

MARION COUNTY

COUNTY COMMISSIONER POSITION 3 -VOTE FOR 1 (4 YEAR TERM)

BILL BURGESS

OCCUPATION: PHARMACIST, OREGON STATE HOSPITAL

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Pharmacy manager; juvenile group home worker; electrical construction; farm work; pizza delivery manager.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Lewis & Clark College, Master of Public Administration (Outstanding Graduate), 1995; Oregon State University, Bachelor of Science—Pharmacy, 1976.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Present: Salem Keizer Public Schools Bond Steering Committee; Past: Salem City Council, 1990-1998, (President 1998); Salem Budget Committee; Task Forces: Water and Sewer (Chair); Fire Services; Police Services; Transportation. Committees: Financial Audit (Chair); Legislative; Boards and Commissions Appointments; Marion County Solid Waste Committee. Boards: Salem Area Ambulance Services (Chair); Marion County/Salem Computer Center, Oregon State Pharmacists Association, Marion/Polk/Yamhill Pharmacy Association (President), Liberty-Boone Neighborhood Association (Chair).

MY TOP PRIORITIES:

- **STOP URBAN SPRAWL** on farmlands, forest lands, and watersheds.
- **PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY**, emphasizing prevention and early intervention.
- Marion County's **ACCOUNTABILITY** for financial and policy decisions.

MY PLEDGE: I will protect and enhance our quality of life in Marion County, as I did for eight years on the Salem City Council. I will use an open, inclusive approach to support families, strengthen juvenile justice, protect farmland, and find creative solutions to emergency services, solid waste and road maintenance problems.

MY RECORD as an elected official demonstrates LEADERSHIP in the following areas:

Police... 30 additional police officer... gang intervention... detox center.

Emergency Response... 15 additional paramedic/firefighters... improved response time... earthquake resistant 911 center plan

Schools... flashing lights and sidewalks for children near schools... police liaison continuation... after school programs

Water... removal of mining waste... US Forest Service memorandum of understanding... Aquifer Storage & Recovery System

Waste... increased curbside recycling and composting-reduced air pollution and land fill load.

Land use... expanded citizen involvement... enhanced development requirements... increased school-city cooperation.

Parks & Culture... park development... Riverfront Park... Marion Square Park... Rees Park... Elsinore Theatre restoration... Library and Bookmobile.

Human Rights... Human Rights Commission preservation... balanced constitutional travel and association rights with youth and community safety.

Economy... urban renewal... infrastructure improvements... economic development zones... Salem Economic Development Corporation... Salem Convention and Visitors Bureau.

MIKE RYAN

OCCUPATION: Marion County Commissioner.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Business and Technology Manager, Department of Corrections; Executive Assistant, State Treasury; Administrator, Senate Republican Office; Manager, Consumer and Business Affairs, Department of Justice.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: San Francisco City College, A. A. Degree, Hotel and Restaurant Management; Denver University; San Francisco State University, B. A. Degree, Broadcast Communication Arts.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Chair, Board of Commissioners; Chair, Public Safety Coordinating Council; Chair, Data Center Board; Chair, Jobs Council; Vice-Chair, Economic Development; Treasurer, Senior Services Foundation; Member, Cable Regulatory Commission, Board of Law Enforcement Services; Budget Committee; Chair, Housing Authority; Chair, Special Districts Board; Member, Legislative State Fair Committee; Area Transportation Commission.

Mike Ryan...Leadership that Delivers

• **Courthouse Square**. This project needed management. It got it. Cost-effective team decisions produced the **\$30 million building on time and under budget!**

• **Juvenile Justice**. The Justice Center **will be built and operated with no new taxes!** As Board Chair, I took the lead for swift, sure, compassionate juvenile justice. We will protect our community and offer a compassionate hand up for youth seeking help

• **Privacy Protection and E-Government Innovation**. I am convinced we can expand taxpayer services using new technology. However, **people's privacy comes first.**

• **Growth Management & Quality of Life**. We are in Phase 2 of a comprehensive county growth management plan. **I am working to bring our community together.** I take positive steps to protect and expand our open space and parks. It's part of what makes me tick. **It's part of our legacy.**

Dear Marion County Voters:

I love this job! It is an honor to serve you. I've worked hard to produce positive results for our community. **It would be a privilege to continue working on your behalf.**

I'm determined, I'm dedicated. **My priorities match Marion County's.**

Thank you.

Mike Ryan

Endorsements Include: Oregon League of **Conservation Voters**; Amalgamated **Transit Union**; Marion County **Farm Bureau**; District Attorney **Dale Penn**; and Commissioner **Randy Franke**.

Website: **mikeryan.org**

TOM STEFFEN

OCCUPATION: Youth & Family Ministries Director

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Food for the Hungry Instructor; Volunteer in the Hunger Corps; Clarity Marketing; Sign shop manager

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Certificate of Biblical Studies, Trinity Lutheran College; Graduate of Silverton High School

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: None

Community Service: Board, Silverton Area Community Aid

Four Steffen generations reside in Marion County. My grandparents live in the house my great-great grandfather built in 1883. My parents and youngest brother own small businesses here. My other brother, an Air Force Captain, pilots an A-10. Sheila and I homeschool our four children.

We elect county commissioners to preserve and defend our rights.

County government receives its authority from the sovereign citizens and it is to be our servant, not our master. Life long government employees make poor representatives for the independent, self-governing citizens of Marion county.

INTEGRITY

County decisions will be made at meetings open to the public. Taxes will not be hidden as "system development charges." 'Yes' will mean yes and 'no' will mean no.

TAXES

My family lives on a budget. I balance our checkbook to the penny. If we can't afford something, we do without it. County departments should abide by the same financial principles as county taxpayers. I will not support unconstitutional taxation.

PROPERTY

The freedom to own, use, improve, protect and freely dispose of property is a right established over three thousand years ago. "Thou shalt not steal" applies to governments too.

FAMILY

A husband and wife, with the children they bear or adopt, form the fundamental unit of human civilization. Families must remain free to choose the education, health care and discipline of their members without intrusion from government employees.

LIFE

The basic duty of government is protecting all human life. County taxes will not subsidize the killing of unborn children. Those who 'choose' such actions can cover their own costs.

www.constitutionpartyoregon.org/steffen

Questions? Call me... 873-7688

Thank you for your vote.

COUNTY CLERK - VOTE FOR 1 (4 YEAR TERM)

AL DAVIDSON

OCCUPATION: Marion County Clerk

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Marion County Clerk 1984-present; Exec. Assistant to State Supt. of Public Instruction 1977-1984; News Director, KGAY Radio 1975-1977; Manager KOOS and KNND Radio 1972-1975; U.S. Navy (Viet Nam Service) 1968-1972.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Graduated Career Academy of Broadcasting; Graduated North Salem High School; Graduated Pacific Program, University of Oregon; Additional Course work at University of North Carolina through Auburn University.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Marion County Clerk; Salem City Council; Salem Transit District Board of Directors; Association of Oregon Counties Board of Directors; Oregon County Clerk Association Board of Directors and President.

MARION COUNTY CLERK AL DAVIDSON WORKS FOR YOU!

COUNTY CLERK AL DAVIDSON expanded the popular elections by mail, dramatically increasing voter turnout and reducing election cost.

COUNTY CLERK AL DAVIDSON created Oregon's first comprehensive local voter pamphlet to help better inform Marion County voters about local issues and candidates.

COUNTY CLERK AL DAVIDSON has consistently used new technology to cut costs, reduce personnel and increase speed and integrity in the elections and recording systems of Marion County.

COUNTY CLERK AL DAVIDSON has consistently worked for legislation to improve services to Marion County residents.

COUNTY CLERK AL DAVIDSON worked through 5 legislative sessions, succeeding in the 1995 session, to get lawmakers to make the County Clerk position *non-partisan*, removing it from party politics.

COUNTY CLERK AL DAVIDSON pioneered a method in which a visually impaired voter can vote unassisted.

Al Davidson and his wife Kathy live in Salem with their two daughters Melissa and Meredith.

KEEP A WORKING CLERK WORKING FOR YOU

RE-ELECT MARION COUNTY CLERK AL DAVIDSON

COUNTY TREASURER - VOTE FOR 1 (4 YEAR TERM)

LES BAHR

OCCUPATION: Retired License Tax Consultant

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Accounting; Income Tax; Office Management; Builder & Remodeler

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: High School Grad; Business College

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: DMV Comptroller; City Recorder; Treasurer; Judge; WWII Navy; Political Activist

EXCEPT SHERIFF, TREASURER GRIM IS HIGHEST PAID MARION COUNTY ELECTED OFFICIAL. COMPARE---

OFFICIAL	EMPLOYEES	PAY	TOTAL BUDGET
ASSESSOR EBNOR	62	\$61,104	\$4,342,002
CLERK DAVIDSON	31	\$61,104	\$2,120,750
TREASURER GRIM	7	\$67,745	\$761,356

INFO FROM COMMISSIONERS OFFICE AND GRIM

STATE TREASURER JIM HILL GETS \$70,900, DEALS IN TENS OF BILLIONS AND SERVES ON MANY HIGH PRESSURE STATE BOARDS AND WITH LESS BENEFITS.

