprinting project for the Turner area school children

Non Government Experience:

Scholarship Chairperson, Alpha Omicron Pi, 1981

Marion County Quality Service Award, 1996, 1998

Volunteered on construction of A.C. Gilbert's Discovery Village

Awarded Volunteer of the Year YMCA Child Development, 1998

Member Turners Parents Club 2000- Present

Started Sharing Closet

Inventoried books for Parent Library

Married 19 years, with one child

“Working for
the
working class.”

Endorsed by Evie Pech, Public Works, MCEA President.

Education and Experience.

Bookkeeping knowledge, City of Turner budgets, negotiating with Calpine Inc., working with the General Fund.

I will develop and improve methods of service that are a benefit to Marion County Citizens.

I will provide prudent investment management to help keep down Government costs.

I will provide sound fiscal management of your hard earned tax dollars.

Thank you for voting
Soosie Sullivan
Marion County Treasurer

God Bless America

(This information furnished by Soosie Sullivan and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[T.J. Schaffer](#)

PO BOX 457

Turner, OR 97392

Phone: 503-743-2999 (home)

503-743-2999 (work)

OCCUPATION: Small business owner, Certified Public Accountant (CPA)

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Certified Public Accountant (CPA); State-federal program management; US Navy (Honorable Service).

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Master of Business Administration (MBA, University of Oregon); Bachelor of Science; Major studies in computer sciences and related experience.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Oregon statewide program management; state auditing and management advisory services; Planning Commissioner.

Residence: East Marion County, more than twenty-five years.

Personal Information: Married, almost twenty-five years; we have two sons.

Interests: Parenting, mentoring and encouraging kids.

Statement of Intent:

I intend to promote financial integrity by recognizing important financial problems, by encouraging economic recovery of stolen assets and helping to modernizing county management.

Assuring financial integrity is a professional task, as much as it is a firm and persistent attitude. Marion County collects, spends and distributes taxes, collections, debt proceeds, grants, fees, fines, transfers and other revenues and manages investments. It also makes contracts and complies with state and federal laws, while swiftly implementing sound business, accounting, budget and professional auditing practices. Marion county is responsible for all these technical tasks and does not have centralized management.

Marion county expects and deserves professional management.

Marion County does not have a county auditor and presently has no elected treasurer to protect against skillfully executed financial frauds, or to help with recovery. Fraud that cost citizens and Marion County was reported in public utility rates and retirement funds. Perpetrators of fraud have been smart, powerful, professionally trained and are not in jail. Small effort has been made to recover what citizens lost, and will pay in extra taxes.

I propose to apply technology and improve public and administrative access to records, budget and other controls and urge repair of fraud problems.

It's time for the Treasurer to be a qualified financial professional.

As Treasurer, I will help Marion County citizens.

Elect me, and know you will have a skillful and capable advocate.

Elect

T. J. Schaffer

Marion County Treasurer

<[www open org/schaffer](http://www.open.org/schaffer)>

(This information furnished by T. J. Schaffer and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Jim Keller](#)

1919 Mistwood Dr NE

Keizer, OR 97303

Phone: 503-390-2768 (home)

503-559-2164 (work)

OCCUPATION: Semi Retired

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Owner, Keller One Hour Photo 1988-2002; Manager for Stanley Smith Security in Portland, San Francisco and Trojan Nuclear Power Plant and Regional Manager 1976-1988; Marion County Deputy Sheriff 1970-1976; Correctional Officer for Oregon State Penitentiary 1969-1970 and US Navy 1965-1969.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: American Management Association- Management, Chemeketa Community College and Oregon College of Education — Law Enforcement, North Salem High School.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Vice Chair/ Treasurer for Marion County Fair Board; Keizer City Council 1992-2000; Council President 1999; Keizer Chamber President- two terms; Chair for Noise Ordinance Task Force; Chair for Liquor License Task Force; River Road Redevelopment Board.

Community Involvement:

Marion County Fair Board, Rotary, St. Edwards Church, Elks, Keizer First Citizen 2001, Merchant of the year 1995 and Keizer Heritage Foundation.

TIME FOR A DIFFERENCE

Despite having a \$265 million dollar budget, Marion County has never had an internal auditing process.

JIM KELLER will work to create an auditing process for Marion County to eliminate waste and make sure our tax dollars are used efficiently and effectively.

JIM KELLER will work with other county officers to make Marion County more efficient, resulting in lower costs.

JIM KELLER will work with officials to better use the county lobbyist to increase Marion County's share of state and federal grants.

JIM KELLER will take the lead in developing a county wide purchasing system that would reduce the costs for goods and services to all area governments.

JIM KELLER will improve accountability by requiring county receivables to be posted to the proper cost centers and accounts.

JIM KELLER will ensure timely deposits of payments to generate more interest income to support the county services.

(This information furnished by Jim Keller and is printed exactly as submitted.)

David T. Wilson

PO BOX 4694

Salem, OR 97302

Phone: 503-365-1487 (home)

503-910-4070 (work)

CITIES

AUMSVILLE

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Steven Sugg

Harold L. White

COUNCILOR (vote for 3) (4 year term)

Robert Baugh, Jr.

David H. Drews

Wendell Whistler

AURORA

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

[Johnathan Gibson](#)

OCCUPATION: Retired

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Electrical Engineer Hewlett Packard, Agilent Technologies

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND:

BSc Agronomy Washington State University

MSc Plant Physiology University of British Columbia

Certificate of Continuing Johns Hopkins University

Engineering

MSc Electrical Engineering Arizona State University

PhD Electrical Engineering University of Idaho

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Aurora Budget Committee for five years.

Chair of Aurora Budget Committee for one year.

Aurora Planning Commission for five years.

Chair of the Aurora Planning Commission for 9 months.

Significant new services have been completed by the City of Aurora in the past two years and many more improvements are needed to prepare the City for the growth that has started to occur. The City water system needs to be updated, the streets need to be surveyed for storm drainage, the City Park should be improved and there is the possibility, someday of a greenbelt walkway around Aurora, which would add greatly to the access and recreational resources of Aurora. Hopefully, the spirited volunteers who have helped the City before can be counted on build significant parts of these valuable resources. Few small Cities have benefited from the contributions of its citizens as greatly as Aurora.

(This information furnished by Jonathon S. Gibson and is printed exactly as submitted.)

COUNCILOR (vote for 2) (4 year term)

Marva Fabien

Patricia Heid

DETROIT

COUNCILOR (vote for 5) two (2) four (4) year positions and three (3) two (2) year positions

Mike G. Cornish

Harold Hills

Todd A. Larson

Greg W. Sheppard

Darlene Thompson

Terrence D. Zinkel

DONALD

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Phil Deas

COUNCILOR (vote for 3) (4 year term)

Malcolm L. Bentz

Brian T. Cobb

Michael Dobaj

William F. Richard

GATES (certify to Linn County)

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Kathy A. Sherman

COUNCILOR (vote for 3) (4 year term)

Chuck Borgia

Mary Flander

GERVAIS

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Sandra Foote

COUNCILOR (vote for 2) (4 year term)

Fay Ladd

Robert W. Hawkins

HUBBARD

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Don Thwing

COUNCILOR (vote for 2) (4 year term)

Hildred Huysoon

Clara A. Karsten

IDANHA (certify to Linn County)

COUNCILOR (vote for 3) (2- 4 year term and 1- 2 year term)

Christi L. Ammon
Kelly Lucas

JEFFERSON

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Michael D. Myers
Gilberto Yzaguirre

COUNCILOR (vote for 3)

Colby McCormick

KEIZER

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

[Lore Christopher](#)

OCCUPATION: Human Resource Director, State of Oregon

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Branch Manager – employment company, Human/Technical Resource Manager – national retailer.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: George Fox University, BA; Portland State University, MPA

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Keizer Mayor 2000-present;

Keizer City Councilor 1998-1999;

Keizer Citizens Advisory Board for Parks and Recreation 1993-1999;

Council Liaison-Community Oriented Policing (COP) Committee;

Member-City of Keizer Budget Committee;

Youth Compact.

Lore Christopher is a proven Keizer Leader. From her experience, she knows what's important to Keizer residents:

- 5 years on the Keizer Little League Board of Directors
- 10 years on the Parks and Recreation Board
- 5 years on the Keizer City Council, the last 2 years as mayor
- 5 year volunteer at the Christmas food barrel drive
- 14 years as a Keizer resident

Lore Christopher delivers results for Keizer:

- Saved Keizer taxpayers \$1 million by fighting to eliminate a bureaucratic access charge for the Chemawa interchange.
- Saved Keizer residents more than \$100,000 in cable fees, getting our own cable channel (Keizer-23) in the process.
- Saved Keizer homeowners thousands of dollars in sewer charges by fighting against a surcharge proposed by Salem.

Lore Christopher knows the challenges facing Keizer, and she has the answers to meet those challenges:

- The Chemawa Activity Center is the last major development on the I-5 corridor in the Mid-Willamette Valley. Lore is fighting to keep traffic congestion from overwhelming city streets.
- Existing Keizer businesses are the backbone of our local economy. Lore is working with local business leaders to promote Keizer as a destination shopping area. Future development of the Chemawa Activity Center will provide hundreds of jobs for Keizer residents including over 1300 McNary students who worked last year.
- Lore led the effort to adopt Keizer's 8-year financial plan, addressing critical issues such as street improvements, parks and open spaces, and the need to fund services within existing revenues.

Lore Christopher. Experience for Keizer's Future!

(This information furnished by Lore Christopher and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Charles E. Lee

OCCUPATION: President, Blanchet Catholic School

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Educational Administration

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: M.A., Educational Administration, Seattle University; B.A., Journalism, University of Washington.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Keizer City Councilor, 2000 – Present

Experience

- Chuck Lee's thirty years of experience as an educator and administrator gives him the skills necessary to become Keizer's mayor.
- Chuck Lee's positive reputation earned in the classroom and boardroom inspires confidence in others, encourages teamwork and leads to positive partnerships.
- Chuck Lee's service on the Keizer City Council has included chairing the Civic Center Task Force and the committee to establish a focal point for Keizer. Also, he has served as liaison to the Salem Conference Center Task Force and the traffic safety committee. He is on the city budget committee.

Leadership

- Chuck Lee leads by being an example of giving service to the community.
- He is active in the Keizer and Salem Chambers of Commerce, is a member of Rotary International and is a lector at St. Edward's Catholic Church.
- A graduate of the "Leadership Salem" program, he has worked with leaders in local government, non-profit agencies and the private sector.

Dedication

- Chuck Lee has proven his commitment to Keizer and its future through his leadership on the council and participation in citywide committees.
- Chuck Lee is committed to helping meet the challenges Keizer faces. He vows to maintain financial responsibility, protect River Road and work toward providing the city services that citizens want.
- Chuck Lee will keep city government efficient and responsive and will work tirelessly to bring the community together.

Endorsed by Keizer Police Association

- The Keizer Police Association joins many others in endorsing Chuck Lee
- "The community would be best served with Charles Lee as Mayor. Keizer needs leadership skills that Charles Lee has demonstrated in his professional career, and on the city council."
- Keizer Police Association President, Dave LeDay

Vote for experience, leadership and dedication.

Vote Charles Lee.

(This information furnished by Charles E. Lee and is printed exactly as submitted.)

COUNCIL POSITION # 4 (vote for 1) (4 year term)

[Jacqueline L. Moir](#)

OCCUPATION: Homemaker

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Bank Teller, State of Oregon – Secretary to the Directors of Radiation Control & Juvenile Parole.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Graduated McNary High School & Merritt Davis School of Business.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Keizer City Council (4 yrs.), current City Council President, Community Policing, Volunteer Coordinating Committee, Emergency Management Committee, Parks Board, Claggett Creek Watershed Council, Budget Committee & Planning Commission.

Achievements of the last four years:

- Commingled Recycling Program – Keizer is the model for other cities, reducing the waste stream & costs.
- River Wall – provides flood protection & takes many Keizer homes out of the flood plain.
- In-fill Master Plan – insures safety & livability In Keizer neighborhoods.
- Plymouth Drive – Urban Renewal project that reduced crime & improved a SE Keizer neighborhood.
- Old Keizer School/Heritage Center – a partnership of private & Urban Renewal funds.

Challenges for the future:

1. Chemawa Activity Center: A challenge to the City, its citizens & Keizer landowners in that area. We must develop this area as an asset to Keizer residents & tax payers. We must pursue a plan to protect the businesses & our investments along the River Road corridor.
2. Long Range Financial Planning is a key to the financial success of the City. We need to continually assess what types of City services the community wants and how to pay for them.
3. Flood Mitigation: Continue our efforts city wide, which includes working with the Lake Labish Water District and the County regarding the City of Keizer's concerns.

We have experienced severe growing pains in the past few years. Now that we are recognizing our 20th Anniversary as a City, we have the opportunity to celebrate all that we have done right in our community. This includes the involvement of the citizens, without their help we would not be where we are today.

I am asking for your vote in my bid for reelection. I will continue to keep my promise to represent the ENTIRE City.

(This information furnished by Jacqueline L. Moir and is printed exactly as submitted.)

COUNCIL POSITION # 5 (vote for 1) (4 year term)

[Richard M. Walsh](#)

OCCUPATION: Attorney and owner of Walsh & Associates.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Walsh & Associates 1993-present; Olson, Rowell & Walsh 1988-1993, Schouboe, Marvin & Furniss, 1986-1988.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Doctorate of Jurisprudence (J.D.) University of Oregon, 1986; Bachelor of Science in Political Science, Portland State University, 1983 .

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Keizer City Councilor, August 2000-present. Worked on Budget Committee, Personnel Rules, Community Policing, KURB, Salem Area Futures, Keizer Chamber of Commerce Government Affairs, the Keizer Library Task Force, Keizer Futures, Keizer Urban Renewal Agency, and Mid-Willamette River Connections (MWRC).

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT: St. Edward's Administrative Council; Served on Site Council and as treasurer to LSAC for Keizer Elementary.

A RECORD OF ACHIEVEMENTS: Richard Walsh worked with the city council to balance the budget each year without a levy, to establish a recycling program, and to create an infill master plan which balances growth with the need to maintain livable neighborhoods. He also worked with the city council to protect Keizer's children by requiring helmets in the skate park and to help establish safe routes to school along Alder and Verda.

THE MOMENTUM IS GROWING TOWARD AN EVEN BETTER TOMORROW

To address the library issue, Richard Walsh has created a workable and affordable library plan to be presented to the voters.

To address the loss of green space and the increasing separation between Keizer and the Willamette River, Richard Walsh has joined together with representatives from other Mid-Willamette River communities and federal and state agencies to help create the MWRC to explore regional solutions. The MWRC has already uncovered many grant opportunities for Keizer and discovered the potential of allowing Keizer to access over 84 acres of state owned river front property.

Richard Walsh has worked with the council to keep the momentum going for the Activity Center/Keizer Station at the I-5 interchange to develop a stronger economic base, and to provide more shopping, jobs, restaurants, and recreational opportunities in Keizer.

KEEP THE MOMENTUM , RE-ELECT RICHARD WALSH

(This information furnished by Richard Walsh and is printed exactly as submitted.)

COUNCIL POSITION # 6 (vote for 1) (4 year term)

[Patrick Sieng](#)

OCCUPATION: Student, Willamette University; Sports Information Student Assistant, Willamette University; Clerk, Cooke Stationery Company

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Capitol Guide, State of Oregon Legislative Branch; Student Sports Information Director, McNary High School; Gym Supervisor, Keizer Youth Basketball Assn.; Basketball Official; Free-lance Writer/Photographer, Keizertimes; Independent Website Designer

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Willamette University (currently a sophomore pursuing a politics & economics double degree); McNary High School (diploma with Certificate of Initial Mastery) Whiteaker Middle School, Keizer Elementary School (6); Cummings Elementary (K-5)

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: City of Keizer Ad-Hoc Library Services Task Force (March 2000-June 2002)

COMMUNITY SERVICE

Cadet, Keizer Police Department; Group Leader, Cautious Kids Program; Redeemer Lutheran Church Congregation, Youth Group, and Vacation Bible School Teacher; Salem-Keizer Public Schools Citizen Ambassadors for Public Schools; Office Assistant, Oregon Parks and Recreation Department; Assistant Coach; Keizer Youth Basketball Association and Keizer Soccer Club; political campaign volunteer (1998 state representative campaign volunteer, 2000 congressional county campaign committee chairman, 2000 county commissioner campaign field director)

LEADERSHIP EXPERIENCE

McNary National Honor Society; McNary Student Government (Freshman Class President, Sophomore Class President, Junior Class President, Student Body Public Relations Director); McNary Future Business Leaders of America; McNary Youth Legislature; Editor, McNary Student Newspaper; President, Redeemer Lutheran Church Youth Group; State Coordinator, Sierra Student Coalition

AWARDS AND HONORS

2001 Salem-Keizer School District Outstanding Youth Leader of the Year; 2000 Marion County Youth Hall of Fame; 2001 Keizer Rotary Pat Valentino Scholarship; 2001 Keizer Elks Most Valuable Male Student of the Year – 2nd place; 2001 Keizer Rotary Student Activities Youth of the Year; 1999 Hugh O'Brian Youth Leadership Ambassador; 1998, 1999, 2000, and 2001 Who's Who Among America's High School Students Award; 1999, 2000, 2001 City of Keizer Student Volunteer Recognition Award

As a life-long resident of Keizer, I look forward to dedicating the time, energy, and hard work it takes to serve the great people of our city. I will work for safe community, strong economy, and government that is open and effective.

www.patricksieng.com

(This information furnished by Patrick Sieng
and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Jim Taylor

OCCUPATION: Small Business Owner

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: City of Salem, Public Works

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Western Oregon University, 1966-72

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE:

- Chair-Keizer Citizens Advisory Board for Parks and Recreation, 5 years
- Member-Keizer Citizens Advisory Board for Parks and Recreation, 5 years
- Keizer Urban Renewal Board, 2 years
- Keizer Focal Point Task Force, 2002
- Keizer Futures Task Force, 2002

PERSONAL:

Jim Taylor has committed himself to community service and understands Keizer!

- Keizer Little League, 10 years
- Keizer Youth Basketball Association, Board of Directors, 5 years
- Keizer Rotary, 13 years
- McNary High School Sports Booster Club, 20 years

Jim Taylor is prepared to carefully guide Keizer and plan for the next 20 years!

- Development of Chemawa Activity Center in a way that is compatible with River Road businesses. We have a strong investment in River Road and must protect what we have.
- Committed to continuing a strong police force, with reduced crime and protection for all of Keizer.
- Investment and support for public parks and green spaces.

As a member of a family that settled in Keizer in 1920, I understand the history of Keizer and the things that make our community unique. Keizer's small town atmosphere and community volunteerism are qualities that make our city so special. As a city councilor I will strive to keep these qualities in the forefront of policy decisions to ensure that police protection, the River Road Corridor and City parks are given the importance they deserve.

I believe these things can be done through creative planning and visionary ideas while keeping Keizer's taxes to a minimum.

(This information furnished by Jim Taylor and is printed exactly as submitted.)

MILL CITY (Linn County is the filing officer) certify from Linn

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Tim Kirsch

COUNCILOR (vote for 3) (4 year term)

Terry Cook
Melissa Lynn Cunderman
Judy J. Goffin
C. Michael Long
Bill Sanderson

MT. ANGEL

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Cindy L. Woodley

COUNCILOR (vote for 3) (4 year term)

Antonio Arce Jr.
Thomas C. Bauman
Carol Duda
Albert Mochel
Christine (Tia) Purdy

SALEM (certify to Polk)

MUNICIPAL JUDGE (vote for one) (joint with Polk)

Frank R. Gruber

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term) (joint with Polk)

[Janet Taylor](#)

OCCUPATION: President of Taylor Metal Products

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: 1957-1959, Production Line, Blue Lake Packers; 1960-1963, Circulation Department Clerk, Capital Journal; 1965-1969, Customer Service Rep., Allstate Insurance Co.; 1971-1982, Office Manager, Frank Hrubetz & Co.; 1982-1985, Owner, Business Accounting Services; 1985-President, Owner/President, Taylor Metal Products.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: North Salem High School; Chemeketa Community College; Salem Aviation (Pilot's license)

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Chair, South East Mill Creek Neighborhood Association; Chair, Salem Economic Development Corporation; Conference Center Task Force; Downtown Task Force; Willamette River Crossing Task Force; Vice-President, Salem Chamber of Commerce.

THANK YOU to everyone who voted for me in the May 2002 primary elections. By receiving 62.7% of the votes cast, my name is the only one shown under the "Mayor of Salem" position on your ballot. Your vote for me this November will reconfirm that our community supports a new direction that represents a positive, balanced approach to issues.

I look forward to beginning my two-year term as Mayor in January 2003. The vision of what our City can be is clear in the message relayed to me over the last several months. Residents want a safe community, job opportunities, and a vibrant downtown. Together we can:

- Ensure a healthy economy by keeping businesses strong.
- Recruit businesses that provide new jobs and will be active in our community.
- Provide lower police, fire and emergency response times.
- Make our downtown a place where people want to live, work and play.
- Secure stable funding so our library can keep consistent hours.

ENDORSEMENTS:

Former Salem Mayors: Tom Neilsen, Kent Aldrich

Former City Councilor: George Puentes

Salem First Citizens: Ted Stang, Ken Sherman Jr., Jerry Berger, Vicki Berger

Salem Police Employee's Union

"Janet's 45 years of service and life in the Salem community coupled with her experience running a successful business make her the right person to lead Salem as our Mayor."

Larry Wacker, Former Salem City Manager

(This information furnished by Janet Taylor and is printed exactly as submitted.)

COUNCIL POSITION, WARD 2 (vote for 1) (4 year term)

Jim Randall

COUNCIL POSITION, WARD 4 (vote for 1) (4 year term)

Wes Bennett

COUNCIL POSITION, WARD 6 (vote for 1) (4 year term)

Bruce R. Rogers

COUNCIL POSITION, WARD 8 (vote for 1) (4 year term) (POLK COUNTY ONLY)

Linda R. Bierly

Daniel E. Clem

ST. PAUL

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

No Candidate Filed

COUNCILOR (vote for 2) (4 year term)

Mike Bernard

Kathy Connor

Michael William Dolan

SCOTTS MILLS

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Larry J. Martin

COUNCILOR (vote for 4) (3 - 4 year term and 1- 2 year term)

Ray Havel

Arthur Rosenbald

Howard Wurdinger, Jr.

SILVERTON

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Ken Hector

COUNCILOR (vote for 3)

Bill Cummins

Antonia Jenkins

OCCUPATION: Artist; Housewife

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Advertising Layout and Paste-up, Silverton Appeal Tribune; 1979-1984.

Director, Silverton Food Bank and Community Aid; 1987-1988.

Member, Lunaria Cooperative Art Gallery; 1995-2002.

Secretary, Silverton Art Association; 2002

Secretary, Silverton Fine Arts Festival; 2001-2002.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: B.A. Fine Art, Mt. Angel College; 1973.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Silverton City Councilor; 1995-2002

During the past eight years I have served as a City Councilor, Silverton has faced many challenges, and seen many successes. With the opening of the Oregon Garden, the city has a great opportunity for a revitalized downtown economy.

At the same time, growth and quality-of-life issues continue to demand that we provide creative and satisfactory solutions, balancing private and public concerns, as well as dealing with increased budget pressures, and regulations from the county, state, and federal government, which have great impacts on our community.

I believe everyone should participate in this process as much as possible, and I deeply believe that local government is a place where we all have a voice, and we all can work to keep our community the unique and vital place that nurtures us all.

I have learned that governing is a complex, challenging and ultimately fair process, which works for the common good. It is not a perfect process, but it is truly democratic in the best sense of the word. I am committed to this process, and I hope I have earned the opportunity to serve as your city councilor for four more years.

(This information furnished by Antonia Jenkins and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Stu Rasmussen

Dennis Stoll

OCCUPATION: Owner/President – North Salem Beverage & Mixer, Shoppe, Inc.

DBA – North Salem Beverage – 1994 – Present

Silverton Beverage – 1999 – Present

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND:

'72 – '79 Owner – D & K Market – Gervais, Or.

'79 – '84 President – Mennis Oil Co. Salem, Or.

'84 – '92 Vice President Capital Cities Co's. Salem, Or.

'92 – '94 Fuels Manager – Davis Oil Co. Lynnwood, Wa.

'94 – '99 Commercial Development – Carson Oil Co. Portland, Or

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Linfield College – Graduated 1971 – BS/ Business Administration

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Silverton City Council – Appointed October 2001

Silverton Budget Committee 2002

I have been a Silverton area resident for over thirty years and have been amazed by our "Quality of Life". THE INSIGHT I have gained over the last year, after being appointed to the Silverton City Council, makes me want to continue to strive to maintain that "Quality".

ISSUES of Growth Management, Fiscal Responsibility, Water Resources and Downtown Revitalization are very important matters that will need to be addressed in the near future.

Having been an independent businessman for the last thirty years has given me valuable experience, in dealing with economic change I believe this knowledge will be beneficial as we work with current economic conditions.

We must live within our means!

I would like to continue to contribute to this community which has provided so much for all of us.

(This information furnished by Dennis Stoll and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Randal Thomas

OCCUPATION: Programs Manager with the Oregon Department of Transportation

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Branch Manager for Commercial Vehicle Semi-Trailer Lessor; Branch Sales Manager for Commercial Vehicle Trailer Lessor

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: B.S. In Management and Communications, Western Baptist College—awarded “Most Outstanding Project Thesis”

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Silverton City Budget Committee; Silverton City Downtown Revitalization Committee

We hear a lot about “The Good Old Days.” But maybe we should talk about the “Good NOW Days.” Silverton is rapidly being considered as one of Oregon’s most livable communities, recognized by its core of strong family values. The beauty of the town and open hospitality have likewise increased Silverton’s tourist value. My family and I believe it’s important to continue on this path while remaining cognizant of Silverton’s small town feel, and sense of community. We believe this because Silverton is our home.

It’s important to me personally for my family’s sake, and for the many relationships we’ve developed as members of the community. My family and I care deeply about the current livability, as well as the future. We are concerned about how Silverton will manage increased traffic congestion as a result of both its recent popularity and the expanding allure of the Oregon Gardens. We are concerned about continuing the growth of local businesses, and the revitalization of the downtown area. We are concerned about maintaining our “Small Town Appeal.”

Obviously I am the one running for this position and not my family, however I believe that the most important word in the English language is “we.” None of the hard work which has helped Silverton to be recognized for what it is today was performed by just one person. Rather it was work performed in concert with its community members.

My goal is to continue with this practice, as this is the only way that the needs of the community can be met.

(This information furnished by Randal Thomas and is printed exactly as submitted.)

STAYTON

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Gerry Aboud

COUNCILOR (vote for 3) (2-4 year terms and 1- 2 year term)

No Candidates filed

SUBLIMITY

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Raymond Heuberger

COUNCILOR (vote for 2) (4 year term)

Bernard (Bernie) J. Miotke

Joshua L. Williams

Wayne A. Stedronsky

TURNER

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

[Steve Littrell](#)

OCCUPATION:

- Construction Project Manager, Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND:

- Assistant Project Manager, ODOT
- Construction Claims Coordinator, ODOT
- Regional Assurance Specialist, ODOT
- Survey Crew Leader / Inspector, ODOT
- Consulting Engineering / Survey Party Chief / Technician
- Bartender, Truck Driver
- Little League Baseball and Youth Basketball / Football Coach

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND:

- Oregon Institute of Technology, (15) A.E. – Survey Engineering 1974
- Community Colleges 1968-1974 (14)
- U.S. Marine Corp Reserve, Combat Engineers Training, 1966-1972
- University of Oregon, 1965-1966 (13)
- Marshfield High School, Coos Bay, Oregon (12)

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE:

- Mayor, City of Turner, 1995 - present
- Turner City Council Member, 1993 -1995
- Chair, Mid-Willamette Valley Council of Governments, 2001
- Member, MWV-COG Board of Directors, 1998 – present
- Member, MWV-COG Community Development Partnership 1997-present
- Member, Oregon Mayor's Association, 1995 – present
- Member, Cascade Community Progress Team, 1996 – present
- Member City of Turner's Burkland Pool Fund Committee, 1997 – present
- President, Cascade Youth Basketball Association, 1999-2001
- Member, Turner's Tune Town Music Festival Board, 2001 - present

KEEP STEVE AS TURNER'S MAYOR

I want you to know that I have enjoyed being your Mayor for the past seven plus years and I want to continue representing your best interests. This election year you will have more candidate choices than we've had for a long time. And we are seeing a new interest in city government and involvement by those in the younger generation.

Over the past few years we've had our share of controversial issues. However, I believe it is time to focus on what good things we have accomplished and look forward to what good things we can do in the future.

We have seen what volunteers can do with the effort put forth on 5th Street Park for the Tune Town Music Festival held this year. To see 100-150 volunteers in a community of this size makes this position of Mayor all worthwhile. I ask for your continued support to allow me to help carry on this spirit of community pride.

MAYOR LITTRELL WILL CONTINUE TO WORK HARD FOR
ALL OF TURNER!

(This information furnished by Steve Littrell and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Glenn B. Pennebaker](#)

OCCUPATION: RETIRED SERGEANT OF POLICE , San Francisco Police Department

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND:

Field Representative, U.S. Census Bureau
Security Agent
Bank Teller
Sheetmetal Worker

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: City College of San Francisco

Abraham Lincoln High School, San Francisco

Teaching Credential in Police Science and several trade school certificates.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Turner City Councilor, 1995 to present

Mid-Willamette Valley Area Commission on Transportation

Turner Ordinance Review Committee

Turner Water/Waste Water Committee

Turner Budget Committee, Salem-Keizer Area Transportation Study (Alternate)

Santiam Canyon Communications Center-911 Council

Cascade Community Progress Team

Oregon Department of Corrections Farmland Steering Committee

When my wife, Georgina, and I retired we looked for a better place to live. We found it here in Turner. We decided to spend the rest of our lives here because we liked the calm quiet neighborhoods, the clean air, the low crime rate, the rural atmosphere and the small town attitude. But most of all, we really liked the people.

I joined the City Council in 1995 to help keep Turner a pleasant place to live and will continue to work in that direction.

If the City does not continue to grow it will become stagnant and die. However, future growth must be wisely managed and controlled. Over the years I have worked hard to keep Turner's growth within our present city limits and urban growth boundary and, until you tell me otherwise, I will continue to do so. I will also continue to work to improve our downtown, main thoroughfares, parks, swimming pool and schools. I will continue to not be influenced by groups from outside our City. Rather, I will be influenced by you and only you!

GLENN PENNEBAKER FOR MAYOR

HE LISTENS TO YOU!

(This information furnished by Glenn Pennebaker and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Jim Thompson](#)

OCCUPATION: Retired

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Pulp and Paper Industry - 41 years. 1954 – 1975 – Papermaker: 1975 – 1994 – General Vice President, Association of Western Pulp and Paper Workers; 1994 – 1995 – Western Research Coordinator , United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Joiners.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: High School Graduate – 1953 – Everett, Washington; Chairman – Pacific Northwest Labor College; Advisory Board – Labor Education Research Center, University of Oregon.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Former Public Member – Oregon Bar Association Board of Governors; Former Chairman – North Santiam Transportation and Recreation Committee; 1999 – 2002 - Turner City Council – One term as Council President.

In 1998 Turner voters elected me to their city council. In 2001 the Council selected me to serve as Council President. I've entered the mayor's race owing to what many citizens have expressed to me regarding Turner's future, and unfortunately, not universally shared by the three candidates.

In the past several years we've had differences over such things as how citizens were to pay for the new sewer system, voter approved annexations and urban growth boundary expansion. Now it's the proposed power plant that has many people concerned.

Both of my opponents, who were members of the Council in 1998, have publicly stated their support for this project while I'm on record opposing it. Turner's current land use laws will not accommodate siting this industrial complex in several areas and especially in the matter of building and tower heights, adopted by unanimous Council action in 1998. Then there is the critical question dealing with the emissions and how they might adversely impact the air quality Turner citizens currently enjoy. Do we overlook these issues in our zeal to increase our tax base?

I've been told that many people want to keep Turner a quiet, bedroom community. I believe this is the majority thinking and in keeping with my 1998 pledge I will continue to support the democratic rights of the people. In my opinion this is the most important obligation for any government, including the Turner City Council.