I THINK GRIM'S SALARY IS OUTRAGEOUS! HE SAID HE IS WORTH EVERY PENNY OF IT! SOUND FAMILIAR?

THE COMMISSIONER HAVE INCREASED "PAY OR PLAY" FROM 3 TO 5 WEEKS. SINCE OFFICIALS TAKE OFF TIME AT WILL, THIS IS JUST ANOTHER DISGUISED BONUS.

THERE HAVE BEEN SO MANY STATEMENTS ABOUT THE SALARY COMPENSATION BOARD SETTING SALARIES. THEY RECOMMEND, THE COMMISSIONERS SET!

ORS 204.112(2) AND ORS. 204.116

VOTE FOR BAHR, HE CAN AND WILL DO THE JOB FOR

\$45,000.

RALPH GRIM

OCCUPATION: Marion County Treasurer

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Marion County Treasurer since 1980; served seven years as Cash Management Officer for Marion County; worked on the family farm in Marion County; served in the U.S. Army with the 101st Airborne Division in Vietnam.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: South Salem High School graduate; Merritt Davis Business College graduate in accounting and data processing; Central Oregon College; Chemeketa Community College.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: 27 years experience in Marion County Government; currently serve as a board member on the City of Salem/Marion County Data Center Board; served as vice-chair on the Board of Directors of the Willamette Regional Education Service District; served 17 years as an elected member on the Marion County Educational Service District Board; past president of the County Treasurers Association; served on the State of Oregon's Investment Pool Task Force.

RALPH GRIM IS YOUR ELECTED MARION COUNTY TREASURER
AND IS WORKING FOR YOU

RALPH GRIM uses his financial expertise to oversee the 320 million dollars per year that passes through the Marion County Treasury.

RALPH GRIM through prudent investment management, has helped keep down the cost of Marion County government. Investment earnings of over 15.2 million dollars for the last 4 years.

RALPH GRIM has provided sound fiscal management of your tax dollar.

RALPH GRIM has worked with other county officials to make Marion County government more efficient and responsive to the needs of the public.

RALPH GRIM has developed and improved methods of handling mandated services that are a benefit to the citizens of Marion County.

RALPH GRIM works with other taxing districts to help provide professional cash flow analysis, investment management, and budget preparation.

RALPH GRIM IS AN EXPERIENCED MONEY MANAGER
RE-ELECT
RALPH GRIM
MARION COUNTY TREASURER

AUMSVILLE

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

HAROLD L. WHITE

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 2(4 year term)

**CRAIG CHADWICK
KEN FIPPS**

MEASURE 24-42: FOUR YEAR POLICE OPERATIONS LEVY

AURORA

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 YEAR TERM)

NO CANDIDATES FILED

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (4 YEAR TERM)

CHARLES C. DONALD

DETROIT

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (2 FOUR YEAR AND 1 TWO YEAR TERMS)

**JACK RAY BARTON
TOM MASK
PAMELA A. HILLS**

DONALD

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

BILL AHERN

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (4 year term)

**LARRY DOBLE
PHIL DEAS
R.ELAINE YODER**

GATES

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 YEAR TERM)

**LESLIE HABER
KATHY A. SHERMAN**

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 2 (4 YEAR TERM)

**S.ROXANE EMERSON
SHERRY RIZER
RED VICARS**

GERVAIS

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

NO CANDIDATES FILED

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (4 year term)

MICHAEL GREGORY

HUBBARD

MAYOR - VOTE 1 (2 year term)

DON THWING

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 2 (4 year term)

**GREG DYKE
JERRY HUDDLESTON
HILDRED HUYSSOON**

IDANHA

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (2 four year terms; 1 two year term)

**PAUL J.EIDE
KELLY LUCAS
KAREN CLARK
DOUG W.SPIER**

JEFFERSON

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 YEAR TERM)

GILBERTO YZAGUIRRE

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (4 YEAR TERM)

NO CANDIDATES FILED

KEIZER

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

LORE D. CHRISTOPHER

OCCUPATION: Human Resource Manager, State of Oregon, Legislative Branch

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Branch Manager for locally owned employment company; Human/Technical Resource Manager for a national retailer.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: George Fox University, B.A.; Portland State University, M.P.A. (05/01)

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Keizer City Council President 1999-present; Keizer City Councilor 1998-1999; Keizer Citizens Advisory Board for Parks and Recreation Chair 1998; Keizer Citizens Advisory Board for Parks and Recreation member 1993-1998; Youth Compact, Committee to hire Keizer Police Chief.

PERSONAL: Husband, Ron; three children — Alexis 19, Charlie 19 and Evan 12

Fiscal Responsibility and Accountability- The City has some tough budget choices to make during the next budget cycle. Those decisions must be made in the open with public input.

Growth Management- Planning must be focused on input from the citizens of Keizer. We need to protect our watersheds and flood prone areas. We can not build in areas that will flood or cause flooding to existing properties! We have a responsibility to protect our current properties from any future flooding potential caused by growth. In-fill standards must allow our streets to be safe for pedestrians and drivers. Emergency vehicles have to be able to get to our homes.

Chemawa Activity Center- There are many good ideas and more than one ideal answer. The decision must be based on facts, not fiction. The project must make economic and common sense. I am willing to do the work and put in the time to complete a project that we can all be proud of.

Library- Decisions need to be based on facts and actual projected costs for construction and maintenance. It will not serve the community to build a library that we can not afford to maintain in future years. Open public review and debate must occur to determine what the community is willing to support.

"Effective City management is not a "one man job", it requires the participation of every city resident. I'm seeking your help with tough issues."

CITY COUNCIL POS. 1 - VOTE FOR 1 (4 year term)

ROY DUNCAN

OCCUPATION: Real Estate Agent, Tu Casa Corporation

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Industrial Engineer with Boeing and Lockheed; Labor Market Analyst, Business Manager, Management Analyst, Fiscal Coordinator, and computer support person for the state of Oregon.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: B.S. in Business and Technology from OSU, 1957; Some M.B.A. graduate work at Santa Clara; one semester of law at Willamette; miscellaneous courses through employers and some taken for self improvement at Chemeketa Community College; I recently studied for and passed the Oregon Real Estate Agent exam.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Excluding 26+ years of Oregon state government employment, approximately seven years on the Keizer Budget Committee.

Except for the Keizer Budget Committee, my participation in the community is varied. I have volunteered at one time or another with Boy Scouts, Little League, the Keizer Chamber of Commerce, the Oregon Environmental Council, Toastmasters, was Chairman of Terrace Green Homeowners Association and last year I was selected spouse of the year by Northside Girl Scouts. I am volunteering to represent the community as a city councilor for four years.

My wife Jody and I have lived in Keizer for 35 years. Four of our children and some grandchildren have attended local schools. I support local police, community policing, and the concept of neighborhood associations. I want to keep down the expenses for taxpayers but believe there are basic services government must try to provide. In between is the biweekly decision making when a councilor hopes to represent as many constituents as possible. When these conflict resident input is needed and I will support a process to see that happen.

I do not promise to have all the answers but I promise to evaluate situations based on information available from **all** sources. I am anxious to listen to citizens' comments, to help solve our flood and drainage problems and other issues that arise and I will work to see our tax base increase by supporting the development of the Chemawa Activity Center.

SUBMITTED BY ROY DUNCAN, 6972 OFFENBACH COURT, N.E., KEIZER PH: 503 393-3254 CANDIDATE FOR COUNCILOR, POSITION 1, CITY OF KEIZER

JUDY K. SMITH

OCCUPATION: Salem-Keizer School District, Crossing Guard

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Bentleyville Review - Internet Column; Intergovernmental Communications Organization — Computer Operator/Programmer/Instructor (Installed 911 System); Observer-Reporter Publishing Company — Marketing/Advertising Associate; Al Sweet Motor Sales Incorporated — Accountant/Computer Operator; Western Reserve Transit Authority — Accountant/Computer Operator; Universal Rundle Corporation — Accountant/Controller; Community Action Southwest — Volunteered 5 years compiling \$23.1 million budget.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Shenango High School, High Honors; Chabo College, Associate Degree in Accounting; Heald Business College, Certified Computer Operator/Programmer/Technician; Penn State, Marketing/Management/Supervision; Pennsylvania State Board, Certified EMT

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Zoning Officer, appointed; Police Board Secretary/Treasurer, appointed

I reside in Keizer with my husband Stan, son Nicholas (age 10) and daughter Marissa (age 7). My professional background is in Accounting and Computers, but currently I am a stay at home mom and a Crossing Guard.

I was instrumental in getting flashing lights at school crossings around Keizer. Due to the city's phenomenal growth, many needs of the community are being overlooked. There are major issues in Keizer such as Chemawa Activity Center and library funding but, there are other issues that need to be addressed. A review of taxes/fees, expenditures for real estate, sidewalks/bike lanes, road maintenance and safety are a few.

I am a very active school volunteer. I was on 21st Century Site Council for 2 years. I also enjoy listening to children and the elderly in our community, they have much to share and sometimes their issues are overlooked because they don't have a voice speaking loudly enough for them.

The Citizens of Keizer need a voice on Council. A voice that will listen to all ideas. A voice that will express those ideas and achieve what is needed to enhance Keizer, while retaining the small town atmosphere. I would like to be that voice. I have the experience and will be an asset to Keizer by working to make a great town even better.

CITY COUNCIL POS. 2 - VOTE FOR 1 (4 year term)

RAY KELLY

OCCUPATION: Committee Administrator, Legislative Branch, State of Oregon

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Nine years Executive Branch Oregon government; two years Legislative Branch Oregon government; six and a half years U.S. Air Force.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: B.S., Political Science, Portland State University; Graduate Studies, Portland State University; U.S. Air Force Security Police Academy.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Keizer Parks Advisory Board 2000-Present; Committee Administrator, Oregon Legislature 1998-Present; Policy Advisor/Program Coordinator, Oregon Emergency Management 1989-1998; U.S. Air Force 1978-1984.

PERSONAL: Wife, Marlene; three children — Heather 20, Carissa 18, Maria 10

No current Keizer City Councilor, nor any candidate, has the experience and expertise in government systems, land use, environmental policy, or emergency management issues that I do. Keizer is facing tough choices in its urban growth and development, wetlands management, and floodplain decision process. If elected, I will ensure that Council decisions benefit Keizer residents.

Keizer development is reaching its Urban Growth Boundary fringe, business growth has not kept pace, and therefore jobs are unavailable. On Council, I will work to assure Chemawa Activity Center development provides jobs for Keizer residents, takes into account infrastructure needs, and does not adversely affect businesses on River Road.

When Keizer was created, one of its goals was to provide residents with basic services. A city library is a basic service, therefore, I believe that Keizer should have its own library. On Council, I will be committed to working to develop an affordable and viable solution to Keizer's library program.

Illegal drugs are the bane of any city. I believe paraphernalia sold in retail shops support the use of illicit drugs and therefore should not be permitted. On Council, I will work with the Keizer Police to establish a Drug Task Force to better enforce anti-drug laws.

CITY COUNCIL POS. 3 - VOTE FOR 1(4 year term)

CHARLES E. LEE

OCCUPATION: President, Blanchet School

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Educational administration

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: M.A., Educational Administration, Seattle University; B.A., Journalism, University of Washington

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Candidate for state legislature in Washington in the 1970's; elected precinct committee person in Oregon and Washington.

Charles (Chuck) Lee, a resident of the Keizer community, is running for the Keizer City Council because of his lifelong commitment to community service. Throughout his career as an educational administrator, he has been an active and involved member of his community. He is a candidate with many years of leadership experience that will facilitate sufficient public input on all major issue the Council will face. As a school principal since the age of 26, Chuck has more than 25 years' experience working with a wide range of individuals and groups, including Boards of Directors.

One of his greatest strengths is his ability to bring people together to work successfully and efficiently toward a common goal. Another area of uncommon strength for him is his aptitude for developing realistic budgets and maintaining fiscal responsibility—a skill that will be crucial as a conscientious City Councilor.

Chuck has successfully led new and well-established schools through periods of tremendous change by finding ways to ensure financial stability, establishing and improving community relations, increasing enrollment and adding new programs. He has raised millions of dollars through fundraising campaigns and grants. As President of the Salem-Keizer area's only Catholic secondary school, Chuck's leadership has built an increasingly well-recognized 7-12 program in just five years.

Chuck recognizes Keizer's importance in the region by participating in the Leadership Salem program, a leadership development program for members of the business community.

Charles Lee is an experienced and effective leader who will bring fiscal responsibility and creativity to the Keizer City Council.

Elect Charles Lee to Keizer City Council—

He'll work for you!

DEE LANE

OCCUPATION: X-Ray Technologist — Registered (ARRT) and licensed (OBRT), Currently employed by Salem Clinic.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Relief x-ray technologist for the Oregon State Hospital, Dammasch State Hospital, and Keizer Permanente; X-ray technologist for the Fairview Training Center from 1993 until closure.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: New York State Regents High School, Diploma; Hofstra University, Hempstead, New York, two years; South Nassau Hospital School of X-Ray Technology, two years; Registered, American Registry of Radiologic Technology

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: No prior experience.

Keizer is a young, fast growing city. It is our responsibility to guide it in such a way that our future generations praise us for our foresight. It is essential for us, as a city, to establish a practical foundation for our future growth. Light industry must be balanced by green spaces. Homes need to have local recreational areas easily available. Access to public transportation from every part of the city has to be considered.

My training with the organization, Emergency Volunteers Assisting Keizer (EVAK), has made me become aware of other issues. We must build safety into our future development. We have to become aware of factors such as water runoff, and emergency access, among other issues.

Commercial and residential development is a balancing act in planning. We need to ask ourselves, what kinds of retail, industry and other services should be provided, that complement existing business and livability.

What we do now has to be viewed in a historical perspective. We are in the first year of a new century. When our descendants look at photos of US in our quaint clothing, let them thank us for getting them off to such a good start.

No matter how long we've lived in Keizer, or how deep our roots go, we all have a stake in our city.

MILL CITY

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

**EDWARD BERRY
TERRY COOK
TIM KIRSCH**

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 2 (4 year term)

**BARBARA L. JACKSON
JERRY CARR
BILLIE FOSTER
ANN E. HOLADAY**

MT ANGEL

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1(2 year term)

TOM BOLTON

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (4 year term)

MICHAEL DONOHUE
CHRISTIANE M. KRAEMER
MARIO A. RODRIGUEZ JR.
DONALD C. THEOBALD

SALEM

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1

MIKE SWAIM

OCCUPATION: Mayor of Salem; Attorney (family practice), 29 years.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: U.S. Air Force Security Service Foreign Language Interpreter; Municipal Park Director; University Loan Officer; Willamette University, Constitutional Law

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Bachelor of Arts, UCLA, 1967 (Political Science); Master of Arts, UCLA, 1968 (International Relations/Public Administration); Juris Doctor, UCLA, 1971

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Mayor of Salem, Second Term; Chaired Salem Downtown Development Advisory Board: 1995; Chaired Salem Core Area Master Plan Task Force: 1995; Chaired Salem Parks and Recreation Advisory Board: 1985, 1986; Served as Salem Hearings Officer Pro Tem; Served on Salem-Keizer 24J Budget Committee; Served on Governor's Task Force for Salmon and Steelhead Recovery; Commissioner, Capitol Planning Commission

My goal when I was first allowed the privilege of serving as Mayor was, and after almost four years still is, to involve more citizens at all levels of decision-making in our city government. I have consistently encouraged my fellow councilors and City staff to seek real public participation and input, and to always put the public interest first in our decision-making. My faith in the people's willingness and ability to be thoughtful partners in self-governance has been well placed.

As your Mayor, I appeal to you to be very careful in voting on the ballot measures in this election. The individual and combined financial impacts of some of these measures could be devastating to our City in many different ways. Please don't let the greed of a few ruin it for the rest of us.

Pay attention to who is financially supporting these measures, and ask yourself: "WHY?" Some of these measures are simply special interest wolves dressed up in sheep's clothing; Bill Sizemore and Don McIntyre certainly are not looking out for OUR best interests. They seek financial windfalls for themselves and their backers, at great detriment to the rest of us.

Please vote thoughtfully and wisely. Please join me in voting "NO" on Measures 2, 7, 8, 91, and 93.

CITY COUNCILOR WARD 1 - VOTE FOR 1

KASIA QUILLINAN

CITY COUNCILOR WARD 3 - VOTE FOR 1

BRAD A. NANKE

OCCUPATION: Environmental Manager, Mitsubishi Silicon America (MSA) 1988-Present

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Field Engineer, Fullman Company 1987-1988; Associate Engineer, Anicon Inc. 1983-1987; Sr. Process Technician, Advanced Micro Devices 1982-1983; Electro-mechanical Process Technician, Applied Materials Inc. 1978-1982

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: West High School, Waterloo, Iowa, Graduated 1977; Mission College, Santa Clara, California, Electronics and Mathematics Courses; Certified Hazardous Materials Manager.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Student Body President, West High School, Waterloo, Iowa 1976-1977; Chair, Morningside Neighborhood Association, 1998-2000; President, Salem Neighborhoods Incorporated (SNI), 1999-2000; Salem Futures Citizen Advisory Committee, 1999-Present; Pringle Creek Watershed Council, 1996-2000

What I Have Done For Salem:

- As President of SNI and Chair of Morningside Neighborhood Association, I have worked to enhance neighborhood livability.
- As a corporate member of the Pringle Creek Watershed Council, I have helped organize educational events, citywide stream cleanups and watershed enhancement projects.
- As a member of a concerned group of citizens and the Morningside Neighborhood Association, I helped defeat the construction of a five-lane roadway next to Morningside Elementary School on 12th Street.
- As a member of the Salem Futures Project, I am involved with the comprehensive review of the City's long range vision about how to manage future growth to maintain our quality of life.