(This information furnished by Jim Thompson
and is printed exactly as submitted.)

COUNCILOR (vote for 3) (4 year term)

[Michael Dennis](#)

OCCUPATION: Own and operate a roadside nursery in Turner, also have an internet business, with SMC and Ameriplan.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND:

1. Partner in Cornerstone Insurance Inc: computer system/accounting.
2. Rose Paper Products: Sales Rep.
3. Ashton Photo: Purchasing/warehouse/facilities mgr.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND:

North Salem High School: High School Diploma

Chemeketa Community College: Computer courses

Weber State College: Computer Courses

Military Career courses: Management and Technical training

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: On Turner City Council for 5 years.

My family and I have lived in Turner for 20 years. Genie and I have made many friends, and have great neighbors. We consider that whole town as being our neighborhood. I became a member of the city council at the end of the River Bend gravel pit issue. It was a controversial issue that had some of our neighbors in heated disagreement. Then came the sewer system which again caused some turmoil in our city, but brought with it not only a badly needed piece of infrastructure to our town, it also has brought some needed growth and some very visible improvements to properties in our city. We are now looking at doing some upgrades to certain areas of our cities water system, our storm system, and now there is renewed interest in improving our city park our main street and our downtown area. The real challenge of providing these services is funding. We are facing another issue with controversy, in the energy facility that is being proposed. I feel that of all our options in today's environment of declining state and federal funding, and despite negative propaganda being presented by outsiders, this would be a low impact solution to the future of our city, providing a means to give our citizens what they want. The recent festival that a lot of us were involved in has shown that the people of Turner are interested in improving our city, and I want the city to continue supporting these efforts.

(This information furnished by Michael F. Dennis and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Robert McWherter](#)

OCCUPATION: Commercial Real Estate Appraiser

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Self-employed real estate appraiser since 1985. Previous employment – 15 years commercial banking, real estate appraising and lending.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: UCLA Real Estate Program; Continuing Real Estate Appraising Education for Recertification.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: 1980 – 1983: Clackamas County Economic Development Commission; Robinwood Homeowners' Association, West Linn, Oregon; Citizen's Committee for the City of West Linn's Comprehensive Land Use Plan. 1984 to Present: Turner City Council; Past Council President; Member City of Turner Budget Committee and Ordinance Review Committee; Present Member Citizens Advisory Committee – Salem Futures, City of Salem since January 1999.

(This information furnished by Robert O. McWherter and is printed exactly as submitted.)

David Phillips

OCCUPATION: None provided

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: None provided

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: None provided

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: None provided

Hello, my name is David Phillips and I am running for Turner City Council. My family and I have resided in Turner for nearly ten years. Our six children have gone through the Turner/Cascade school system, and will continue to do so for years to come. My wife and I have built a successful business in Turner, which is currently entering its eighth year of operation.

Previous managerial work experience includes a General Manager position, as well as a Personnel & Safety Manager position for two large trucking operations. In addition, I have also owned and operated my own trucking company. I have served as a volunteer with the Turner Fire Department and am presently on the City Planning Commission.

I believe strongly that honesty and integrity are two critical elements for success in any endeavor. That is what I have brought to my current business and that is what I will bring to the Turner City Council. Through our business, I have had the pleasure of serving a diverse cross-section of the community—from young families to our large population of seniors. As such, I believe that I can represent the best interests of the community and not the special interests.

I am not opposed to growth, but I am firmly in support of controlled growth, and sustaining a quality of life that I see rapidly disappearing in our neighboring metropolitan areas. I do not have a hidden agenda for gaining a position on the council beyond protecting those attributes which make Turner the pleasant community that it is. Issues that I feel are important to the community—and will be a principal focus for me—are crime prevention, quality schools for our children, regulated truck traffic, and general livability. With my business located on third street, I am very accessible and, as a council member, would welcome your visits and input.

Thank you,
David Phillips

(This information furnished by David Phillips and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Carly Spainhower

OCCUPATION: Office Manager/Environmental Tech, CJE Consultants & Constructors, Turner

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Coordinator for the University of Oregon Dance Team, 2000-2001

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Turner Elementary, Cascade Jr. & Sr. High Schools

University of Oregon, Bachelor of Arts in Political Science

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: None provided

Citizens of Turner,

Our community needs someone with youthful insight and enthusiasm on our city council. I believe that I am that person. I was raised here and believe it is a wonderful place to live.

I have held leadership positions throughout high school and college and I am familiar with city government through study. If given the opportunity, I will embrace all the facets of the position.

As one of the organizers for the Tune Town Music Festival in Turner, my belief in community spirit has grown. It was inspiring to see so many community members helping the festival and the park. During the festival, people on both sides of issues worked together to accomplish something positive for Turner. I am determined to continue this spirit of community. Together, we can improve our town.

I am aware of the divisive issues that have faced this town and have polarized community members. I have always thought that these divisions among us have made people lose sight of what, as a community, we can accomplish. It is important for the community to move beyond the issues that have divided us in the past. We need to look to the future and decide what is best for Turner as a whole.

As a councilor, I will take each proposal individually and decide what is in the best interests of the entire town, not just certain individuals or groups. I look forward to serving the members of this community. I urge all of you to do your part and please register to vote. Turner's future should be important to us all.

Sincerely,
Carly

(This information furnished by Carly Spainhower and is printed exactly as submitted.)

WOODBURN

MAYOR (vote for 1) (2 year term)

[Kathy Figley](#)

OCCUPATION: Assistant Vice President, Advisory Title Officer, Fidelity National Title Company of Oregon

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Title insurance and escrow in Salem and Portland areas

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: University of Michigan, Ann Arbor Michigan, B.A.

University of Oregon School of Law

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Woodburn City Council, 1990-present. Woodburn Budget Committee, 1990-present. Numerous city committees, including Housing Rehabilitation, zoning advisory task force, and street tree. Woodburn Park and Recreation Board, 1984-1990.

In my 12 years on the Woodburn City Council, I have watched Woodburn double in size and make enormous strides toward being a full-service city. We are well managed, financially sound, and ready for the 21st century. I am running for Mayor because we are in the middle of some important issues that I hope to see through to completion:

*Making sure that our interchange improvements receive funding and actually occur.

*Getting a strong start on our downtown urban renewal project.

*Updating our land use and transportation plans in ways that keep Woodburn's home town feel but give us room to create good jobs and positive futures.

*Completing our skate park and making progress on Centennial Park.

Finally, I am running for Mayor because I care very deeply for our community. I have been privileged to represent some of the finest people in the world for the last 12 years and ask for your support in serving you further during a term as Mayor.

(This information furnished by Kathryn Keller (Kathy) Figley and is printed exactly as submitted.)

COUNCILOR, WARD III (vote for 1)

Peter McCallum

COUNCILOR, WARD IV (vote for 1)

[Mary Chadwick](#)

OCCUPATION: City Councilor, Ward IV, Woodburn

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: I am a retired Medical Assistant. I worked in Medical offices in Portland and Woodburn for 30 years. I also worked in the First Aid office at Birdseye.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: I completed two years of medical courses at Chemeketa Community College.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: I have eight years of experience as City Councilor. I am a board member of the Woodburn City Library. I am a board member of RSVP.

Our family has lived in Woodburn for twenty nine years. I was employed in Woodburn for at least twenty of these years. Eight years ago I was encouraged to run for the council seat by the former mayor, Len Kelley. During my tenure as Councilor, my greatest concern has been our traffic problems. I will continue to pursue healthy and safe solutions by working cooperatively with O.D.O.T.

I will work diligently to encourage other Woodburn residents to participate in City activities.

(This information furnished by Mary Chadwick and is printed exactly as submitted.)

[Jim Cox](#)

OCCUPATION: Attorney.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Teacher, 6th grade, 2 years.

Private practice attorney.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Southern Oregon University, B.S.

University of Oregon Law School, LLB.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: City Attorney, Lake Oswego, 1971-1977.

Woodburn Planning Commission since 1998,

(Chairman 2002).

• QUALIFIED.By background and experience is well qualified for the City Council.Oregon native, living in Woodburn since 1989.

• EXPERIENCED.Effective service on Planning Commission (see above)

.....As an attorney has worked extensively in city government, zoning, land use and property development.

.....Has represented local government agencies and private parties. . . . City Attorney for Lake Oswego (see above).Has represented homeowners' associations, including Senior Estates in Woodburn.

- INDEPENDENT.Is an independent thinker—not a rubber-stamp.Is not afraid to ask tough questions.A team player, willing to listen to all points of view, and not too inflexible to change opinions when necessary.
- WOODBURN'S PRIORITIES. Woodburn's biggest priority is the problems that arise from rapid growth. Chief among these is transportation—streets and traffic. Highway 214 and the freeway interchange have created a nightmare. Much of this is beyond the control of the City alone. Better cooperation and help from the Oregon Department of Transportation and Marion County are required. City government is working hard on these issues, but much remains to be done.

A second priority is preserving the livability of our neighborhoods in face of growth pressures.Will work hard to assure that our existing neighborhoods benefit—not suffer—from the City's growth.

The City's ambitious programs need to be balanced with the needs of the Schools and Fire District in difficult economic times.

Ask any member of the Planning Commission if I would be a good choice for City Council. Some of the people who have endorsed me are:

Preston and Doris Tack, Anne Costine, Ellen Bandelow, Dorothy Senatra, Harold Lichty, Irv and Eva Fletcher, Marjorie Thompson, Bob and Billie Day, Max and Barbara Lucas.

(This information furnished by James A. (Jim) Cox and is printed exactly as submitted.)

COUNCILOR, WARD V (vote for 1)

Anthony Veliz

MARION SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT (SWCD)

ZONE 1 (vote for 1) (2 year term)

Douglas Krahmer

ZONE 2 (vote for 1) (4 year term)

Myron S. Harper

ZONE 3 (vote for 1) (4 year term)

Daniel Goffin

ZONE 5 (vote for 1) (4 year term)

Ralph Fisher

AT LARGE (vote for 1) (4 year term)

Jim Hunter
Laura L. Tesler

MEASURES FILED:

24-79: CITY OF SALEM: PARKS GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND

Referred to the People by the City Council
City of Salem, Oregon Park General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the city be authorized to issue general obligation park bonds in an amount not exceeding \$39,995,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: This measure would authorize the City to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$39,995,000. Bond proceeds would be used to finance park and recreation facilities including, but not limited to, providing funds to:

- renovate, replace or upgrade existing park and recreation facilities;
- acquire and improve land for park and recreation facilities;
- pay bond issuance costs; or
- finance, with any proceeds of the bonds remaining after the above projects are completed, capital construction and capital improvements for park and recreation facilities.

The Bonds of any series will mature in 20 years or less.

Explanatory Statement:

Ballot Measure 24-79 would authorize the City of Salem to sell up to \$39,995,000 of General Obligation Bonds to finance new or improved park and recreation facilities including, but not limited to:

* replacing or renovating deteriorated park facilities;

- * developing park land owned by, or leased to, the City;
- * upgrading underdeveloped parks and facilities;
- * protecting urban “natural areas”;
- * acquiring new park lands;
- * adding bicycle/pedestrian connections; and
- * upgrading the Salem Senior Center.

The measure would:

- * make facility improvements to most of Salem’s parks. Most parks have deteriorated or obsolete facilities, including play equipment, restrooms and security lighting, which do not meet the minimum standards established by the Comprehensive Park System Master Plan.
- * improve equity in Salem’s park system by developing park land in unserved areas. New or improved park and recreation facilities anticipated to be funded with bond proceeds include 11 neighborhood parks, two natural areas, and an approximately 46-acre Ballfield/Community Park built on land leased from the Oregon Corrections Department.
- * develop already owned or leased park land. Of the total 155 acres of park land anticipated to be developed, 115 acres are already owned or under long-term lease to the City.
- * allow for acquisition and development of approximately 40 acres for new park sites. Approximately thirty acres would be developed as community park sites with athletic fields for youths and adults. Approximately ten acres may be used for the possible placement of a future aquatic or other park facility.
- * increase protection of natural areas and wildlife habitat within the Salem Urban Growth Boundary. Funds would be available to acquire and preserve wetlands, tree stands, wildlife habitat and other significant natural areas.
- * provide safer pedestrian/bicycle connections between parks and other public facilities. Funds available could be used to develop new or improved pedestrian/bicycle routes, providing better access and safer connections between public facilities.
- * upgrade the Salem Senior Center. Funds would be available to renovate, furnish and equip the 25 year-old Senior Center.

Bond proceeds remaining after the above projects are completed would be used to finance capital construction and capital improvements for park, recreation or aquatic facilities.

Passage of Measure 24-79 would result in an estimated increase in property taxes of \$0.24 per year per \$1,000 of assessed value. The estimated maximum tax impact on the owner of an average Salem residence with an assessed value of \$150,000 is \$36 per year or \$3 per month. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessors at the time of estimate.

A separate five-year local option operating levy, Measure 24-80, has been placed on the November 2002 ballot that would pay for maintenance and operation of the new or improved park and recreation facilities. Both measures, if approved, would increase the estimated tax on a Salem property with an assessed value of \$150,000 by less than \$60 per year or \$5 per month.

Submitted by,
Salem City Council

Argument For

FRIENDS OF SALEM PARKS SUPPORT MEASURE 24-79

We urge Salem voters to vote “YES” on a \$39 million general obligation bond measure (24-79) that will develop, renovate and upgrade existing city-owned parks and recreation areas. It will also develop a 46-acre state land community park for a youth ballfield complex. Approval of this bond adds another 40 acres of land to our parks inventory – most of it for a large community park site with ten acres set aside, if needed, for a potential year-round aquatics facility. The Salem Senior Center also will be renovated, sensitive natural areas will be preserved, miles of urban trails will be added, and a non-motorized boat dock will be established at Minto-Brown Park.

In the last 20 years, Salem’s population has grown by nearly 48,000 residents. Over the same period, city funding shortfalls have prevented city investment in our parks – other than Riverfront Park, which has been funded through urban renewal funds. In fact, the last comprehensive parks bond measure in Salem was passed 50 years ago.

We support this measure because it will develop 155 acres of parks and play areas. It will also provide local jobs for electricians, concrete and asphalt suppliers, heavy equipment operators, installers of irrigation systems and the playground equipment and nursery industries.

Please join us in support of Salem Parks and vote “YES” on Ballot Measure 24-79.

Sincerely,
Betsy Belshaw
President
Salem Parks Foundation

MEASURE 24-79 IS A
GRASS ROOTS, COMMUNITY-DRIVEN MOVEMENT BY AND FOR
THE FAMILIES OF SALEM

Join us in voting "YES" on Measure 24-79. This important measure:

Supports Salem's Quality of Life

A General Obligation Bond Measure for \$39.9 million will improve every Salem park (except Riverfront Park).

- Preserve 100 acres of unprotected Urban Natural Areas
- Develop 46 acres for a Community Park and Youth Ballfield Complex
- Complete development of 100-acre Cascades Gateway Park
- Develop 11 neighborhood parks and 2 nature parks
- Add over 5 miles of urban trails
- Complete development of Woodmansee Park
- Renovate the Salem Senior Center (located in a Salem park)
- Purchase land for a 40-acre NE Community Park

Examples of improvements:

- Improved security lighting
- Replacement and addition of playground equipment
- Renovation and addition of walkways, hard surface courts, etc.
- Replacement and addition of restrooms

Please visit www.GoSalemParks.com for more information

Creates Jobs!

Parks ballot Measure 24-79 is even more than investment in trees,
green spaces, and future generations.
it is a grass roots economic recovery plan!

A Yes vote for Parks means...

- Local jobs for electricians, concrete and asphalt suppliers, playground equipment suppliers and installers, the local nursery industry and more
- Value added to residential and commercial real estate
- Tourism dollars from expanded sports and recreation facilities

Bottom Line impact on Salem voters

It is estimated that the increased tax for a \$150,000 home would be less than \$5.00 per month or \$60.00 annually.

That's less than the cost of two home video rentals each month!

JOIN US IN VOTING YES! ON MEASURE 24-79

Wendy Kroger, Go Salem Parks Committee, Co-Chair

Mike Mathisen

(This information provided by Wendy Kroger, Go Salem Parks)

COMMUNITY LEADERS SUPPORT MEASURE 24-79

We are proud to come together to support an issue that is vital to Salem's future and livability.