What I Will Do:

- As a councilor for the City of Salem I will bring my expertise, as a member of the business community and as a leader in watershed council and neighborhood groups, to provide fair and balanced leadership aimed at improving and enhancing neighborhood livability, volunteerism, business viability and Salem's overall quality of life.

What We Can Do Together:

- We can look for opportunities and solutions that benefit all members of our community.
- We can begin to make behavioral changes toward sustainability.
- We can get involved and let our voices be heard.

CITY COUNCILOR WARD 5 - VOTE FOR 1

DON SCOTT

OCCUPATION: Salem City Councilor

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: 24 years experience as consultant to local government in Oregon; 1968-1971 Captain U.S. Army

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Bachelor of Science Degree, Oregon State University.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Salem City Council 1997-Present; Salem City Budget Committee 1990-1997, Chairman 1995-1996; Public Officials Compensation Commission 1996-1998; Salem Sub-Committee on Fire Services, Vice Chair 1994-1996; Salem Sub-Committee on Employee Benefits, Chair, 1992

DON SCOTT WILL WORK FOR:

Police and Fire:

- Enhanced community policing.
- Shorter response time for police and fire.
- Improved emergency medical capabilities.

Neighborhoods:

- Enhanced livability by ensuring that existing neighborhoods get a fair share of the money available for maintenance and new construction.

Taxes:

- Controlling the cost of City government by improved accountability and better long range planning

*Prior to his election to the City Council, **Don Scott** served on the city budget committee for over six years. **Don** has shown himself to be very well informed, insightful and considerate of the needs of North Salem.*

***Don** has regularly attended Neighborhood Association meetings and has extensive knowledge of the needs and concerns of North Salem. When issues have arisen, he has been ready and able to step forward and take a leadership role. **Don Scott** has proven himself to be an effective advocate for North Salem.*

*One of the characteristics the Salem City Council needs is balance and consideration of both sides of an issue. **Don Scott** has shown he can look at both sides of an issue and stand up for what he believes is right.*

VOTE DON SCOTT — PROVEN LEADERSHIP FOR SALEM

CITY COUNCILOR WARD 7 - VOTE FOR 1

ANNA BRAUN

SCOTTS MILLS

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 YEAR TERM)

NO CANDIDATES FILED

CITY RECORDER - VOTE FOR 1 (2 YEAR TERM)

NO CANDIDATES FILED

TREASURER - VOTE FOR 1 (2 YEAR TERM)

NO CANDIDATES FILED

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 4 (1 TWO YEAR TERM AND 3 FOUR YEAR TERMS)

DARRYL HOCKENBERRY

SILVERTON

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

KEN HECTOR

OCCUPATION: Supervisor, Workers' Compensation; CNF Transportation Company, Inc.; CNF Service Co., Portland, Oregon

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Since 1978, employed in Risk Management, administration of Property/Casualty claim programs in both the public and private sectors; Prior to 1978, self-employed small business owner.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: University of Portland, Portland, Oregon, B.A. Psychology

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: 1993-Present, Mayor, City of Silverton; 1985-1993, City Councilor, City of Silverton; 1983-1985, Budget Committee, City of Silverton; 1994-1998, Board of Directors, Mid-Willamette Valley Council of Governments; 1998-1999, Board of Directors-MVW Area Commission on Transportation; 1993-Present, Board of Directors-Silverton Together

Volunteers are certainly the backbone of most communities, and that is very true in Silverton. It comes in many forms, and for me it has been the opportunity to serve on the City Council, the last eight years as Mayor. Working collaboratively with my fellow Councilors, citizen groups, and related governmental agencies, we have addressed the many challenges facing small cities today, with positive outcomes.

While we have made great progress, the challenges continue. Our number one goal remains sustaining the livability of our community. I have been very honored to serve as Mayor, and look forward to working with the community to maintain our place as the "jewel of the Willamette Valley". As Oregon's Garden City, and the Gateway to Silver Falls, we must continue to preserve that which we treasure deeply, so that those who follow us may also enjoy the beauty of Silverton.

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (4 year term)

CHRIS BLACKBURN

OCCUPATION: Teacher

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Teacher, Silver Falls School District, Silverton, Oregon.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Western Oregon College University, Monmouth, Oregon; B.S. History, 1994; B.S. Secondary Education, 1996

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: None

CHRIS BLACKBURN: Teacher. Coach. Life-long Resident.

I was born in Silverton and I have watched our community grow over the years.

As an educator and coach,

I know how to bring people together to work towards a common goal.

As your city council member, I plan to work with you to protect our future as we grow.

CHRIS BLACKBURN: Protecting Silverton's Character As We Grow

The Oregon Gardens present us with many opportunities...

opportunities that must be nurtured to maintain our city's character.

At the same time, we face challenges from the Gardens...challenges with traffic and growth that must be solved by working together.

As your city council member, I'll make sure all sides are heard...

and that the Gardens becomes a special place for which we can be proud.

CHRIS BLACKBURN: A Leader for Education

As your city council member, I'll work to create a relationship between the city, local business and the school district to develop further educational and vocational opportunities for our children.

CHRIS BLACKBURN: Securing A Safe And Livable Community

As our city grows through increased tourism, I will work with city government and community members to ensure that we grow together, nurturing our sense of community.

Silverton is known for its livability as a safe community for families.

As your city council member, I want continue our tradition as a safe and secure community.

CHRIS BLACKBURN: A Leader For Silverton City Council

Silverton is on the verge of a growth spurt as the Oregon Gardens prepares for its grand opening. Our city is going to need strong leadership and compassionate officials to guide our community through the future growth while sustaining Silverton's charm and character. I want to serve Silverton as a voice for the community as we make decisions on Silverton's future. Thank you.

TAMMI CLINE

OCCUPATION: Case Manager/ Family Support Worker for homeless families at a Salem area homeless shelter, assisting families transition into stable lives thru supportive services and community referrals and connections.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Worked with the United States Census Bureau for the Census 2000; Worked with Silverton Area Community Aid as a volunteer and as the Program Coordinator for several years; Worked with the Silverton Resource and Referral Center and the Silverton Community Connectors for several years; Salem-Keizer School District employee for four years

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Graduated from Lone Pine High School in Lone Pine, California with numerous honors; Have also attended a variety of trainings and seminars at Chemeketa Community College and in and around the Silverton and Salem areas, directed around the Human Services field and community education and awareness; Attended Trend College with the Travel/Tourism/Hospitality courses within my interests.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: California Girls' State Representative during Junior year in High School; Assisted other candidates, at various times, with their campaigns and issues.

I enjoy being an active, informed community member and partner and I believe the strength of a community lies within each individual to want to make a difference, by listening to others and truly hearing, by teaching and by learning, as well as our willingness to work together, to make our community the best it can be, without judgements and without prejudices. By standing together, we help to enhance our citizens, our community and our area neighbors.

I have enjoyed the opportunity to be a part of the Merchants Group here in Silverton, as well as various other committees and organizations and have found Silverton to be a very supportive community with a tremendous amount of charm! I will always make myself available to our community, as I am very proud to be a part of such a unique place and will always have Silverton and its citizens best interest at heart.

LOIS RIOPELLE

OCCUPATION: Real Estate Associate, Caster Company Real Estate Services

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Budget Analyst, State of Oregon Mental Health Division; Community Development Specialist, Los Angeles, California; Data Research Analyst, Los Angeles; Income Maintenance and Medicaid Supervisor, Los Angeles

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: California State University Dominguez Hills, B.A. in Sociology/Behavioral Science

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: City of Silverton Budget Committee and Planning Commission; Serving fourth year as City Councilor and second year as Council President; Council appointments to the Oregon Garden Foundation Board and the Rural Investment Fund; Member of Silverton Garden Advisory Committee

I have looked carefully at growth and development in our City. For any proposed subdivisions, I support the need to ensure that the City has the ability to provide needed services such as sewer, water, fire and public safety.

I feel it is important to keep our City a **SAFE** place for all who live and work here. I support the police department and the concept of Community Policing.

During the last four years, I have supported economic and commercial development within our City and encouraged efforts to keep the architectural integrity of the historic buildings.

Growth in housing should at least meet the need projected in our City's Comprehensive Plan for both single and multifamily housing.

As a City, we will need to work together to find ways to solve traffic problems due to population increases and the opening of the Oregon Gardens.

STU RASMUSSEN

SHERRY HOEFEL

SCOTT SWORD

STAYTON

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

GERRY ABOUD

OCCUPATION: Self-employed, back issue magazine dealer

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Purchasing Agent; Office Manager

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Cal State Northridge, B.S. Accounting

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Stayton City Council 1987-1990, 1994

Having had previous city council experience and having knowledge of current demands on city government, I will be able to accomplish the duties required of mayor.

Stayton has experienced a great deal of growth in the last ten years, and we are again at the point where determining our future is most important.

I intend to be a leader in this movement thru the use of the Comprehensive Plan update and an update of the zoning and development codes. In conjunction with this, the planning department needs to be able to provide applicants for permits, exactly what is required of them, and the amount of fees to be collected.

Livability issues, such as parks, library, open space, and ordinance enforcement are so important that they need to be addressed directly and quickly.

In order to do all this, we need to work together to provide an efficient and appropriately funded City Government. Programs and services we demand from our City will not be possible without passage of the Local Option Tax, which I support.

Thank you for reading this, and I ask for your vote on November 7th.

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (2 four year terms; 1 two year term)

MARK C JOHNSON

OCCUPATION: Claims Adjuster, State Accident Insurance Fund Corp.; Education & Training Manager, USAF Reserve

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Field Investigator, SAIF Corporation; Special Agent, USAF Office of Special Investigations; Cryptologic Linguist (Arabic) USAF Electronic Security Command

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Bachelor of Arts, Liberal Arts, Regents College, Albany, New York; Associate of Science, Intelligence Collection, Community College of the Air Force, Maxwell AFB, Alabama.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: None

I'm running for city council to offer the people of Stayton a choice. I've lived in Stayton for 6 years with my wife and three children, all of whom attend public school I want to represent the average family here in Stayton. My interest lies not only in the voters in the city but the children as well. I am concerned with how the decisions of the council affect everyone, including the children.

I don't have any experience in government, which I consider a plus. I do have experience researching and investigating issues and concerns. I also have experience making difficult decisions that can have a far-reaching and serious impact on the lives of individuals and companies.

My reason for running for city council is simple: I hope to make a difference in the way city is managed and bring new ideas to the council.

ALAN RUBEL
MIKE HALLAM
MICHAEL AUS

ST PAUL

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

KIM WALLIS
CALE C. HARVEY

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 2 (4 year term)

NO CANDIDATES FILED

SUBLIMITY

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

H. D. (DOUG)DENSON

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 2 (4 year term)

EUGENE DITTER
DALE RUBEL
WAYNE A. STEDRONSKY

TURNER

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

STEVE LITTRELL

CITY COUNCILOR - VOTE FOR 3 (4 year term)

JEFF LONG
SUSAN SULLIVAN
GLENN PENNEBAKER

WOODBURN

MAYOR - VOTE FOR 1 (2 year term)

RICHARD JENNINGS

CITY COUNCILOR WARD 1 - VOTE FOR 1 (4 year term)

WALTER NICHOLS

CITY COUNCILOR WARD 2 - VOTE FOR 1 (4 year term)

RICHARD BJELLAND

CITY COUNCILOR WARD 6 - VOTE FOR 1 (4 year term)

ELIDA SIFUENTEZ

MARION SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

DIRECTOR, ZONE 1 (4 year term)

NO CANDIDATES FILED

DIRECTOR, ZONE 4 (4 year term)

NO CANDIDATES FILED

DIRECTOR, ZONE 5 (4 year term)

RALPH FISHER

AT LARGE - VOTE FOR ONE (4 year term)

JUDY McCLAUGHRY
JUDITH INGRAM MOORE

STATE OF OREGON MEASURES

Measure 83: State of Oregon

Measure 84: State of Oregon

Measure 85: State of Oregon

Measure 86: State of Oregon

Measure 87: State of Oregon

Measure 88: State of Oregon

Measure 89: State of Oregon

Measure 90: State of Oregon

Measure 91: State of Oregon

Measure 92: State of Oregon

Measure 93: State of Oregon

Measure 94: State of Oregon

Measure 95: State of Oregon

Measure 96: State of Oregon

Measure 97: State of Oregon

Measure 98: State of Oregon

Measure 99: State of Oregon

Measure 1: State of Oregon

Measure 2: State of Oregon

Measure 3: State of Oregon

Measure 4: State of Oregon

Measure 5: State of Oregon

Measure 6: State of Oregon

Measure 7: State of Oregon

Measure 8: State of Oregon

Measure 9: State of Oregon

MEASURE 24-35: FIVE YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX

Jefferson School District 14J

Referred to the People by the District Board

Five-Year Local Option Tax

Question: Shall the District Levy a tax of \$217,000 each year for five years beginning in Fiscal Year 2001-2002? This Measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: This measure would authorize the District to levy an ad valorem property tax in the amount of \$217,000 each year, for five years, commencing with fiscal year 2001-2002. The total tax levy authority is \$1,085,000. The levy would be used to finance District operations and programs, including, but not limited to, providing for essential maintenance of school buildings and property; restoring and maintaining teaching and non-teaching positions in order to minimize classroom overcrowding; purchase of textbooks and other classroom material; restoring certain education services, such as counseling, home economics, industrial arts and art.

Explanatory Statement:

Jefferson School District has had to make staff and program reductions in order to stay within the funding provided by the State. These reductions have caused class sizes to increase at all levels and have reduced or eliminated many programs.

The Local Option Levy will provide the District with additional funds for the general operation of the schools. Areas to receive the additional funding include (but are not limited to) the following:

- Add teaching and non-teaching positions to reduce class size.
- Provide for essential maintenance of buildings and property.
- Purchase teaching supplies and textbooks
- Restore or partially restore services or programs in counseling, home economics, industrial arts, and art

The Oregon legislature gave Districts the ability to ask the voters for a local option levy. This levy is based on the “gap” between the measure 5 limitation and those of measure 52.

The levy is a 5-year serial levy in the amount of \$217,000 per year for a total of \$1,085,000. The amount that each taxpayer will pay will vary based on the GAP on individual pieces of property. It is estimated that the increase will range from \$0.00 to \$1.00 per \$1000 of assessed value. However this is an estimate only and the actual amount may vary.

Submitted by:
Jim Moskal, Superintendent
Board Chair

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-36: JEFFERSON R.F.P.D. GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

Jefferson Rural Fire Protection District

Referred to the People by the District Board

Jefferson r.f.p.d. General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the District be authorized to issue not to exceed \$3,700,000 general obligation bonds? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: If approved, this measure provides funds for capital construction and capital improvements, included, but not limited to, providing funds to:

- Purchase property and build a New Main Fire Station
- Purchase of New Apparatus
- Build a Training Tower
- Build a Light Maintenance and Storage Building
- Replacement of Public Safety Support Vehicles
- Pay bond issuance costs

The Bonds will mature in 20 years or less.

Explanatory Statement:

The Jefferson Rural Fire Protection District is asking the taxpayers of the district to consider a General Obligation Bond. The bond would be \$3,700,000 and will have a 20-year maturity. The bond would fund the following projects, including, but not limited to:

The Purchase of property, expected to be a minimum of five acres, to build, equip, and furnish a new fire station in Jefferson Oregon. The fire station would house the district administrative offices, training areas and housing for students and the twenty-four hour ambulance crews. It will have bays to house district emergency vehicles. It would have OSHA driven dedicated areas (i.e. Self Contained Breathing Apparatus, Medicals Supplies, Firefighter Clothing, Hose and a Decontamination Room).

The purchase of new emergency vehicles. These emergency vehicles would replace six of our current fleet of 16. These six have an average age of twenty-three (23) years old. The six vehicles are aging, outdated and are becoming unreliable.

The purchase and construction of a pre-manufactured training tower on the same five acres at the new station. The tower will allow the volunteers firefighters to practice their skills on a weekly basis. This is not available to them now.

The purchase and construction of a Light Maintenance and Storage Building. The building will be used do preventative maintenance on an emergency vehicle and the storage of equipment and supplies that are used on a daily basis.

Any additional income from the bond funds will be used to enhance the aforementioned purchases.

Submitted by:
Elaine Shinn
Adm. Secretary

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-37: FIVE-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR CITY OPERATIONS

City of Stayton

Referred to the People by the City Council

Five-year Local Option Tax For City Operations

Question: Shall the City of Stayton implement a \$500,000 operating tax annually for five years, beginning in Fiscal Year 2001-2002? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: The City of Stayton is asking voters to approve a five-year local option tax of \$500,000 per year, beginning in Fiscal Year 2001-2002, to help fund General Fund operations and to offset loss of certain revenues. This tax would raise \$2.5 Million over the five year period.

Fiscal Year 2001-2002 is the final year for an existing \$100,000 per year local option tax which supports library and pool operations, as well as approximately \$41,000 in federal grant funding for two police officers. The proposed tax offsets those lost revenues and provides fiscal stability to the City's General Fund, ensures adequate funding of mandated programs and allows for activities directed toward providing desirable levels of City services to Stayton residents.

Other uses specifically proposed for local option tax proceeds include deferred maintenance on city buildings and facilities, information technology upgrades, grant matching funds and deferred personnel-related costs.

It is estimated that this tax will result in a rate of \$1.61 per \$1,000 of assessed value in the first year. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of estimate.

Explanatory Statement:

The City of Stayton is seeking a 5-year local option tax of \$500,000 per year to support General Fund programs. Basic and/or mandated General Fund programs include Administration, Police, Finance, Land Use Planning and related "costs of doing business". Other programs serving Stayton citizens include the Library, Parks, Community Center and the Stayton Family Memorial Pool.