In these tough times, capital improvements and development of Salem's parks and recreational facilities are more than investment in Salem's quality of life.

This Bond will provide \$39 million worth of local jobs that will result in beautiful, functional, safe recreation areas for our families.

This Measure is an Economic Shot in the arm for Salem!

Please join us in voting "YES" on Measure 24-79

Mike Swaim, Mayor of Salem

Janet Taylor, Mayor Nominee

Kasia Quillinan

William Smaldone, Salem City Councilor, Ward 2

Jim Randall, Salem Ward 2 City Councilor Nominee

Brad A. Nanke, Salem City Councilor, Ward 3

Wes Bennett, Salem City Councilor, Ward 4

Rick Stucky, Salem City Councilor, Ward 5

Bruce Rogers, Salem City Councilor Nominee, Ward 6

Anna Braun, City Councilor, Ward 7

Linda Bierly, Salem City Councilor, Ward 8

"This bond is great for all our children and will enhance many of our school parks."

Bonnie Heitsch

Salem-Keizer School Board

“Healthy Parks grow Healthy people. I support Measures 24-79 and 24-80 for the economic and social health of our community.”

“The Salem Keizer School District Board of Directors supports the City of Salem’s efforts to provide parks for our children.”

Resolution of support, August 13, 2002
Salem Keizer School Board

(This information provided by Wendy Kroger, Go Salem Parks)

No Arguments Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-80: CITY OF SALEM: 5 YR. LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR PARKS OPERATION & MAINTENANCE

Referred to the People by the City Council

Five-Year Local Option Tax for Park Operation and Maintenance

Question: Shall the City impose \$.14 per \$1,000 of assessed value for park operations beginning 2003-2004 for five years? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: The additional tax revenue from this measure would provide the City of Salem funds for the operation and maintenance of new or improved park and recreation facilities hereafter acquired, constructed, improved or upgraded by or on behalf of the City. The requested rate will raise approximately \$700,000 in FY2003-2004, \$735,000 in FY2004-2005, \$770,000 in FY2005-2006, \$810,000 in FY2006-2007 and \$850,000 in FY2007-2008.

Explanatory Statement:

Ballot Measure 24-80 would authorize the City of Salem to collect, for a five year period, up to \$.14 per \$1000 of assessed value to fund operation and maintenance of new or improved park and recreation facilities included in the proposed Park General Obligation Bond Measure, Ballot Measure 24-79 also on the November 2002 ballot.

Ballot Measure 24-80 would fund the anticipated operation and maintenance costs of these new or improved park and recreation facilities without reducing maintenance on existing park facilities. Adequate maintenance funding for all facilities extends the life of capital improvements and reduces public safety risks. Cost estimates to operate and maintain the new or improved park and recreation facilities anticipate the continued use of volunteers and supplemental, low cost labor resources, which have allowed adequate park maintenance service levels.

Additionally, the measure would allow for funds to be used to operate and maintain a new aquatic facility, should one be built. A decision on the possible construction of an aquatic facility will come after the November 2002 election. If a decision is made not to pursue the development of an aquatic facility, funds generated from the levy would be used to pay for operation and maintenance of new or improved park facilities acquired, improved or developed for a longer period of time. Under this scenario, it is estimated that funds from the five-year levy could provide adequate maintenance funding for an additional three years, through fiscal year 2010-2011.

This five-year local option levy would start on July 1, 2003 and is computed at a rate of \$.14 per \$1000 of assessed valuation. The requested rate will raise approximately \$700,000 in FY 2003-2004, \$735,000 in FY2004-2005, \$770,000 in FY 2005-2006, \$810,000 in FY 2006-2007 and \$850,000 in FY 2007-2008. The estimated tax increase for the owner of an average Salem residence with an assessed value of \$150,000 is \$21 per year, or less than \$2 per month. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessors at the time of estimate.

A separate Parks General Obligation (GO) Bond Measure 24-79 is on the November 2002 ballot to finance new park and recreation facilities. Both measures if approved, would increase the estimated tax on a property with an assessed value of \$150,000 by a total of less than \$60 per year or \$5 per month.

If the Park GO Bond Measure fails to receive voter approval in November 2002, the City agrees to levy no taxes from this measure.

Submitted by,
Salem City Council

Argument For

GREAT CITIES DESERVE GREAT PARKS!

As new parks and recreation facilities are built, it is necessary to fund their maintenance for the continued enjoyment of the people in the communities they serve.

Maintenance and operation funds are necessary because:

- Current and routine maintenance costs less in the long run, extends the life of our parks, and is environmentally responsible.

- Taking care of new parks is the right thing to do, and five years of maintenance dollars will give the city the opportunity to budget and prepare for the future.
- Caring for our diminishing green spaces is vital to our future.
- Parks need to be safe havens for kids and families.

Friends of Salem Parks urge you to vote "YES" on Measure 24-80

Betsy Belshaw
 President
 Salem Parks Foundation

(This information provided by
 Betsy Belshaw, Salem Parks Foundation)
 Measure 24-80

FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE AND IMPORTANT FOR SALEM'S FUTURE

Measure 24-80

Is a five year parks operating levy to appear on the November ballot as a companion levy to Bond Measure 24-79. This measure would authorize the city to collect up to 14 cents per \$1000 of assessed value to pay for the maintenance of the new park facilities put into service as a result of the passage of 24-79. Funds will also be used to pay for net operating expenditures of a future aquatic facility if constructed. Any tax revenue remaining after the term of the levy will be used to extend the maintenance payments for the new parks.

In the last 20 years, Salem's population has grown by nearly 48,000 residents. Over the same period, city funding shortfalls have prevented city investment in our parks – other than Riverfront Park, which has been funded through urban renewal funds. In fact, the last comprehensive parks bond measure in Salem was passed 50 years ago.

We support this measure because it will

- Ensure the maintenance and operation of the new 155 acres of parks and play areas.
- Provide millions of dollars worth of local jobs for city workers, sub-contractors and more.

Please join us in support of Salem Parks and vote YES on Measure 24-80

Wendy Kroger, Go Salem Parks Committee Co-Chair
 Mike Mathisen

"It's not a matter of ...If we grow...It's a matter of ...How we Grow.

A YES vote on Salem's Parks Bond and Levy is an investment in planned, quality, and beautiful growth!"

Peter Rogers
 Coldwell Banker Mt. West Real Estate
 Salem Area Chamber of Commerce
 2002 Business of the Year

(This information provided by Wendy Kroger, Go Salem Parks)
 CITY LEADERS, WORKERS, AND VOLUNTEERS UNITED
 IN SUPPORT OF MEASURE 24-80

Measure #24-80 is a five year local option levy that is necessary to insure that our parks provide the level of service we intend them to.

Responsible planning and development requires adequate operation and maintenance of new facilities. This measure guarantees that, and also allows the city a five-year jump start on planning and budgeting.

Measure #24-80 makes good fiscal sense for Salem and deserves your "YES" vote!

Mike Swaim, Mayor of Salem
 Janet Taylor, Mayor Nominee
 Kasia Quillinan

William Smaldone, Salem City Councilor, Ward 2
 Jim Randall, Salem Ward 2 City Councilor Nominee
 Brad A. Nanke, Salem City Councilor, Ward 3
 Wes Bennett, Salem City Councilor, Ward 4
 Rick Stucky, Salem City Councilor, Ward 5
 Bruce Rogers, Salem City Councilor Nominee, Ward 6
 Anna Braun, City Councilor, Ward 7
 Linda Bierly, Salem City Councilor, Ward 8

"Parks for families to share an outdoor experience are critical to the livability of our community. This parks bond and operating levy will ensure this and future generations have the type of healthy community we all desire. This measure will provide all of us the opportunity to reaffirm our commitment to these values."

Mike Higgs, Vice President
 American Federation of State, County, & Municipal Employees
 (AFSCME), Local 2067

“Please join me in support of this long overdue and much needed parks bond measure...These measures will help create and renew neighborhood parks in the state capital after 20 years of neglect.”

Dave MacMillan, SESNA Neighborhood Association

(This information provided by Wendy Kroger, Go Salem Parks)

No Arguments Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-81: ST. PAUL RFPD: GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND - FIRE SAFETY EQUIPMENT

Referred to the People by the District Board

St. Paul Rural Fire Protection District General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall St. Paul Rural Fire Protection District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$315,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: If approved, this measure provides funds for the acquisition of fire safety equipment (apparatus) and related improvements thereto and to pay bond issuance costs. The bond will replace the remaining 1969 engine with a new engine and provide funds to supply the engine with hose, ladders and miscellaneous equipment.

The Bonds will mature in 5 years or less from the date of issuance and may be issued in one or more series.

No Explanatory Statement was submitted for this Measure.

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-82: WOODBURN RFPD: GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND- ACQUIRE PROPERTY, APPARATUS AND EQUIPMENT

Referred to the People by the District Board
General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the District be authorized to contract general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$4,605,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: This measure authorizes the District to issue general obligation bonds of not to exceed \$4,605,000, to acquire real property; construct, reconstruct, expand and improve District structures; acquire firefighting apparatus and equipment; make related improvements as funds allow and to pay all costs incidental thereto. Bonds would mature over a period of 20 years.

Explanatory Statement:

On November 5, you will be asked to approve an \$4,605,000 bond issue to provide funding for your fire district to make improvements necessary to keep up with growth in the district and changes in fire fighting technology.

What will your support cost?

The District estimates that the cost of the bond will be 0.28 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value. That is a cost of \$42.00 on a home valued at \$150,000, or \$3.50 per month.

What will your support accomplish?

The Woodburn Fire District supplies fire fighting and emergency response services from five fire stations to citizens in a 75 square mile area, including the Cities of Woodburn and Gervais.

It has been 27 years since you last approved a bond issue for Woodburn Fire. That bond provided financing for two fire stations in the City of Woodburn and the purchase of a fire engine and a ladder truck.

Since 1990 your fire district has reduced its operating budget by more than \$900,000 in response to the passage of Measure 5 in 1990 and Measure 47/50 in 1996. In 1991 the District had 17 fire fighting apparatus, 12 full-time employees, 70 volunteers and responded to more than 1,700 calls for assistance. In 2002 the District has 12 fire fighting apparatus, 15 full-time employees, 40 volunteers and will respond to more than 2,000 calls.

With the passage of the bond, your fire district will upgrade its stations, apparatus and equipment including, but not limited to these projects:

- \$1,500,000 for an addition to the Headquarters Station including additional offices to ease over crowded work areas, training rooms and separate dorm facilities for men and women firefighters.
- \$305,000 to provide weatherization and conservation upgrades at all of the facilities. A new roof and earthquake upgrading for the James St Station. New roof and sewer system for the Waconda Station. Provide back up emergency power for all of our stations.
- \$500,000 for a new fire station located west of I-5 to enhance the response into Gervais and Broadacres.
- \$275,000 to purchase a fire engine and \$225,000 for a midi-pumper.
- \$750,000 to purchase a new ladder truck that would replace the one purchased 27 years ago, which is showing its age and is costly to maintain. The ladder truck will be used to rescue people from structures, elevate a large water stream to combat fires and to get firefighters onto the roofs of structures to release smoke and heat.
- \$250,000 to replace a "tender" used to haul water to fires in those areas without fire hydrants.
- \$500,000 to upgrade tools and equipment used for firefighting, rescue and emergency medical.
- \$300,000 to cover underwriting, insurance and associated costs of the Bond sale.

Submitted by,
Kevin Hendricks, Fire Chief
Woodburn Fire District

Argument For

**VOTE YES FOR CONTINUING QUALITY FIRE PROTECTION SERVICES!
VOTE YES ON MEASURE NO. 24-82!**

Today you have an opportunity to show the volunteer and career firefighters at Woodburn Fire District your support for the quality fire protection services they provide to you each day. As you consider your vote on Measure No. 24-82, keep in mind that these men and women show amazing dedication to our community, responding to our calls for assistance day and night. For more than 20 years, Woodburn Fire District has served this area, assisting us in our darkest hours – when our homes have caught fire, when our cars have violently collided, when we've fallen from our beds and couldn't get up again. During that time, they've done their work in the face of reduced revenues, with no additional financial support from voters.

The Woodburn Fire District's request for \$4.6 million to purchase new equipment and remodel it's aging facilities is a bare-bones proposal that will enable this fiscally responsible organization to continue providing exceptional service to our 75-square-mile service area into the next decade.

At a cost of only 28 cents per \$1,000 of assessed property valuation, the bond measure would cost the owner of a \$150,000 home only \$42 per year. That's just \$3.50 per month – a relatively small price to pay for the comfort of knowing firefighters will be able to respond quickly with the most up-to-date equipment available.

Please join with us today! Vote YES on Measure 24-82. Woodburn firefighters have come to our assistance time and time again. Now it's our turn to assist them in fulfilling their basic equipment and facilities needs.

A vote in favor of Measure No. 24-82 is a vote in favor of protecting our community.

This information provided by Friends of the Woodburn Fire District and
Mike Sowa Darryl Mendenhall Pete McCallum

(This information provided by Mike Sowa, Darryl Mendenhall and Pete McCallum)

Vote Yes so that we may continue to provide the service you deserve in equipment that you can depend on!

Since 1976 the population of the Woodburn Fire Dist has doubled, the call volume has tripled; the assessed value of the district has tripled. The main station which houses the career firefighters was built at a time when the district had fewer career firefighters, before the days of female firefighters, before the days when all personnel were assigned projects besides running calls and doing maintenance. The main station needs updating to accommodate these and other changes.

Also on this bond are equipment upgrades. A fire district can be no better than its engines, tenders, ladders etc. The engine bought in 1976 is gone, but the district still has that ladder truck. A ladder truck is an intricate piece of equipment in firefighting operations in a community where we have a mix of industrial, apartment complexes and homes with roof lines that regular ground ladders will not work on. The Fire District and the Board of Directors have been fiscally responsible and were able to purchase two new engines and a tender to replace outdated equipment for 3 of our 5 stations. This bond will allow the district to purchase the necessary equipment to replace other outdated equipment that is eating up our budget due to maintenance cost.

The district survived and found ways to thrive within the confines of the tax limitation measures. These were healthy for the Woodburn Fire District. The district learned to squeeze every nickel out of a dollar. This learning did not come without the pain of employee layoffs but the district continued to provide "Quality and Caring Service" and are a better Fire District for the experience. But the squeezing has been done and it's time to grow into a new century. To face the demands and needs of a fast growing area. We will continue to do our job and now we ask you to do yours. Support your Fire Department and vote in favor of Measure No.24-82.

This information provided by Volunteers and Career Staff of Woodburn Fire District and Charlie Piper Dick Jennings Dave Christoff

(This information provided by Charlie Piper, Dick Jennings and Dave Christoff)

No Argument Against Filed

24-83: JEFFERSON RFPD: GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND- CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND CONSTRUCTION

Referred to the People by the District Board
jefferson fire district capital improvement seven-Year general obligation bond

Question: Shall the District levy \$0.60 per \$1,000 tax assessed value for seven-years starting 2003-2004 to finance capital improvements? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: The Board of Directors of the Jefferson Rural Fire Protection District has determined that the capital improvements (including equipment) and construction are needed in the District. The improvements would serve the needs of the community. This bond funds capital improvements and construction including the acquisition of equipment, costs of borrowing, design, site improvements, construction, fixtures and furnishing the facility and related costs.

This seven-year general obligation bond tax would start July 1, 2003 and is computed each year at a rate of 60 cents for each thousand dollars of assessed value. The District may issue bonds or other obligations payable from this levy to finance the acquisition of the equipment and improvements.

An estimate of the total amount to be raised each fiscal year is:

2003-2004	\$252,442.20
2004-2005	\$260,015.40
2005-2006	\$267,816.00
2006-2007	\$275,850.00
2007-2008	\$284,125.80
2008-2009	\$292,649.40
2009-2010	\$301,429.20
Total	\$1,934,328.00

Explanatory Statement:

The Jefferson Rural Fire Protection District is requesting the taxpayers of the district to consider a Seven (7) Year, 1.5 million dollar General Obligation Bond. The Bond would be \$0.60¢ per \$1000 tax assessed value for Seven years starting 2003-2004 to finance capital improvements.

The levy would fund the following projects, including, but not limited to:

The purchase of six (6) new emergency vehicles to replace aging, outdated and unreliable equipment. These vehicles will replace emergency equipment with an average age of twenty (20) years.