Numerous factors prompt the City to submit this measure. Those include a continuing rapid population growth demanding municipal services, cumulative effects of statewide property tax limitation initiatives, the impending loss of certain revenue sources and several unanticipated costs incurred over the past several years.

Stayton's population has grown from 5,040 in 1990 to 6,710 in 1999 (PSU data), a total increase of 33% (average annual increase of some 3.7%). Between 1991 and 1999, the Portland/Salem CPI-W increased by 30.3%. To accommodate the increased growth and inflationary pressures, the City's General Fund expenditures during the same period increased 28% from \$219 to \$281 per capita. Property Tax revenues increased from \$123 to \$136 per capita, representing a comparative increase of 10.6%; reflecting the fact that the City has increasingly relied on General Fund resources other than taxes.

An existing 3-year local option tax for partial support of library and pool programs will end at the conclusion of FY 2001/2002 (i.e. – June 30, 2002), resulting in a net revenue loss of approximately \$93,000 annually. A Federal COPS Grant for two police officer positions will end at the same time, with a revenue loss of approximately \$41,000 annually. To maintain consistent service levels, both of those revenue losses must be made up from other sources.

The first year of the proposed tax will overlap the final year of the two revenue sources described in the preceding paragraph. To keep faith with Stayton citizens, if this measure is approved, the City intends to set aside a portion of the first year's proceeds, in an amount at least equal to those two revenue sources, as a contingency or unappropriated balance to strengthen the City's reserves. Stayton's Reserves have decreased from some \$538,076 in 1996 to \$98,251 in the adopted 2000-2001 General Fund budget.

The City is presently unable to accomplish many fundamental tasks inherent to fostering operational efficiencies and, ultimately, quality service to citizens. Those include the ability to upgrade and better utilize information technology, the ability to afford necessary matching funds to be more competitive for grants (which could further maximize existing budget resources), the ability to provide necessary cost of living incentives to employees or to provide desirable training and professional development for staff, councilors or board and committee members.

Based on the most current Marion County Assessor's information, the proposed tax would represent a first-year rate of approximately \$1.61/\$1,000. Together with the City's existing 1999-2000 \$3.66/\$1,000 rate, TOTAL first-year City tax cost for a \$100,000 residence would be approximately \$527. That will be reduced upon the June 2002 expiration of the library/pool levy.

Submitted by:
Chris Childs, City Administrator
City of Stayton

Argument in Favor:

As one of your elected officials, the effort that I have put into reviewing and understanding city finances obligates me to convey to you my deep concern for Stayton's impending financial difficulties.

There are many reasons for this situation, including the loss of several current revenue sources. The most notable of these is the soon to expire tax levy that contributes nearly \$100,000 toward the City's approximate \$215,000 cost of operating the pool and library. Another significant loss will be the end of the Federal COPS Grant.

In addition to revenue losses we are also faced with catching up on such things as technology upgrades and deferred maintenance of city facilities, especially the Community Center. The City is currently limited in its ability to seek outside grants to help pay for projects because of the lack of matching funds.

These examples are just some of the many budget problems that face us. Do not hesitate to ask if you wish more information concerning any aspect of city finances.

Your friends and neighbors who volunteer to serve on the city budget committee have already been forced to make tough decisions that have resulted in cuts to Police, Library, and Pool services, as well as building maintenance. These reductions were in addition to Park maintenance and other across the board cuts made by the city budget officer.

The grim reality of the more drastic cuts to come, and the negative effect they will have on the city's citizens, prompted the budget committee to endorse a reasonable and prudent five year option tax levy. I agree that the proposed levy is

absolutely necessary to restore and ensure continued quality services that citizens want and expect. It is my strongest recommendation and hope that you support measure 24-37.

Respectfully,
David Nielson
Stayton City Councilor

(This information provided by David Nielson, Stayton City Councilor)

STAYTON RESIDENTS:

PLEASE VOTE "YES" ON MEASURE 24-37, THE CITY OF STAYTON 5-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX.

Once upon a time, the City of Stayton received Federal Revenue Sharing money, timber receipts and other sources of funds. Life was good, but eventually those things went away. Nevertheless, the City saved some of that money and built a reserve for leaner times.

Over the past several years the City's cushion has dwindled. While operating within the limitations of Measures 5, 47 and 50, the City has struggled to maintain a quality level of services to its residents in spite of a rapidly growing population which requires MORE of each City service. Taxes on newly constructed homes simply don't cover the cost. Ultimately, innovation and creativity can only go so far.

This year's General Fund budget suffered drastic reductions. A police officer position was left vacant for six months, library audio-visual and reference materials budgets were eliminated, the pool is now closed on Sundays, a summer parks mowing position was eliminated ... and the list goes on.

Stayton has beautiful parks and a wonderful library. Let's keep them that way!

In July 2002, an existing local option tax to augment library and pool operations will end, as will a federal grant that funds two police officers. Without this new tax, or some other "miraculous" source of funding, further devastating budget cuts are almost assured at that time. Unavoidable "victims" will most certainly be parks, library services, the swimming pool and police services.

City leaders are committed to managing the proceeds of this tax prudently and responsibly.

Good City services are part of the quality of life we all enjoy and depend on. Do we want to maintain what we have, continue reducing, or face the possibility of programs being eliminated?

PLEASE VOTE "YES" ON MEASURE 24-37!

Henry Porter
Susan Brandt
Curt Ward

(This information provided by Curt Ward, Treasurer Stayton Citizens for a Sensible Future)

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-38: GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

Stayton Rural Fire Protection District

Referred to the People by the District Board

General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the District be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$2,500,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: A “yes” vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. This measure authorizes issuance of general obligation bonds of not to exceed \$2,500,000, to remodel and improve fire stations; acquire fire fighting apparatus, engines and vehicles; construct storage and training facilities; construct related improvements and pay all costs. The total projected estimated cost of the bonds, principal and interest, assuming serial bonds with a final maturity in 21 years at an average annual estimated interest rate of 5.807%, would be \$4,406,641. The revenue (the average annual tax levy assuming a level debt District), is estimated to be \$214,958 annually. The estimated average property tax rate, based on the foregoing assumptions, would be \$.3451 (cents) per \$1000 of assessed value.

Explanatory Statement:

On November 7, 2000, the Stayton Fire District will ask voters to approve a \$2,500,000 bond to provide funds to make improvements to District facilities which will enhance the District’s services and response times. Proceeds from the bonds will be used to remodel and improve fire stations, acquire fire fighting apparatus, engines and vehicles, construct storage and training facilities and other related improvements.

The District’s calls for service, which include emergency medical response, structure, Wildland and vehicle fires, hazardous material spills, motor vehicle accidents, water rescues and industrial accidents, have increased by more than 400% since 1982. The District has not received voter approval to issue bonds since 1984.

Proceeds from the bonds will enable to District to better serve the 107 square miles in Marion and Linn Counties and estimated population of 13,500, which comprise its service area.

As a result of those improvements and enhanced services and response time, the District estimates that insurance costs will decrease by 23% for residential properties and by 27% for commercial properties within the District.

The estimated average cost of the bond to the owner of a \$100,000 residential property will be \$.34 (cents) per thousand dollars of assessed value, or \$34 annually. The District estimates that the same homeowner will see a savings of \$75 annually in the form of reduced insurance premiums, a net savings of \$41. The owner of a \$200,000 business would pay \$68 annually to support the bond and would see a savings of \$420 in the form of lower insurance premiums, a net savings of \$352.

The District anticipates that it will not need to return to the voters for another bond issue for at least 10 years if this bond is approved.

Submitted by:
Ray W. Porter, Board President
Stayton Fire District

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-39: KEIZER FIRE DISTRICT FIVE-YEAR OPERATIONS AND EQUIPMENT LOCAL OPTION TAX

Keizer Fire District

Referred to the People by the District Board

Keizer Fire District Five-Year Operations And Equipment Local Option Tax

Question: Shall Keizer Fire District impose \$.23 per \$1,000 of assessed value for five years for operations and equipment beginning 2001-2002? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: A “yes” vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. This levy will allow the District to meet the increasing costs of operations. Additional funds will allow the District to maintain buildings. The levy will allow the purchase of fire and related equipment, including communications equipment. The first year the levy will be made is fiscal year 2001-2002. The funds raised per year would be \$245,494 in 2001-2002, \$252,858 in 2002-2003, \$260,444 in 2003-2004, \$268,257 in 2004-2005 and \$276,305 in 2005-2006.

Explanatory Statement:

A “yes” vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes.

The elected Board of Directors for Keizer Fire District decided by unanimous vote on 8/15/00 to place a funding measure on the November ballot. Approval of this measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three per cent. The Board is asking District residents to consider a five-year local option levy. Also, approval of this measure would represent an increase of \$0.23 per \$1,000 of assessed property valuation. This measure would allow the Fire District to fund District operations for the next five years at current service levels.

The District’s permanent tax rate is \$1.3526 per \$1,000 of assessed property valuation. The cost for General Obligation Bonds approved in 1995 to construct the new fire station is \$0.19 per \$1,000. This will end in 2016. Approval of this local option levy would represent an additional \$0.23 per thousand or \$23.00 per year for a \$100,000 home. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of the estimate.

Keizer Fire District is not a part of the city or county but is a “special service district” a unit of government equal to a city or county. It was created by a vote of the people in 1948. It is governed by an elected Board of Directors who are residents of the District. As elected representatives of District residents, their responsibility is to represent the interests of District residents by making policy decisions that ensure the highest level of fire and life safety services provided in the most cost effective manner.

The Board of Directors is requesting funding for each of five years for the following:

1. \$20,000 toward replacement of a 24-year-old engine.
2. \$15,500 for fire station maintenance.
3. \$63,000 to update and replace fire equipment.
4. \$146,000 for operating expenses.

To fund operations the District is depleting reserve funds set aside for building maintenance and equipment purchases. These funds are savings accounts established over the years to cover these costs. The District’s operating levy was established in 1990 and the District’s operating costs have since increased. Increases in District expenses will exceed the increase in revenues.

The District has experienced loss of revenues in two areas:

1. Decreased revenues from property tax valuations resulting from the passage of Measures 47 and 50 represent a loss of \$380,883 this year in revenues to the Fire District.
2. Any revenues from increased property tax valuation (including new construction) within the City of Keizer’s Urban Renewal District go the Urban Renewal District. This resulted in a loss of \$234,034 in revenue to the District.

The Fire District is served by 43 volunteer firefighters and 18 paid personnel. It covers approximately 10 square miles.

Submitted by:

R. Mark Miedema, President, Board of Directors
Keizer Rural Fire Protection District

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-40: GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

Aumsville Rural Fire Protection District

Referred to the People by the District Board

General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the District be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$1,500,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: A “yes” vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. This measure authorizes the District to issue general obligation bonds of not to exceed \$1,500,000, to refund existing indebtedness; acquire property; expand and remodel the main fire station; acquire and equip fire fighting apparatus; and to pay all costs incidental thereto. Bonds would mature over a period of not to exceed 15 years. The total projected estimated cost of the bonds, principal and interest, assuming serial bonds with a final maturity in 15 years at an average estimated interest rate of 5.641%, would be \$2,325,865. The revenue to be received by the District (the average annual tax levy), is estimated to be \$155,060 annually. The estimated property tax rate, based on the foregoing assumption, would be \$0.60 per \$1000 of assessed value.

Explanatory Statement:

In 1980 you voted to approve a new tax base for us. The District has managed to improve the service to you and the community without asking you for additional money. Operating with a conservative spending approach, we have built a second station and acquired several fire trucks and equipment since that tax base increase.

We have managed to provide the excellent service you have grown accustomed to, even through the recent tax cut measures, which have lowered our operating revenue. Due to the continued growth of the community and the economic impact of these measures on the fire service, the time has come for us to ask for your assistance.

The fire station in Aumsville was built in 1975, when the District had four fire trucks. Since then we have increased that number to seven. This is too many to have in the current building.

The growth of the community has also impacted our operation. The population has grown drastically in the last twenty years. It has increased from less than 2,000 to almost 6,500 today. This growth has resulted in an increase in the number of emergency calls we respond to. In 1980, this number was 126, and in 1999 we answered almost 480 calls for service.

Over the years, the types of service provided by the Aumsville Rural Fire District have expanded from fire fighting only, to include emergency medical services and hazardous materials response, as well as others. The members of the Aumsville Rural Fire District have always striven to provide the best service possible.

The laws governing the fire service have seen many changes in recent years. These changes have placed stricter rules and regulations in place, which have necessitated updating equipment sooner than was originally planned.

The Board of Directors of the Aumsville Rural Fire District has always felt that, for their safety, your volunteer fire fighters should be provided with the best apparatus and equipment that the Fire District could afford.

Over the years, the cost of equipment has increased faster than any increase in our revenue. This cost is averaging between 9% and 10% each year.

Trying to work within our current budget means that it takes between five and seven years to pay for a fire engine. With that in mind, it would currently take between 30 and 40 years to upgrade the large trucks we have at this time. That is too long to expect those trucks to safely remain in service. In addition to those trucks, the District also has other smaller vehicles in use. Including these in the replacement plan would stretch the lifetime of a vehicle to well over 40 years.

We currently have some trucks for which parts are extremely hard, if not impossible, to locate.

If this measure passes it will allow us to replace three trucks, which have reached the end of their life expectancy, upgrade existing equipment, and enlarge and remodel the fire station in Aumsville, which we have drastically outgrown.

Submitted by:

Pat Godfrey, Chair, Board of Directors
Aumsville Rural Fire Protection District

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-41: WOODBURN SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 103 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

Woodburn School District 103

Referred to the People by the District Board

General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the District be authorized to issue general obligation bonds in an amount not exceeding \$19,667,997? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: If approved, this measure provides funds to the District to finance the costs of capital construction and capital improvements, including, but not limit to, providing funds to:

Expand and renovate facilities at Nellie Muir

Build and furnish an expansion of Valor Middle School to accommodate increased student capacity of approximately 300 students

Build and furnish an approximately 800 student new elementary school

Make related site improvements; and

Pay bond issuance costs.

The Bond will mature in 21 years or less.

Explanatory Statement:

The Woodburn School District #103 is seeking voter approval of a \$19,667,997 bond issue to relieve overcrowding in the elementary and middle schools.

WHAT IS THE PROBLEM?

Since the 96-97 school year, elementary student enrollment has grown approximately 26% or about 460 students. Continued growth is projected at approximately 5% a year or over 110 students per year through 2003. Over 700 elementary students or almost one-third of all elementary students are taught in modular classrooms.

Nellie Muir Elementary School was built to house 300 students and currently has over 420 enrolled. Over 120 students are taught in six modular classrooms.

By 2003, middle school enrollment is projected to increase by 20% or 170 students. Phase 1 of Valor Middle School was built to house 350 students and approximately 425 students are currently enrolled.

WHO PROPOSED THE BOND MEASURE?

Over forty community members, including business people, ministers, senior citizens, and parents spent 18 months analyzing demographic information and the condition and adequacy of all school facilities. A Citizen Advisory Committee comprised of 12 community members reviewed the findings of this committee and proposed a \$24.9 million bond. The Board of Directors approved this bond proposal in February, 2000, but in August, 2000, reduced the bond amount by 20% to \$19,667,997. This was accomplished by utilizing district-owned property for the new elementary school and by setting aside, over a three-year period, operating revenues necessary to complete safety upgrades to Washington and Lincoln Elementary Schools and French Prairie Middle School.

WHAT WILL THIS BOND MEASURE FUND?

1. The construction of an approximately 800-student elementary school on a district-owned 17-acre site behind the Heritage Elementary School/Valor Middle School complex on Parr Road. It is anticipated that the larger school would be divided into two approximately 400-student classroom clusters situated around common core facilities (office, library, gym, cafeteria);
2. The construction of an addition to Valor Middle School that would increase its instructional capacity by approximately 300 students. Valor was originally designed to accommodate this planned addition.
3. The enlargement and remodeling of the core facilities at Nellie Muir Elementary School, including the office, library, cafeteria, gym, and restroom facilities.

WHAT WILL THE BOND COST THE TAXPAYER?

It is estimated that the bond measure would increase a person's property taxes by approximately \$1.46 per thousand dollars of assessed valuation.

Submitted by:
Jack D. Reeves, Superintendent
Woodburn School District 103

Argument in Favor:

Vote YES for the Woodburn Schools.

• Woodburn's elementary schools are overcrowded.

Woodburn keeps growing! Enrollment in our elementary schools has grown by 26% since 1996, and it's going to grow by another 16% in the next three years. Every elementary school in Woodburn has more children than its hallways, bathrooms and cafeterias can hold. Today, 700 Woodburn children go to school in inadequate portable buildings.

• It's time to add on to Valor Middle School.

Middle school enrollment — 6th, 7th and 8th graders — has grown by 16% in just two years, and is expected to grow another 20% by 2003. When we built Valor Middle School, we planned ahead for growth and designed the building to be expanded. The bond will add 14 classrooms to Valor.

• Woodburn's older, most crowded elementary school needs remodeling.

Nellie Muir School was built in 1963 to hold 300 students. Today, 420 children go to school at Muir. The school needs expansion and repairs.

A few things to keep in mind.

• By remodeling our older schools, Woodburn **will be saving money in new construction.**

• The school board has voted to build the new elementary school on land it **already owns. They don't need to buy expensive new land.**

- Woodburn planned ahead for growth. **We can expand Valor and not build a new middle school.**

Even with the new bond, our tax rate for Woodburn schools will be LESS than the rate we paid in 1990!

Because of Measure 5's cap on property taxes, **you will still be paying only about one-third the tax rate for schools that you were paying in 1990** — even with the bond. In 1990 our tax rate for Woodburn schools was \$16.80 per thousand. Today, with the bond, the rate will only be \$6.30 per thousand.

Vote YES for Woodburn Schools

Vote YES for 24-41.