The purchase of firefighting equipment (hose, nozzles, tools, radios, appliances etc.) to outfit the new emergency vehicles.

The purchase and setup of a pre-manufactured office module on property on the south side of the current station, which is owned by the Fire District. This office module would provide office space for the four (4) Staff members and a training room. The current station has one (1) office and no dedicated area for training.

The purchase of property to place a new station in the future.

Submitted by,

Don Pembrose

Fire Chief

Jefferson Rural Fire Protection District

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-84: CITY OF SILVERTON: GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND - CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS POOL

Referred to the People by the City Council

Silverton General Obligation Pool Bonds Authorization

Question: Shall the City be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount of not more than \$1,200,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b of Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: The City is seeking approval of an eleven (11) year general obligation bond to finance various capital construction and improvement projects related to the City's Municipal Pool. Proposed projects include resurfacing the pool, providing a cover, constructing a bath house, and related improvements.

Explanatory Statement:

The City is seeking approval of an eleven (11) year general obligation bond to finance various capital construction and improvement projects related to the City's Municipal Pool. Proposed projects include resurfacing the pool, providing a cover, constructing a bath house, and related improvements.

This measure may result in a estimated tax rate of \$.42 per one thousand assessed value in 2002-03. An owner of a \$150,000 home may pay about \$63.00 per year or \$5.25 per month.

Submitted by,
Brian Cosgrove, City Manager
City of Silverton

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-85: KEIZER FIRE DISTRICT: LOCAL OPTION TAX - OPERATIONS AND EQUIPMENT

Referred to the People by the District Board
Five-Year Operations and Equipment Local Option Tax

Question: Shall Keizer Fire District impose \$.35 per \$1,000 of assessed value for five years for operations and equipment beginning 2003-2004? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: This levy will allow the District to meet the increasing costs of operations. Additional funds will allow the District to purchase a new ambulance in 2003, a new fire engine in 2004, and hire two firefighter/paramedics to provide adequate staffing to respond to fire and medical emergencies. The first year of the five year levy will be made is fiscal year 2003-2004. The proposed rate will raise approximately \$391,595 in 2003-2004, \$403,343 in 2004-2005, \$415,443 in 2005-2006, \$427,906 in 2006-2007 and \$440,744 in 2007-2008.

Explanatory Statement:

The elected Board of Directors for the Keizer Rural Fire Protection District ("District" as used herein) decided by unanimous vote on 8/20/02 to place a funding measure on the November ballot. Approval of this measure may cause property taxes to increase. The Board is asking District residents to consider a five-year local option levy. Also, approval of this measure would represent an increase of \$0.35 per \$1,000 of assessed property valuation. This measure would fund District operations for the next five years.

The Board of Directors is requesting funding for each of the five years for the following:

1. Hire two firefighters/paramedics to provide the District with adequate staffing to respond to fires and emergency medical calls.
2. To provide funds to purchase a new ambulance in 2003.
3. To provide funds to purchase a new fire engine in 2004.
4. To provide funds necessary for the operation of the District.

To fund operations, the District has depleted a building maintenance fund and is depleting reserve funds set aside for equipment purchases. These funds were saving accounts established over the years to cover costs to replace and maintain equipment, not to fund day-to-day operations. The District's operating levy was established in 1990. The District's operating costs have dramatically increased as the community has grown, as have the needs of our community, while revenues have not kept pace.

The District is served by 38 volunteer firefighters and 17 paid personnel, who responded to 2387 calls for service in 2001. Calls for service have increased 11% to date and the District anticipates responding to 2649 calls for service in 2002. The District covers approximately 10 square miles.

The District's permanent tax rate is \$1.3526 per \$1,000 of assessed property valuation. The cost for General Obligations approved in 1995 to construct the new fire station is \$0.1994 per \$1,000. This will end in 2016. Approval of this local option levy would represent an additional \$.35 per thousand or \$35 per year for a \$100,000 home. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of the estimate.

Keizer Fire Protection District is not part of the city or county but is a "special service district", a unit of government equal to a city or county. It was created by a vote of the people in 1948. It is governed by an elected Board of Directors who are

residents of the District. As elected representatives of the District residents, their responsibility is to represent the interests of the District residents by making policy decisions that ensure the highest level of fire and life safety services provided in the most cost effective manner.

Further information can be obtained by calling 503-390-9111 or at www.keizerfire.com.

Submitted by:

R. Mark Miedema, President, Board of Directors
Keizer Rural Fire Protection District

Argument For

Your Keizer Firefighters Need Your Support

The Keizer Volunteer Firefighter Association and the International Association of Firefighters Local 3881 have unanimously voted support for measure 24-85.

For the last 54 years Keizer firefighters have worked diligently to provide the citizens of Keizer with the best fire protection and emergency medical services in the area. However, for the last five years, tax limiting measures and Urban Renewal Projects have begun to force the Keizer Fire District to start cutting into its services. To balance the budget two firefighter/paramedic positions have gone vacant and we have sold a fire engine without replacing it.

Weekday mornings there are only two firefighters on duty. This staffing is below nationally recognized standards. At minimum three firefighters are needed to adequately fight a fire that may occur at your residence. Measure 24-85 would allow the Fire District to hire back its two firefighter/paramedic positions.

For decades Keizer Fire District has set aside monies in equipment funds so that it could replace aging equipment. Over the last five years those monies have been taken from those equipment funds and have been used to balance the budget so that the District could still operate at expected levels. Not too long ago the Fire District had five fire engines at its disposal, now it has only three. If one of the fire engines needs maintenance or is broke-down then the Fire District is left with only two. The Fire District must replace one of its sold off fire engines to ensure that it could adequately provide fire protection to its citizens.

Career and Volunteer firefighters ask for you to support measure 24-85. We are your insurance agents, your grocery cashiers, your pastors, and your homebuilders; We're your neighbors, your firefighters. Ensure that we can adequately protect your families, your homes and your businesses.

Vote YES on measure 24-85

Scott Wildfang Brian Butler

President President

Keizer Volunteer Firefighters Association IAFF Local 3881

(This information provided by Scott Wildfang, Keizer Volunteer Ass. and Brian Butler, Keizer Professional Firefighters)

No Arguments in Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-86: CITY OF SALEM: ANNEXATION - 5700 BATTLECREEK SE

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation of 6.23 Acres of Property

Question: Shall the property located east of Landau Street SE in the 5700 block of Battlecreek Road SE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 6.23 acres of property located east of Landau Street SE in the 5700 block of Battlecreek Road SE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agricultural).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved, this measure would result in annexation of 6.23 acres of property to the City of Salem. The City Council found the proposed annexation meets all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. The City Charter requires submitting this annexation to the voters.

If voters approve this annexation, the applicants propose to develop a 16-lot single family residential subdivision on two of the parcels. There are no specific development plans for the two remaining enclaves. If the entire property develops at the density of the surrounding development, there will be 29 dwelling units with a density of 4.65 dwelling units per acre.

The property is located east of Landau Street SE in the 5700 block of Battlecreek Road SE, is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential." Zoning of the property if annexed would be RA (Residential Agriculture) which permits agricultural activities and single family residential land uses.

If the property is developed as proposed by the applicants, the proposed annexation is estimated to create an annual benefit of \$8,663 to the City's General Fund (in year 2000 dollars). Planned level of service increases could correspondingly decrease the annexation's fiscal benefit.

School funding for operations and maintenance is largely funded by the State School Fund Formula Revenues, including property taxes, state general purpose grant, etc. In fiscal year 2001-02, State School Fund Revenues provided \$6,050 per

student to the Salem-Keizer School District. In fiscal year 2001-02, the School estimates the annual cost per student to be \$6,527. The difference between the State School Fund Revenues and estimated costs are made up through Exempt Resources, including interest earnings, beginning balance, etc. The School District estimates that 14 students, with a potential facility capital cost to the taxpayers of the School District of \$65,173 could result from development of the property. Revenues to support capital costs are normally raised from voter passed bond measures.

The Salem Fire Department indicates a response time of one to two minutes. The Police Department indicates that an increase of 0.09 full time equivalent police officers would be required. The Public Works Department indicates that adequate services will be constructed by the developers at their cost. Community Services-Parks indicates that partial park service would be provided by the Lee/Battlecreek Park. The Salem Finance Department indicates the property would begin paying city property taxes in 2003-2004.

The urban growth policies of the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan may be reviewed on the City's web site, at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon. Also, additional information and findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated June 10, 2002. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review on the City's web site, at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by,
Salem City Council

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-87: CITY OF SALEM: ANNEXATION - W. OF 3321 HAROLD NE

Submitted to the People by the City Council
A Measure Proposing Annexation of 0.49 Acres of Property

Question: Shall the property located west of Harold Drive NE (3321 Harold Drive NE) be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 0.49 acres of property located west of Harold Drive NE (3321 Harold Drive NE) to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem CR (Commercial Retail).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved, this measure would result in annexation of 0.49 acres to the City of Salem. The City Council found the proposed annexation to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. The City Charter requires submitting this annexation to the voters.

The property has been developed in Marion County as a detoxification/residential facility. As a condition of development, the property was required to be annexed to the City in order to receive water and sewer service.

The property is located west of Harold Drive NE (3321 Harold Drive NE), is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Commercial." Zoning of the property, if annexed, would be CR (Commercial Retail) which typically permits retail and service activities.

Assuming development as currently exists, the proposed annexation is estimated to create an annual benefit of \$2,746 to the City's General Fund (in year 2000 dollars). Planned level of service increases could correspondingly decrease the annexation's fiscal benefit.

School district costs should not be affected by development following this annexation, since commercial development does not result in additional students.

The Salem Fire Department indicates a response time of two to three minutes. The Police Department indicates that the annexation would have a minor impact on police service. The Public Works Department indicates that adequate services will be constructed by the developers at their cost. Community Services-Parks indicates that park service is within the McKay and Eastgate Basin service radii. The Salem Finance Department indicates the property would begin paying city property taxes in 2003-2004.

The urban growth policies of the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan may be reviewed on the city's web site, at the Salem Public Library, at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon. Also, additional information and findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated June 10, 2002. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review on the city's web site, at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by,
Salem City Council

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-88: CITY OF SALEM: ANNEXATION - 1600 DOAKS FERRY NW

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation of 0.84 Acres of Property

Question: Shall the property located west of Doaks Ferry Road NW (1600 Block of Doaks Ferry Road NW) be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 0.84 acres of property located west of Doaks Ferry Road NW in the 1600 Block of Doaks Ferry Road NW to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture). The property is developed as part of the West Salem High School and park.

Explanatory Statement:

If approved, this measure would result in annexation of 0.84 acres to the City of Salem. The City Council found the proposed annexation to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. The City Charter requires submitting this annexation to the voters.

If the voters approve the annexation, the applicant proposes to develop the property as part of the West Salem High School/Community Park.

The property is located west of Doaks Ferry Road NW in the 1600 block, is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential." Zoning of the property, if annexed, would be RA (Residential Agriculture) which would typically permit the development of residential uses as well as the development of park land.

If the property is developed as currently proposed, the proposed annexation is estimated to create an annual loss of \$71 to the City's General Fund (in year 2000 dollars). Planned level of service increases may offset the fiscal impact.

School district costs should not be affected by development following this annexation, since park development does not result in additional students.

The Salem Fire Department indicates a response time of two to three minutes. The Police Department indicates that the annexation would have a minor impact on police service. The Public Works Department indicates that adequate services will be constructed by the developers at their cost. Community Services-Parks indicates that the annexation is within the West Salem High School Community Park.

The urban growth policies of the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan may be reviewed on the city's web site, at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon. Also, additional information and findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated June 10, 2002. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review on the city's web site, at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by,

Salem City Council

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-89: CITY OF SALEM: ANNEXATION - I-5 & FISHER RD NE

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation of 7.68 Acres of Property

Question: Shall the property located east of I-5 By-Pass and west of Fisher Road NE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 7.68 acres of property located east of I-5 By-Pass and west of Fisher Road NE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem IP (Industrial Park).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved, this measure would result in annexation of 7.68 acres. The City Council found the proposed annexation meets all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. The City Charter requires submitting this annexation to the voters.

If voters approve the annexation, the applicants propose to build an 87,911 square foot mini-warehouse with a 2,880 square foot gate house. The proposed annexation is estimated to create an annual benefit of \$13,721 to the City's General Fund (in year 2000 dollars). Planned level of service increases could correspondingly decrease the annexation's fiscal benefit.

The property is located east on I-5 By-Pass and west of Fisher Road NE. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary, and designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Industrial." Zoning of the property, if annexed, would be IP (Industrial Park) which typically permit manufacturing and warehouse uses.

School district costs should not be affected by development following this annexation, since industrial development does not result in additional students.

The Salem Fire Department indicates a response time of two to three minutes. The Police Department indicates that industrial property typically has minimal impact on the demand for police services. The Public Works Department indicates that adequate services will be constructed by the developers at their cost. Community Services-Parks indicates that the property is within the Eastgate Basin and Northgate park service radius. The Salem Finance Department indicates the property would begin paying city property taxes in 2003-2004.

The urban growth policies of the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan may be reviewed on the city's web site, at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon. Also, additional information and findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated June 10, 2002. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review on the city's web site, at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by,
Salem City Council

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-90: CITY OF SALEM: ANNEXATION -GREENCREST & AUBURN NE

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation of 0.46 Acres of Property

Question: Shall the property located east of Greencrest Street NE and north of Auburn Road NE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 0.46 acres of property located east of Greencrest Street NE and north of Auburn Road NE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RS (Single Family Residential).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved, this measure would result in annexation of 0.46 acres of property to the City of Salem. The City Council found the proposed annexation meets all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. The City Charter requires submitting this annexation to the voters.

If voters approve the annexation, the applicants propose to build single family dwellings on two of the three existing lots. There is an existing single family dwelling on the third lot. Assuming residential densities as provided by the applicants, there will be three single family dwellings on with a density of 6.52 dwelling units per acre.

The property proposed for annexation is located east of Greencrest Street NE and north of Auburn Road NE. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential." Zoning of the property, if annexed, would be RS (Single Family Residential). The RS zoning would permit single family dwellings on individual lots.

If the property is developed as proposed by the applicant, the proposed annexation is estimated to create an annual benefit of \$554 to the City's General Fund (in year 2000 dollars). Planned level of service increases could correspondingly decrease the annexation's fiscal benefit.

School funding for operations and maintenance is largely funded by the State School Fund Formula Revenues, including property taxes, state general purpose grant, etc. In fiscal year 2001-02, State School Fund Revenues provided \$6,050 per student to the Salem-Keizer School District. In fiscal year 2001-02, the School estimates the annual cost per student to be \$6,527. The difference between the State School Fund Revenues and estimated costs are made up through Exempt Resources, including interest earnings, beginning balance, etc. The School District estimates that one student, with a potential facility capital cost to the taxpayers of the School District of \$10,000 could result from development of the property. Revenues to support capital costs are normally raised from voter passed bond measures.

The Salem Fire Department indicates a response time of five to six minutes. The Police Department indicates that the annexation would have minor impact on police services. The Public Works Department indicates that adequate services will be constructed by the developers at their cost. Community Services-Parks indicates that the property is within the Auburn School park service radii. The Salem Finance Department indicates the property would begin paying city property taxes in 2003-2004.

The urban growth policies of the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan may be reviewed on the city's web site, at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon. Also, additional information and findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated June 10, 2002. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review on the city's web site, at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by,
Salem City Council

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-91: MARION COUNTY BASIC LAW ENFORCEMENT FOUR YEAR LEVY

Referred to the People by the Board of Commissioners

Marion County Basic Law Enforcement Four-Year Levy

Question: Shall Marion County levy \$14 million per year for four years beginning in 2003 to fund basic law enforcement services? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: Levy for criminal program focusing on arrest, prosecution, imprisonment, mandatory alcohol/drug treatment and intensive community supervision of high-risk offenders.

Levy could fund:

144 beds: 72 maximum security beds at the Marion County jail, 72 minimum security beds at Work Release Center, adds 20.25 corrections staff.

24 deputy sheriffs and staff for enforcement, investigation, reduction of gang/drug related criminal activity, including countywide narcotics team.

Five criminal prosecutors and staff for gang/drug networks to hold criminals accountable; five full-time crime victim advocates supporting victims through court proceedings.

Four adult parole/probation deputies and staff to provide intensive community supervision of high-risk offenders; mandatory alcohol/drug treatment for juvenile and adult offenders to treat 70-100 juveniles and 300 adults annually; and \$400,000 in technology and law enforcement equipment upgrades annually.

\$400,000 annual reserve fund for unanticipated costs including up to \$200,000 for potential operational costs of the new juvenile detention facility.

Marion County faces budget deficits, which would result in reduced funding for criminal justice programs. This levy could be used to mitigate those cuts.

Levy raises \$56 million total.

Rates are anticipated to decline over the 4-year period. The estimated rate will be approximately \$1.00/\$1,000 valuation in 2003; \$0.97/\$1,000 valuation in 2004; \$0.94/\$1,000 valuation in 2005; and \$0.91/\$1,000 valuation in 2006.

The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of estimate.

Explanatory Statement:

Why is this levy proposed?

All county criminal justice departments rely on county general funds for most of their operating budgets. Faced with shrinking financial resources, and system gaps that continue to widen, our criminal justice system cannot keep pace with demands for enforcement, prosecution, jail, detention, and parole and probation supervision. This levy could be used to mitigate budget cuts.

Who is served by the Marion County criminal justice system?

All residents of Marion County are served by the criminal justice system. Between 80-90% of offenders are city residents. Once a city police officer or county sheriff arrests and delivers the detainee to the county jail, the outcome and remaining costs fall on the county.

How would levy funds be spent?

Corrections:

Levy could fund 144 beds: 72 maximum security, 72 minimum-security.

Between July 2000 and June 2001, 16,595 people were arrested and brought to the Marion County Jail. Of these, 4,116 were cited and released and another 3,624 sentenced criminals were released early due to lack of jail space.

Offenders on adult parole and probation supervision have increased 37% in the past seven years. The 37 adult parole and probation deputies currently supervise an average caseload of 100 offenders.

Enforcement:

Additional 24 deputy sheriffs: 18 would be assigned to county-wide patrol and back-up support to cities; two would be assigned to work as detectives; four would be assigned to work on the county-wide interagency gang/narcotic team.

Marion County is one of three counties in Oregon that Congress declared a High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area.

Methamphetamine labs, including super-sized labs, have proliferated.

Alcohol and Drug Treatment:

Drug and alcohol treatment is available for only 30% of offenders who have addiction problems. 70 to 80% of all juvenile and adult offenders have alcohol and/or drug problems. The levy would fund addiction treatment for 300 offenders annually, which would reduce future criminal activity.

District Attorney:

Marion County prosecutors have the highest caseload among comparable counties. The levy could fund 5 prosecutors to reduce the more than 300 felony narcotics cases and hundreds of misdemeanor cases that are not prosecuted due to budget constraints.

In the 10,000 cases filed by the District Attorney's office in 2001, victim advocates were assigned to only 2,336 victims. The 5 victim advocates would assist some of the thousands of victims currently left without assistance.

Juvenile Department:

The Marion County Juvenile Department supervises nearly 2,000 juvenile offenders each year. In 2001, 44% of the juvenile offenders self-reported substance abuse problems. Many youth are unable to access services or the services they need are not available when they need them. Funds would provide drug and alcohol treatment for 70-100 youth annually and could support operation of new juvenile detention facility.

What impact would the levy have on property tax bills?

On a home with an assessed value of \$100,000 the annual increase would be approximately \$100 for the year 2003; \$97 for 2004; \$94 for 2005; and \$91 for 2006.

Submitted by,

Marion County Board of Commissioners

Argument in Favor:

We, the members of the Marion County Law Enforcement Association, want to underline for you a crisis in our county. As Deputies and support personnel in the Marion County Sheriff's Office, we see firsthand the explosion of crime in our county. While crimes have grown at an exponential rate the local population has increased dramatically, the Sheriff's Office, District Attorney's Office and Juvenile Division have fallen drastically behind.

With a .75 peace officers per population of 1,000 in Marion County, we fall well behind the national average of 2.5 peace officers for the same population. For that reason, response time to calls for service can be lengthy and an officer's safety can be in jeopardy because a back-up officer can be 30 miles away. We are sometimes forced to place phone calls to victims rather than respond in person.

Likewise, the capacity of the Marion County jail has been drastically reduced due to previous year's tax cut measures. The work center (which nightly housed offenders who would otherwise have been out on the streets) has been forced to close. An entire "pod" at the jail is in jeopardy of being shut down. These reductions could result in a total of 272 beds left vacant because not enough Corrections Deputies and other resources are available for supervision.

The Public Safety Levy will allow us to put more officers on the street and keep more offenders in jail. We feel there is no other reasonable option at this point. We offer our unified support of this funding measure and ask the people of Marion County to stand with us in our commitment to keep Marion County a safe community to live in by giving us the ability to do our jobs effectively.

PLEASE VOTE "YES" ON THE PUBLIC SAFETY LEVY.

Marion County Law Enforcement Association

(This information provided by Chris Melgard, President, Marion County Law Enforcement Assoc.)

CRIMINALS IN MARION COUNTY ARE GETTING A FREE RIDE.

We no longer have enough prosecutors to charge hundreds of criminals who steal, forge, destroy property or are involved with drugs like methamphetamine.

I believe the people of Marion County deserve better. Most citizens are unaware of the crisis in our justice system until they become a victim. But criminals are quite aware that the system can't touch them, and they exploit it everyday by committing more crimes.

This crisis cuts across every part of the public safety system:

- Criminals are not held in jail
- Criminals don't even come to court because they know they won't be held in jail
- Our office can't prosecute hundreds of arrested criminals so they get immunity
- Even criminals on probation don't obey the law because there are too few probation officers to supervise them and no jail space for punishment

Rest assured, criminals who commit violent crimes are prosecuted and sent to prison. But 80% of all Oregon crimes can only be punished with our local jail or probation office. These are the criminals who steal, repeatedly break into cars and businesses or forge checks and credit cards. They damage your property and your life.

This levy is designed to hold these criminals responsible for their crimes. Jail cells, prosecutors, victim assistance, deputy sheriffs and probation officers will be increased to stop the free ride for criminals.

We can no longer allow Marion County to be a safe haven for criminals.

PLEASE JOIN ME IN VOTING "YES" ON MEASURE 24-91.

Dale Penn

Marion County District Attorney

(This information provided by Mike Ryan, Marion County Citizens Fighting Crime)

Marion County's criminal justice system is in jeopardy. But there is something you and I can do about it. Drugs and alcohol continue to negatively impact our families and add to the increase in crime. We can vote for Measure #24-91, which is on the November general election ballot. It is a \$14 million per year Basic Law Enforcement Levy that will run for four years and help us fight crime in Marion County. As Marion County sheriff, I know first-hand about the law enforcement questions we face here. Measure #24-91 has the answers:

- * We will be able to add 144 more jail cells to lock up criminals.
- We will add 72 cells at the county jail and another 72 at the work release center.
- * We will be able to hire more deputy sheriffs to help control gangs and drugs -- and to improve our ability to enforce laws in our community. We also will add new parole/probation officers and staff in the District Attorney's office to prosecute offenders.
- * We will be able to provide mandatory alcohol and drug treatment for juvenile and adult offenders. The recent Connections 2 study showed that, without this kind of treatment, more than half of those with alcohol and drug problems will commit crimes again.

Over the past several months, I have worked with other law enforcement officials in Marion County to craft the solutions contained in Measure #24-91. With passage, we will be able to assure that criminals are held accountable for their crimes. This measure represents a solid investment in our community's safety. PLEASE JOIN ME IN VOTING "YES" ON MEASURE 24-91.

Raul Ramirez
Marion County Sheriff
On behalf of the Citizens Fighting Crime Committee

(This information provided by Mike Ryan, Marion County Citizens Fighting Crime)

HELPING CRIME VICTIMS

As a volunteer victim advocate, Kay Benish said, "There are so many victims who need you, assisting them through the confusing, daunting judicial system has been a very enriching experience for me". The Marion County Victims' Assistance Program was established in 1981. Thousands of victims have been assisted by staff and volunteer victim advocates. A few services provided to victims are:

- accompaniment to court hearings and guidance through the justice system;
- awareness of victims rights;
- assistance with victims compensation claims;
- advocacy between law enforcement, assigned prosecutor and the victim;
- 24 hour on-call response services to victims of sexual assault and survivors of homicide.

Unfortunately advocates currently have to prioritize their caseloads and provide services to only "victims of violent person crimes". Due to the large crime rate within Marion County, victims of property crimes such as robbery, burglary, identity theft, and home invasions are among those who do not receive direct services. Elderly and vulnerable disabled citizens are among these victims.

The proposed levy would provide five additional victim advocate positions to help fill this enormous gap in services. This past year the Marion County Victim Assistance program provided services to over 2,000 victims of crime. An additional 3,000 victims were not assigned an advocate due to the current limitations.

Anyone can become a victim of crime at any time. I realized this when my 12 year old daughter, Lisa, was murdered October 1992 in Lake Oswego. Crime does not discriminate, it devastates.

The Marion County Victim Assistance Program is committed to providing equal services to all victims of crime. As stated by Solon, "Justice will be served only when those who are not injured by crime feel as indignant as those who are." Please join in supporting services for crime victims in Marion County by voting YES on Measure 24-19.

Steve Doell, President
Crime Victims United of Oregon

(This information provided by Mike Ryan, Marion County Citizens Fighting Crime)

The Marion County Victim Assistance Program provided assistance to over 2000 crime victims in our community last year. Listen to what victims of crime have to say concerning their experience being helped by victim advocates:

- “The Victim Assistance Program has been a blessing to my family! Everyone has been so helpful by providing emotional support, information on services and help through the legal system. This is a valuable service that needs to grow to be able to help other victims and their families.” Bertha McPherson
- “The Victim Advocate provided a great service to us in feeling safe and made the process a lot easier.” Cynde Torrez
- “Our Victim Advocate went above and beyond the call of duty! Our advocate was wonderful. Such compassionate people are needed in these days of coldness.” Jeff & Linda Gifford
- “I wanted to take this opportunity to acknowledge my support and thanks for the Victims Advocate Program. It is a very beneficial and much needed program. I strongly support the continuance of this program. It is money well spent. It truly is a great place to turn to for support and help during a most vulnerable time in a person’s life. I’m sure glad this program was available to help someone very close to me. It also is beneficial for the family and friends of the victim.” Cynthia A. Rand

There are many crimes victims who cannot be helped because there are not enough crime victim advocates. The levy will provide five additional victim advocates to help thousands more crime victims over the next four years.

Please join us in supporting crime victims in Marion County by voting YES ON MEASURE 24-19.

(This information provided by Mike Ryan, Marion County Citizens Fighting Crime)

VOTE YES ON THE BASIC 4-YEAR LAW ENFORCEMENT LEVY

The Federation of Oregon Parole and Probation Officers represents 35 deputies in Marion County. We feel that this levy not only makes sense, but also is necessary to help preserve public safety in our community.

The Marion County Sheriff’s Office is responsible for providing law enforcement services in the county, operating the Marion County Jail and providing Parole and Probation services throughout the county. However, due to decreases in state funding, these services are being steadily reduced.

Parole and Probation services more than 3,500 corrections clients in Marion County, of which approximately 500 are supervised on the sex offender caseloads.

- The average general caseload is in excess of 75 corrections clients per deputy.
- The average sex offender caseload is in excess of 80 corrections clients per deputy.
- The average domestic violence caseload is in excess of 90 clients per deputy.

[Studies conducted by the Oregon Department of Corrections recommend a ration of 60 to 1.]

Although there is a decrease in state funding, forecasts published by the Oregon Department of Administrative Services Office of Economic Analysis estimate a statewide increase of 8.3% in the number of felony probations and an increase of 10.1% in the number of supervised parole and post-prison cases by July 2005.

This levy would give the Marion County Sheriff’s Office the ability to:

- Hire 10 Parole and Probation Deputies and support staff, with the goal of increasing community-based monitoring of high-risk clients
- Hire 24 Patrol Deputies and support staff
- Hire 22 Corrections Deputies, increasing the bed capacity at the Marion County Jail and re-opening a portion of the Marion County Work Center.

The Federation of Oregon Parole and Probation Officers urges you to vote YES on the Basic 4-year Law Enforcement Levy and YES to public safety.

Mark Jones
Marion County
President, FOPPO

(This information provided by Mark A. Jones, FOPPO)

I support the public safety levy. Our criminal justice system is in crisis. Professionals who work in the law enforcement field express increased concern about their ability to effectively guarantee the safety of the citizens of Marion County within the current budget constraints. Their grave concern for citizen safety, their decreasing ability to hold criminals accountable for crimes, the increased time it takes to respond to calls from citizens in the county, the major increase in drug use, and the lack of staff to support victims of crime make passage of this levy critical.

Crime is increasing in our county and is directly related to an increase in drug abuse. Drug users commit more violent crimes and are responsible for the increase in child abuse, domestic violence, and crimes against neighbors. Once an individual is incarcerated, law enforcement personnel have an obligation, to attempt to correct the behavior responsible for the criminal activity. I support the focus on mandatory drug, alcohol, and mental health treatment as a condition of release for those incarcerated. Citizens must feel confident that adults and youth sentenced for drug related crimes will return to their neighborhoods drug free.

The levy as presented assures the county will continue to provide basic protection to citizens. Response for assistance will be less prolonged. Victims will have increased support. Criminals will be prosecuted and held accountable for their

crimes. Police can arrest, the District Attorney can prosecute, judges can sentence, because jail space will be available. Probation and parole officers will be able to enforce conditions of release and communities will be safe. Vote yes on the public safety levy.

(This information provided by Mike Ryan, Marion County Citizens Fighting Crime)

No Arguments in Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-92: CITY OF ST. PAUL: 2 YR LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR GENERAL OPERATION

Referred to the People by the City Council

Two-Year Local Option Tax for General Operations/Administrative Services

Question: Shall St. Paul impose \$1.50 per \$1,000 assessed value for two years for operations and administrative services beginning July 2003? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: The City of St. Paul is faced with a variety of pressing issues including land use planning, housing development, and resource protection. At the present time; these issues are being addressed by one full time employee, limited consultant assistance, and volunteer citizen efforts. The existing permanent tax rate is insufficient to fund services necessary to adequately address these issues and operate City functions. The proposed measure would fund City Recorder, Administration, land use planning, engineering, legal services and other necessary services to permit the city to more adequately respond to these matters. If this measure is approved it is estimated that approximately \$29,230.00 will be raised in each year that the local option tax is available.

Explanatory Statement:

What is the request?

The requested two (2) year local option tax will add \$1.50 per \$1,000 assessed value to the City's permanent tax rate.

This tax revenue would go into St. Paul's General Fund. This tax will be used to replace an existing local option tax due to expire this year.

What is the General Fund?

The General Fund pays for most city expenditures not covered by the City's Sewer, Water, Street, and other dedicated funds, including such services as police, city council business, administration, finance, property management, record keeping, and planning.

Why does St. Paul need additional General Fund Revenue?

The City currently gets funding from a small permanent tax rate and a temporary local option tax to supplement the General Fund. The temporary tax will expire June 30, 2003. Without additional tax revenue, the City must rely on the permanent tax rate to support the costs of these services. Based upon FY 2002/03 budget information, tax revenue collected for General Fund purposes will be reduced from approximately \$40,740 to approximately \$11,500; unless, another local option tax is approved by the voters. Without additional funding the City will be required to reduce budget levels within the General Fund to compensate for the loss in revenue.

The City is legally required to carry out General fund functions relating to a variety of issues such as police service, property management, development, and City Administration. These issues are currently being addressed with one full-time employee, limited consultant assistance, and citizen volunteer contributions. The local option tax is needed as the City's current Permanent Tax Rate provides insufficient resources to adequately address these issues and run the day-to-day business of the City.

How much tax revenue does St. Paul currently collect?

The current property tax rate for the City of St. Paul is a combination of three separate taxes totaling \$3.6007 per \$1,000 of assessed value. If voters approve the proposed local option tax, the City's combined tax rate will be \$2.6727 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

Permanent Tax Rate \$0.6157 \$0.6157

Bond Rate \$1.4850 \$0.557

Local Option Tax \$1.50 \$1.50

Total \$3.6007 \$2.6727

What should citizens know about the requested local option tax?

Under state law, the City cannot increase its permanent tax rate. It may ask voters to approve temporary tax measures.

The revenues from the measure must be put into a separate fund and can only be used for the purposes stated in the measure. If the recommended measure is approved, the City would collect the first year's revenues beginning in fiscal year 2003/2004. If the local option tax is not approved, the City will only collect taxes at the permanent tax rate.

The impact of the proposed levy on an individual homeowner will vary by the value of the home. At the proposed combined rate of \$2.6727, property taxes on a \$150,000 home would be \$400.91 per year.

Submitted by,

Ignacio C. Palacios

City Administrator/Recorder

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-93: CITY OF AUMSVILLE, FOUR YEAR POLICE AND PARKS OPERATING COST LEVY

Referred to the People by the City Council

Four Year Police and Parks Operating Cost Levy

Question: Shall Aumsville levy \$112,641.75 yearly, for four years, to sustain the current police department and parks operations, starting in FY2003/2004? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: Based on the city of Aumsville's estimate of revenue and expenses including unfunded costs to the 911 Center and additional costs to Public Employees Retirement System required by state law without passage of this measure:

- Police protection, public safety, and park maintenance and recreation activities will be affected;
- Police services will be reduced from four to three police officer positions;
- A possible additional COPS Grant officer could not be retained, as mandated, after the grant funding expires; and
- There will not be sufficient revenue for future funding of park operating costs.

The \$112,641.75 per year to be raised from this measure would be in effect from July 1, 2003 through June 30, 2007; making the local option tax total amount \$450,567.00. It's use would be restricted to \$370,817.00 in General Fund transfers to the Police Fund and \$79,750.00 in General Fund transfers to the Park Fund, providing sufficient money to maintain city parks and sustain the current police department

Explanatory Statement:

The City of Aumsville has just completed a four-year financial forecast which projects a shortfall in revenues needed to fund the Police Department and the city's parks. The city finances Police and Park services through the city's General Fund, which receives city property taxes. Without the passage of a tax levy, reductions in staffing and services are expected.

This tax levy meets the challenges of upcoming mandates placed on the city by the Legislature. These mandates require closure of the Stayton 911 Dispatch Center, to consolidate 911 calls to one center per county, which will increase dispatch costs for citizens of Aumsville; and costly changes in employer's required contributions to the Public Employees Retirement System. These mandates, combined with other increased operating costs, will leave the city's General Fund short of the necessary funding to continue the current levels of police and park services. The estimated financial impact also left the city short on funding for a COPS Grant to gain an officer, which would bring us back to 24-hour police coverage.

The financial forecast shows the need for increasing property taxes to retain the department's current police officers. Without additional tax money, police services will drop below the current level of four positions, which resulted from the failure of a Law Enforcement Levy in 2000 that cut one police officer and eliminated 24-hour police service in Aumsville. Failure of this levy will limit police response to calls for service. In some cases, there may not be any officer to respond. The impacts of such reductions are magnified by funding gaps at the Marion County Sheriff's Department that limit their ability to cover major incidents in Aumsville when Aumsville Police Department personnel are not on duty.

In addition to the projected shortfalls in the Police Department, the city is also looking at funding shortages in the Park Fund. The costs to maintain and operate city parks exceed the money dedicated to the Park Fund from State Revenue Sharing and the Voice Stream water tower antenna lease.

The Aumsville City Council and staff determined the way to continue providing city services with the lowest cost impact possible was to bring this \$450,567 levy to the voters. Forecasting \$450,567 out over four years, averages out to a \$1.15 per \$1,000 of property value, per year, taxpayer cost.

This levy provides:

„ \$92,704.25 additional Police Fund money per year for four years, totaling \$370,817. To pay the personnel costs for the current police officers, maintain the current police vehicles, provide police and office supplies, maintain the current equipment and building, apply for an additional Cops Grant officer, and provide \$12,680 in additional capital outlay over the next four years.

„ \$19,937.50 additional Park Fund money per year for four years, totaling \$79,750. To pay public works personnel, power, equipment, recreation activities, and fuel costs for maintenance and operation of city parks.

Submitted by,

MaryAnn N. Hills

City Administrator

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-94: CITY OF AUMSVILLE, MEASURE ADOPTING AN UPDATED AUMSVILLE CITY CHARTER

Referred to the People by the City Council

Measure Adopting an Updated Aumsville City Charter

Question: Shall the city of Aumsville adopt a new city charter to replace the current charter adopted in 1964?

Summary: City of Aumsville's current 1964 charter contains outdated terminology, a mayor/council form of government, a \$5,000 debt limitation and no contract liability provisions. The Model Charter for Oregon Cities was used to modernize city government with a new charter that would:

- Form a council/city administrator form of government as recommended for cities that have reached our size;
- Increase the council from six to an uneven seven members;
- No longer mandate the office of recorder or municipal judge, allowing council instead to create, abolish and combine appointive offices;
- Provide updated and gender neutral language in the BOUNDARIES, FORM OF GOVERNMENT, COUNCIL, POWERS AND DUTIES OF OFFICERS, VACANCIES IN OFFICE, and ORDINANCES chapters;
- Conform the ELECTIONS chapter to state law, change filing fee nomination to ordinance procedures, and update Oath of Office language;
- Replace current \$5,000 debt limit with applicable state law limits;
- Delete the following sections now preempted or otherwise covered by state law: Journal, Proceedings To Be Public, Condemnation, Bids, And Torts;
- Add a Contract Liability section.

Explanatory Statement:

Current Aumsville City Charter provisions contain outdated terminology and procedures, provisions now covered under state law, no contract liability provisions, and a \$5,000 debt limitation.

Due to the city's substantial growth since the current charter's adoption, the Aumsville City Council appointed a charter committee to review and update the charter using the Model Charter for Oregon Cities and comparisons of other similar size city charters. After consideration of the committee's recommendation and current operations of the city the council proposed a new modernized and efficient city government charter.

The new charter would:

- Form a council/city administrator form of government, as recommended by the Model Charter for Oregon Cities that have reached our size. The city of Aumsville's current charter adopted in 1964, is a mayor/council form of government comprised of a mayor, five councilors, a municipal judge, and a city recorder. The council/city administrator form of government conforms to the current operations of the city where a professional administrator is on staff. A city manager and city administrator are the same position. The city administrator is the city executive body and the city council is the city legislative body;
- Increase the council from the current even number of six members to an odd number of seven members in case of a tie vote;
- No longer mandate the office of city recorder or municipal judge, however the council may create, abolish and combine these or other appointive offices;
- Update Chapter VI, Elections to conform to state law, continue city council nomination by petition and change nomination by filing fee to procedures prescribed by general ordinance, and update the Oath of Office language;
- Eliminate the current \$5,000 debt limit and replace it with applicable limits set by state law;
- Delete several sections now preempted or otherwise covered adequately by state law including: Section 15, Journal; Section 16, Proceedings to be Public; Section 37, Condemnation; Section 40, Bids and Section 42, Torts;
- Add a contract liability provision; and
- Modernize, simplify and use gender neutral language in Chapter I, Boundaries; Chapter III, Form of Government; Chapter IV, Council; Chapter V, Powers and Duties of Officers; Chapter VII, Vacancies in Office and Chapter VIII, Ordinances.

Submitted by,

Mary L. Gatti, Deputy City Recorder

City of Aumsville

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-95: CITY OF JEFFERSON, ANNEXATION OF TERJESON PROPERTY, 22 ACRES SOUTH OF RIVERWOOD

Referred to the People by the City Council

Annexation of Terjeson Property, 22 Acres South of Riverwood

Question: Shall Jefferson annex 22 acres located south of Riverwood Drive, west of Main Street, and north of Weddle Road?

Summary: The owner (Terjeson) applied for annexation for tax lots 103W11DD02100, 103W11DD02200; and 103W1100100. The Planning Commission and City Council reviewed the proposal, found that it met the approval criteria in the Development Code, and thus submitted it for a vote.

The area is within the Urban Growth Boundary, and designated for residential development in the Comprehensive Plan. If annexed, the land would be eligible for further development (construction of homes or other buildings) and subject to the Jefferson Development Code. The east 4.8 acres would be zoned Medium-Density Residential (minimum lot 6,000 square-feet, 8,000 square-feet for duplexes). The west 17.2 acres would be zoned Agriculture, allowing agricultural uses and residential uses (minimum lot 20,000 square-feet). Subdivision would require additional hearings and review. Other public or institutional uses could be allowed through a conditional use permit hearing. This area is partially within the floodplain, so future development would be required to be designed to prevent flooding new buildings and minimize impact on adjacent properties. If annexed, the land would be included in the City's property tax.

Explanatory Statement:

Paul Terjeson applied for annexation for three parcels (tax lots 103W11DD02100, 103W11DD02200; and 103W1100100). A 1.2 acre parcel owned by the City of Jefferson and the right-of-way for Riverwood Dr are also included in the proposed annexation as shown on map. As required by the Jefferson Development Code, the Planning Commission and City Council held public hearings to review the request. Both bodies found that it met the approval criteria in section 12.76.020 of the Code. The Jefferson Charter requires that annexation, "To the City of Jefferson, may only be approved by a prior majority vote among the electorate." Therefore City Council referred the annexation to a vote.

The area is within the Urban Growth Boundary, and designated for residential development in the Comprehensive Plan. Approval of this annexation does not authorize immediate construction, but would make the area eligible for further development subject to the regulations of the Jefferson Development Code. The east 4.8 acres would be zoned Medium-Density Residential. The west 16.9 acres would be zoned Agriculture, allowing agricultural and residential uses. Subdividing the area into separate lots for residential construction would require additional public hearings and approval from the Planning Commission. The minimum lot size in Medium-Density Residential is 6,000 square-feet for single-family homes, and 8,000 square-feet for duplexes. In the Agricultural zone the minimum lot size is 20,000 square-feet. Other public or institutional uses could be allowed through a conditional use permit hearing. The following are conditionally allowed in both zones: church, chapel, child day-care center, fire station, group care home, museum, public or semipublic building or utility facility, public park or playground, schools (public or private). The following are conditionally allowed only in the Medium-Density Residential zone: cemetery, clinic, community center, hospital, mobilehome park, residential facility, three or four dwellings per lot, artist's studio.

This area is partially within the floodplain. If any construction or filling within the floodplain is proposed, the applicant would be required to provide an engineered design showing that there will be no significant impact on adjacent properties and that any new construction is adequately protected from flooding. The design would be reviewed at a public hearing, and by the City Engineer.

Upon further development the applicant would be required to construct public improvements (for example streets, sidewalks, drainage, water lines, and sewer lines) proportional to the proposed impact. Construction of homes or other buildings would require payment of system development charges to recover a fair share of the cost of the City's water system and sewer system. If annexed, the land would be included in the City's property tax at a rate of \$2.1583 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

Additional information is available including the Comprehensive Plan, Development Code, approval criteria, City Council findings, and legal description of the area proposed for annexation. Contact the Development Coordinator: City Hall, 163 N Main St, PO Box 83, Jefferson, OR 97352-0083; Phone: (541) 327-2768 x113; Fax: (541) 327-3120, Email: planner@ci.jefferson.or.us.

Submitted by,
Sarah Jimmerson
City Recorder

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-96: HUBBARD RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, 5-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX

Referred to the People by the District Board
5 Year Local Option Tax

Question: Shall Hubbard Rural Fire Protection District impose \$.435 per \$1,000 of assessed value for five years beginning in 2003-2004? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: This measure, if approved, would provide funds for the District to train its volunteer firefighter/medics. In addition, it will allow the District to continue to fund current levels of services, maintain its existing equipment and replace old protection equipment. The requested rate will raise approximately \$60,000 each fiscal year.

Explanatory Statement:

The Hubbard Rural Fire Protection District is placing a measure before the voters that would impose \$.435 per \$1,000 of assessed value for five years.

The purpose of the measure is to allow the District to continue to fund current levels of service, maintain existing equipment and to replace old equipment such as protective boots, coats, pants, gloves, air packs, face masks and fire hose.

The revenue will also be used to send its volunteer firefighter/medics to Occupational Safety & Health Act required seminars and other essential training seminars, and for the purchase of training tools such as CPR mannequins and current training manuals. It is essential to the District to encourage and maintain a well-trained volunteer workforce.

Submitted By,

Dennis C. Peterson, Chairman of the Board
Hubbard Rural Fire Protection District

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-97: CITY OF HUBBARD, REFERENDUM TO ADOPT A NEW CITY OF HUBBARD CHARTER

Referred to the People by the City Council

Referendum to Adopt a New City of Hubbard Charter

Question: Shall a new city charter be adopted, replacing the current charter?

Summary: This referendum measure grants general powers to the city and deletes detailed specific powers. It provides a \$5,000 public improvement bid requirement replacing an existing \$2,000 bid requirement, defines qualifications for elective and appointive city officers and makes other technical and procedural changes

Explanatory Statement:

The City Charter establishes the form and general powers under which City government operates.

Ballot Measure No. 24-97 creates a new City Charter. The current Charter was passed in 1965 and other than for tax measures has not been reviewed and revised since that time. The Hubbard City Council believes that the existing Charter needs revisions. On August 13, 2002, the City Council passed Hubbard City Resolution No. 348-2002 proposing the adoption of a new City Charter for the City of Hubbard. A charter can be adopted only by vote of the public.

Unlike the current Charter, the new Charter does not include provisions which have been pre-empted or are better addressed by State law. For example, it eliminates provisions concerning condemnation. It grants general powers to the City and deletes detailed specific powers. It provides a \$5,000 public improvement bid requirement, replacing the existing \$2,000 bid requirement and defines qualifications for elective and appointive City officers.

The Council has used the Model Charter for Oregon Cities prepared by the University of Oregon's Bureau of Governmental Research as its guide in updating the Charter and making it more responsive to the 21st Century. The City Council subscribes to the language found in the Forward of the Model Charter which states that a charter should be "limited to essential basic provisions that leave to the people of a city and their elected representatives maximum leeway in making policy choices about city government."

The text of the proposed new Charter follows. A copy of the current Charter may be obtained by contacting the Hubbard City Recorder's office at (503) 981-9633.

Submitted by

Donald Thwing, Mayor, on behalf of
Hubbard City Council

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-98: CITY OF DETROIT, AMENDING DETROIT CITY CHARTER TO INCREASE COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP

Referred to the People by the City Council

Amending Detroit City Charter to Increase Council Membership

Question: Shall the Detroit City Council be increased to seven (7) Councilors?

Summary: The City of Detroit's Charter designates a Council composed of five (5) members elected at large. This measure increases the City Council to seven (7) members. The two additional members shall be appointed by the Council at the January 2003 Council Meeting for a 2-year term. At the General Election, November 2004, and at each subsequent biennial election, the three Councilors receiving the highest number of votes shall each hold office for four (4) years and

the other members for two (2) years. A quorum will consist of four (4) Councilors. This amendment gives the City more Councilors to work on the many demanding and challenging issues now facing the City of Detroit

Explanatory Statement:

The council is referring this charter amendment to the voters asking for a charter change from five (5) Councilors to seven (7) Councilors. In the past, council members were appointed as commissioners to departments of Streets, Building, Police, Water and Mayor. The council now finds it is in need of two additional commissioners. A commissioner for Sewers and a commissioner for Finance and Liaison with part time residents.

1. Water Systems
2. Sewer Project
3. Opal Creek Monies
4. Lake Level Issues
5. Recreational Lake Listing
6. City Financing
7. City Streets Improvements

The requested charter change will give the council two additional council members to address these issues.

Submitted by,
Sandra K. Furbish
City Recorder
Elections Officer

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-99: GATES RURAL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, FOUR YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX (joint with Linn County)

Referred to the People by the District Board
Four-Year Local Option Tax for Gates Rural Fire Protection District

Question: Shall Gates Fire District impose \$.9033 per \$1,000 of assessed value for operations and equipment for four years beginning 2003-2004. This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: The Gates Rural Fire Protection District is seeking a four year local option tax to provide funding to maintain the current level of emergency services and to cover the increasing costs of operating the fire district. Additional funds will also allow for the replacement of the outdated breathing air supply fill station and the development of a turnout laundry system and equipment decontamination center.

The first year of the levy will be fiscal year 2003-2004. The proposed rate will generate approximately \$32,635 in 2003-2004, \$33,614 in 2004-2005, \$34,622 in 2005-2006, and \$35,661 in 2006-2007.

Explanatory Statement:

The Gates Rural Fire Protection District is asking voters to approve a four year local option tax in the amount of \$.9033 per \$1,000 of valuation. This is actually a request for a four year extension or continuation of a local option tax voters approved in November of 1998. It would take effect July 1, 2003, and end June 30, 2007. With the continuation of this option tax, the district will be able to continue to provide quality service in fire protection and emergency medical/rescue operations. By maintaining a high quality department, patrons will continue to receive a better insurance rating, thereby reducing insurance costs to district businesses and households.

Submitted by,
Randall J. Mickey
Gates Rural Fire Protection District

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-100: NORTH SANTIAM SCHOOL DISTRICT 29J, THREE-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR EQUIPMENT, TECHNOLOGY, AND MAINTENANCE (joint with Linn County)

Referred to the People by the District Board
Three-Year Local Option Tax for Equipment, Technology, and Maintenance

Question: Shall the North Santiam School District impose \$500,000 for general operations for three-years beginning 2003-2004? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: The District will use the funding for the purchase of textbooks, furnishings, and classroom instructional supplies; for technology equipment and software; for maintenance and repair of current facilities; for co-curricular supplies, uniforms, equipment and transportation.

The average effective rate estimated for all types of property is 75 cents per thousand of assessed valuation. This average rate will raise \$500,000 per year for three-years beginning in 2003-2004.

The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of estimate

Explanatory Statement:

WHAT:

The North Santiam School District has proposed a Local Option tax measure that is intended to preserve programs and provide textbooks, library books, technological equipment, software, and other classroom supplies. In addition, it will fund a portion of the priority one maintenance and repair projects of current facilities. The funds will also be used to purchase supplies, uniforms, equipment, and transportation for co-curricular activities.

WHY:

Existing state funding levels have caused reductions and/or elimination of funds spent for classroom supplies and equipment, technology equipment and software. There have also been reductions in the co-curricular budgets for purchase of uniforms, supplies, equipment, and transportation. Further, the district will use the proceeds to address deferred maintenance projects within the current facilities. The allotment for each area is as follows: Technology-\$100,000; Class Supplies and Equipment-\$100,000; Textbooks-\$125,000; Maintenance Projects-\$100,000; and Co-Curricular-\$75,000.

WHEN:

The Local Option measure will be on the November 5, 2002 ballot. This is a mail-in election. Ballots will be mailed beginning October 18, 2002 and are due at the County Clerk's office no later than 8 p.m. on Election Day. To be eligible to vote you must register by October 15, 2002. Registration materials are available at the County Clerk's office and at each of the North Santiam Schools.

HOW MUCH:

This Local Option measure would raise \$500,000 each year in a three-year period for the operation of schools. This will cost property tax payers an average of \$.75 per thousand of property value. Taxes for each property owner will depend on the difference between the real market value and assessed value of each property.

Submitted by,

B.J. Hollensteiner, Superintendent

Argument in Favor:

North Santiam School Board & Budget Committee Members Support Measure 24-100

WE URGE YOU TO VOTE "YES" ON MEASURE 24-100.

The North Santiam School District serves 2400 students in five excellent schools:

- Stayton High School Grades 9-12
- Stayton Middle School Grades 5-8
- Mari-Linn Elementary School Grades K-8
- Stayton Elementary School Grades K-4
- Sublimity Elementary & Grades K-8 Middle School

This spring we made many difficult budget decisions in response to reduced funding from the state. In order to balance the district's budget we laid off classroom aides, did not fill 7 teacher positions when staff retired, deferred maintenance of buildings and increased class sizes. These cuts hurt our schools.

We are concerned the Legislature may further reduce funds for K-12 schools in light of the existing state economic crisis.

Therefore, we believe it is imperative that we provide local funding to maintain good schools.

PLEASE VOTE "YES" ON THE 3-YEAR \$500,000 LOCAL OPTION LEVY

It will make a difference in each of our schools.

Funds will be used exclusively for:

- * Computers and Technology Upgrades \$100,000
- * Textbooks (Science and Math \$125,000 textbooks in 2003)
- * Classroom Supplies \$100,000
- * Co-Curricular Programs including \$ 75,000 sports uniforms & equipment, drama, music and student government.

- * Building Maintenance including \$100,000 roof repairs & HVAC repairs.

There is a backlog of over \$10 million in deferred maintenance.

We don't know what the future holds for state funding of local schools. We can assure you that local option levy funds will be spent on projects that directly benefit kids.

We ask you to support Measure 24-100 because it is critical for us to provide adequate funding for our schools.
NORTH SANTIAM SCHOOL BUDGET COMMITTEE BOARD MEMBERS MEMBERS
Donald E. Blades Daren L. Goin, DMD
Marri J. Fluhrer Jim Huddleston
Michelle Gescher Greg Loberg
Bill Grimes Dennis Maurer
David Kinney Eric Nichols
Tim McCollister Laura Wipper
Dick Morley

(This information provided by David W. Kinney, Citizens for North Santiam Schools)

No Arguments Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-101: CITY OF KEIZER, CHARTER AMENDMENT APPROVES TAX ON DWELLINGS/COMMERCIAL UNITS FOR PARKS

Referred to the People by the City Council

Charter Amendment Approves Tax on Dwellings/Commercial Units for Parks

Question: Shall Charter be amended approving City establishing/collecting specific tax per dwelling/commercial unit to maintain, operate, improve, acquire parks?

Summary: This is a Charter amendment. This measure adds the following paragraph to the Charter:

Section 45. PARKS TAX. The City Council by Ordinance may establish and collect a specific tax (not ad valorem tax) per dwelling and commercial unit as that term is defined by the ordinance establishing the specific tax. The revenue raised by this specific tax will be used to maintain, operate, improve and acquire municipal parks.

This measure approves of the Keizer City Council establishing and collecting a specific tax. A specific tax is a tax imposed as a fixed sum on each article or item or property of a given class or kind, without regard to its value. The Keizer City Council sets the specific tax by adopting an Ordinance. This specific tax is imposed upon a dwelling and commercial unit as defined in the ordinance establishing the specific tax. The ordinance also establishes the collection procedure. The revenue raised by the specific tax will be used by the City of Keizer to maintain, operate, improve and acquire municipal parks.

Explanatory Statement:

This measure is a Charter amendment referred to the voters by the Keizer City Council. If approved, this measure would add Section 45 to the Keizer City Charter in Chapter X – Miscellaneous Provisions as follows:

Section 45. PARKS TAX. The City Council by Ordinance may establish and collect a specific tax (not ad valorem tax) per dwelling and commercial unit as that term is defined by the ordinance establishing the specific tax. The revenue raised by this specific tax will be used to maintain, operate, improve and acquire municipal parks.

Passage of this measure approves of the Keizer City Council establishing and collecting a specific tax. A specific tax is a tax imposed as a fixed sum on each article or item or property of a given class or kind, without regard to its value. A specific tax is not an ad valorem tax. The Keizer City Council sets the specific tax by adopting an ordinance at a city council meeting. This specific tax is imposed upon a dwelling and commercial unit as that term is defined in the ordinance establishing the specific tax. The ordinance also establishes the collection procedure for the specific tax. Pursuant to this Charter amendment, the revenue raised by this specific tax will be used by the City of Keizer to maintain, operate, improve and acquire municipal parks.

Submitted by,

Tracy L. Davis, CMC

City Recorder/Elections Officer

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-102: SILVER FALLS SCHOOL DISTRICT 4J GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

Referred to the People by the District Board

Silver Falls School District No. 4J General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$31,250,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: If approved, this measure provides funds to the District to finance the costs of capital construction and capital improvements, including, but not limited to, providing funds to:

- Construct, furnish and make site improvements to Silverton High School-Pine Street Campus;
- Upgrade, remodel, furnish and make site improvements to Silverton High School-Schlador Street Campus and Mark Twain Middle School; and
- Pay bond issuance costs.

The Bonds will mature in 21 years or less.

Explanatory Statement:

Silver Falls School District faces a number of difficulties stemming from:

% Safety concerns given the location of Eugene Field Elementary School and the lack of safe drop-off and pick-up parking for parents with young children.

% The age and physical condition of Eugene Field School. The crowded conditions at the school.

% The split high school campus – Pine Street and Schlador Street Campuses.

% Safety concerns at the Schlador Street Campus (old high school).

If approved, this measure would permit Silver Falls School District to:

u Move 500 kindergarten through grade 3 students out of Eugene Field Elementary School to Robert Frost and/or Mark Twain Schools. Both of these schools have safe, off-street drop-off and pick-up areas for parents with young children. They also have more up-to-date electrical, plumbing and heating systems.

u Sell Eugene Field School and use the proceeds from the sale to offset some of the construction, remodeling and furnishing costs.

u Complete the new high school and consolidate the staff and 1,200 students in grades 9-12 on one campus. The estimated savings is \$250,000 per year.

u Complete safety improvements and upgrades at the old high school campus in order to provide a safe and secure school for students in grades 7 and 8 from Mark Twain and grades 5 and 6 from Robert Frost. The estimated total enrollment is 600 students.

u Remodel the old high school to permit students in grades 5 and 6 to be separated for much of the day from those students in grades 7 and 8. In addition, the remodel will permit improved oversight and security at the main entrance.

In addition to the use of the proceeds from the sale of Eugene Field Elementary School, the Silver Falls School District plans to offset some construction, remodeling and furnish costs with the proceeds from the sale of a 13-acre site located adjacent to Robert Frost Elementary School.

The proposed bond measure will not exceed \$31,250,000. The estimated average annual levy rate is \$2.47 per thousand of assessed value. The term of the bonds will not exceed 21 years.

Submitted by:

Craig Roessler, Superintendent

Wally Lierman, Board Chair

Doug Morgan, Vice Chair

David Beeson, Board Member

Joanne Carr, Board Member

Bob Knorr, Board Member

Bob Schaefer, Board Member

Bill Steers, Board Member

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.

24-103: CITY OF WOODBURN, COMMUNITY CENTER GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

Referred to the People by the City Council

Community Center General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the City be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$5,075,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b of Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: This measure authorizes the City to issue general obligation bonds to construct, equip and furnish a community center; acquire property; construct and renovate parking facilities; construct related improvements and pay all costs incidental thereto. The project would replace the current Community Center with a new building at Front and Oak Streets. The new center would be available for recreation programs for youth, adults and senior citizens; conferences; public meetings; and performing arts. The total cost is estimated to be \$5,895,000. The City will apply for federal and state loans and grants and other contributions and general obligation bonds would be issued to pay the balance of the cost, but in no event more than the \$5,075,000. The City will pledge park and recreation system development charges in an amount not to exceed \$1,564,200 to pay principal and interest over the life of the bonds. The City will levy ad valorem taxes annually

in amounts necessary to pay remaining principal and interest. The bonds would mature over a period of not to exceed 20 years.

Explanatory Statement:

In May 2001, the Woodburn City Council appointed the Community Center Planning Committee to develop a plan to replace the Woodburn Community Center. The Committee worked from June 2001 through August 2002 to select a site, develop site and floor plans and recommend a funding strategy.

The present Woodburn Community Center is 50 years old. The City's Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan states that the building is marginal in size to support current recreation programs. The Plan also notes a lack of adequate parking. About 25 percent of the building's floor space is located on a second floor with no elevator. This ballot measure seeks to provide funds to replace this aging facility.

The City is proposing a 24,150 square foot building to be located on the corner of Front and Oak Streets. This location is immediately adjacent to the existing Woodburn Memorial Aquatic Center. The building's floor plan will include a 7,500 square foot activity pavilion, commercial kitchen, 3,300 square foot activity room, three classrooms, rest rooms, changing rooms, offices and a central registration lobby. At least one classroom will contain a computer lab. All activity areas will be dividable.

Anticipated programs and activities at the Center will include: Willamette Valley Senior Service Agency's nutrition program (Meals on Wheels); dance instruction for youth, adults and seniors; social dancing for youth, adults and seniors; and after school teen and youth programs. Other programs for which the Center could be used include: summer youth camp; arts and crafts for youth, adults and seniors; cooking classes; martial arts; and fitness programs for youth, adults and seniors. The Center will be available for community use by local organizations such as service clubs, scouting and special interest groups. It will also be available for rentals for activities serving as many as 400 people including banquets, birthday parties, anniversary celebrations, quinceañeras and other private functions. Community activities for which the building is designed include performing arts, shows, community education, exhibitions and conferences.

If approved, the ballot measure authorizes the City to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$5,075,000 to construct and equip the Community Center, acquire property, construct and renovate parking facilities, and construct related improvements. The total cost of the Center is estimated to be \$5,895,000. Funding for the Center will come from bond proceeds plus revenue from the sale of surplus City property, grants and local fund raising, and Park and Recreation System Development Charges.

In placing the measure on the ballot, the City Council determined that an amount not to exceed \$1,564,200 of Park and Recreation System Development Charges would be pledged to pay principal and interest over the life of the bonds. The City will levy ad valorem taxes annually in amounts necessary to pay the remaining principal and interest. The bonds would mature over a period of not to exceed 20 years.

Submitted by,
John C. Brown, City Administrator

Argument in Favor:

In 1977, the City opened the Woodburn Community Center. Since that time, the City's population has tripled. Because of accessibility regulations, the usable size of the Woodburn Community Center has been reduced from 9,500 square feet to 6,500. Originally constructed in 1952 as a church, the building's water, electrical and heating system are out of date and demand extensive repairs.

The Community Center Planning Committee has developed a plan to replace this aging building. The plan this Committee has developed looks into the future and builds upon partnerships to maximize public resources necessary to construct and maintain a community to serve all of Woodburn. Among the partners who will benefit and help operate this new resource for our community are:

- Mid-Willamette Valley Senior Services Agency (Meals on Wheels)
- Chemeketa Community College
- Woodburn School District
- Retired and Senior Volunteer Program
- Boys Scouts
- Girl Scouts
- American Legion
- Woodburn Area Association of Senior Programs
- City of Woodburn, Recreation and Parks Department

In devising a funding program to construct this building, the City Council has selected a multiple tiered approach that does not rely entirely on support from new taxes. The funding program for this building includes:

- Sale of surplus City property \$ 500,000
- Grants, public & private 300,000
- Local fund raising and private giving 20,000
- General obligation bond
- Amount paid Systems \$1,564,200

Development Charges

- Amount paid through 3,510,800 ad valorem taxes
- Total General Obligation Bond \$5,075,000
- Total Project Budget \$5,895,000

The cost to local residents is affordable. Of the nearly \$5.9 million needed to complete this project, the City is asking the voters to fund only \$3.5million or less than 60% of the required budget from an increased tax. This amounts to 26¢ per \$1,000 in assessed valuation or \$39 per year for a home valued at \$150,000.

Other funds are coming from the sale of surplus city property, grants and giving, and fees developers pay when new residential development occurs.

(This information provided by Anthony L. Veliz Friends of the Community Center)

No Argument Against Filed

27-59: POLK COUNTY FIRE DISTRICT #1 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FOR PROPERTY AND FIRE STATION

Referred to the People by the District Board

Polk County Fire District No. 1, Bond Purpose Clarification

Question: CAN THE DISTRICT USE EXISTING GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND PROCEEDS TO ACQUIRE PROPERTY AND BUILD NEW FIRE STATIONS?

Summary: Approval of this measure will not authorize any new bonds or new taxes to accomplish these projects.

This measure allows the District to use proceeds from existing bonds for alternate purposes. In 2001, voters authorized the District to issue \$1,900,000 in bonds to construct and furnish a multi-purpose training facility, construct a maintenance facility, to remodel two fire stations, to upgrade and replace fire apparatus, and to pay for site improvements and issuance costs.

The District requests voter approval to also use existing bond proceeds to acquire property, and build two new fire sub-stations in lieu of remodeling the two fire stations. Since the approval of the current bond, building code issues have been identified and have created problems in meeting seismic standards with facilities scheduled for renovation. Furthermore, soil conditions and available property at the Suver station have made septic and sanitation requirement costs prohibitive. The other bond projects are in the process of being completed and are going forward as planned.

No Explanatory Statement was filed.

No Arguments in Favor of or Opposed to this measure were filed.