*(This information provided by Co-Chairs Sharon L.C. Felix and
David J. Christoff, Woodburn Community for Schools)*

Dear Woodburn Voters,

In the spring of 1998, the Woodburn School Board appointed a task force to take a look at the current condition of school buildings and come up with a long-term plan for correcting deficiencies and meeting student enrollment growth.

What we found was:

- **In 1998, all of Woodburn's elementary schools housed more students than their libraries, bathrooms and hallways are designed for.**
- **In 1998, more than 350 elementary students were being taught in portable classrooms.**
- **By 2003, we expect a 16% increase in grade school students, and a 30% increase in middle school students.**

Our conclusion was inescapable. Woodburn needs more space for its elementary and middle school students. It makes no sense to continue to add expensive and short-term portable classrooms to meet long-term growth. It is hard on the buildings and the students to continue to pack children into buildings that are overcrowded.

To underscore our findings are the following facts:

- **Since 1998, the number of Woodburn elementary school students has increased nearly 10%.**
- **Since 1998, the number of Woodburn middle school students has increased over 16%.**
- **This fall, 700 students are housed in 40 portable classrooms across the district.**

We have worked with the Board of Directors and the architects to come up with a facilities plan that takes full advantage of our existing buildings. We propose to expand Valor middle school, renovate Nellie Muir and add one new elementary school.

We, like you, are Woodburn voters and taxpayers. This is a sound economic proposal that will save the district dollars in the long run. We urge you to join us in voting Yes on the Woodburn School Bond, Measure 24-41.

Woodburn School District Facilities Master Planning Committee

Brett Meacham
Rev. Dave Dougherty
Rev. Nancy Farrell
Jerry Simonsen
Cindy Thomas
Yes on Measure 24-41.

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-42: FOUR YEAR POLICE OPERATIONS LEVY

MEASURE 24-43: TWO YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX

City of St. Paul

Referred to the People by the City Council

Two Year Local Option Tax For General Operations/Administrative Services.

Question: Shall St. Paul impose \$2.50 per \$1,000 assessed value for Two (2) years for operations and administrative services beginning 2001-2002? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: The City of St. Paul is faced with a variety of pressing issues including land use, development, and public utility infrastructure and resource protection. At the present time, these issues are being addressed by one full time employee, limited consultant assistance, and volunteer citizen efforts. The existent permanent tax rate is insufficient to fund services necessary to adequately address these issues and run the City. The proposed measure would fund a City Recorder, Administration, land use planning, public works, engineering and legal services, and other necessary services to permit the city to more adequately respond to these matters. If this measure is approved it is estimated that approximately \$41,935.00 will be raised in each year that the local option tax will be imposed.

This measure is subject to the limits of section 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution. The revenues raised will be for government purposes other than schools. Approval of this measure will cause property taxes on St. Paul properties to exceed the limits of Article XI, section 11 (g)1 and (2)(a) of the Oregon Constitution.

Explanatory Statement:

What is the request?

The requested two-year local option tax will add \$2.50 per \$1,000 assessed value to the City's permanent tax rate. This tax revenue would go into St. Paul's General Fund.

What is the General Fund?

The General Fund pays all city expenditures not covered by the City's Sewer, Water, or Street Funds, including such services as police, administration, finance and payroll, land use planning and growth management. Sewer, Water, and Street Funds may only be used to pay for projects or services related to providing sewer, water and streets for St. Paul. Anything else must be paid for out of the General Fund.

Why does St. Paul need additional General Fund Revenue?

Measure 50 caps assessed valuation increases at three percent (3%) per year. The City of St. Paul is facing a variety of very complex issues relating to such matters as development, police services, long range planning. The City is legally required to carry out these functions. These issues are currently being funded by the existing local option tax, which expires June 30, 2001. The City's current Permanent Tax Rate under Measure 50 provides insufficient resources to adequately address these issues and run the day-to-day business of the City.

How much tax revenue does St. Paul currently collect?

The permanent tax rate for the City is set at \$0.61 per \$1,000 of assessed value. This generates approximately \$10,328 each year. There are 2 temporary tax levies currently in place. One of these is a bond measure for \$2.0189 per \$1,000.00 assessed value. The current local option tax providing revenue for the General fund is set at \$2.50 per \$1,000 assessed value. This provides the city with approximately \$41,935 for the General Fund annually, but expires on June 30, 2001. The total property tax rate for the City of St. Paul is \$5.1346 per \$1,000 assessed value. This will change by zero percent (0%) if the requested levy of \$2.50 is adopted.

What should citizens know about the requested local option tax?

Under current law, the City cannot increase its permanent tax rate. It may ask voters to approve temporary tax measures. The revenues from the measure must be put into a separate fund and can only be used for the purpose stated in the measure. If the recommended measure were approved now, the City would collect the first year's revenues in fiscal year 2001-2002. This would allow the City to operate without a gap in funding.

Submitted by:
Tom Kirk, Mayor
St. Paul City Council

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-44: AMENDS AND UPDATES CHARTER; INCLUDES PROVISIONS FOR CITY CLERK POSITION

City of Scotts Mills

Referred to the People by the City Council

Amends And Updates Charter; Includes Provisions For City Clerk Position.

Question: Shall charter be amended including provisions for appointed City Clerk instead of Recorder and Treasurer elected positions?

Summary: Amends City Charter. Provides updated terms of office and duties for elected positions. Replaces the elected positions of City Recorder and City Treasurer with an appointed City Clerk position.

No Explanatory Statement was submitted for this Measure.

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-45: ENACTS BUSINESS REGISTRATION TAX

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

Enacts Business Registration Tax

Question: Shall a registration tax be imposed on persons doing business in the city, producing estimated annual revenue of \$1.57 million?

Summary: A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. Requires persons doing business in city to register and pay annual taxes based on number of full-time-equivalent employees who work in city as follows:

0-4 employees \$100
5-19 employees \$250
20-49 employees \$500
50-99 employees \$750
100-249 employees \$1,500
250+ employees \$2,000

Tax is for revenue purposes only. It is imposed on persons other than employees engaging in any enterprise, activity, profession or undertaking in pursuit of profit, gain or production of income, including property transactions, manufacturing or sale of goods, and rendering of services. Exempt are persons city may not legally tax for business registration, exempt organizations under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, persons whose annual gross receipts are less than \$25,000, persons holding less than three garage sales per year, persons renting or leasing less than three dwelling units, individuals under 18 and city neighborhood organizations. Provides for temporary registration. Prohibits transfer of registration. Requires displaying registration and keeping records. Prescribes civil and criminal penalties and procedures.

Explanatory Statement:

A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. This measure requires persons who do business in the city to register and pay annual taxes based on the number of full-time-equivalent employees who work in the city as follows:

0-4 employees \$ 100
5-19 employees \$ 250
20-49 employees \$ 500
50-99 employees \$ 750
100-249 employees \$1,500
250 + employees \$2,000

Persons, not employees, who engage in any activity, profession or undertaking of any nature in pursuit of profit, gain or production of income within the city limits are subject to the tax. The tax applies to a person whose business is located within the city limits, and to a person whose business is located outside of the city but who does business inside the city limits.

The tax does not apply to:

1. Persons the city may not legally tax for business registration;
2. Charitable, religious, educational and other organizations exempt from federal tax under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code;
3. Persons whose annual gross receipts are less than \$25,000;
4. Persons holding less than three garage sales per year;
5. Persons renting or leasing less than three dwelling units;
6. Individuals under 18; and
7. Neighborhood organizations.

Temporary registration, at one quarter of the annual rate, is available for persons doing business in the city for not more than 30 days.

The tax is for revenue rather than regulatory purposes. The estimated revenue to be raised by the Business Registration Tax is \$1.57 million annually. Use of the revenue would be determined by the City Council through the annual public budgeting process.

Submitted by:
Mike Swaim, Mayor
On behalf of the Salem City Council

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

City Of Salem, Oregon Public Safety General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the City be authorized to issue general obligation bonds for public safety purposes in an amount not exceeding \$30,840,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. Total cost of the Bonds is estimated as: principal payments \$30,840,000; interest payments \$15,428,740; and costs of issuance and bond administration \$2,207,947 (primarily to be paid from bonds). On average the measure is estimated to raise annual tax revenues of \$3,098,017. This amount represents the estimated amount collected to pay principal and interest on the bonds.

This measure would finance the costs of capital construction and capital improvements for public safety, including, but not limited to, providing funds to:

- * construct, equip and furnish a 911 center;
- * construct, equip and furnish new fire stations;
- * renovate, relocate, rebuild, improve and upgrade existing fire stations;
- * purchase fire and rescue vehicles;
- * acquire, construct and install emergency radio system;
- * pay bond issuance costs; and
- * any unused proceeds of the bonds could finance other capital construction and capital improvements for public safety purposes or pay the bonds, including prepayment or defeasance of the bonds.

Each series of Bonds will mature in 15 years or less from their closing date.

Explanatory Statement:

A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. However, the City Council has adopted a policy to hold the City's real property tax rate for all general obligation bond debt at or below \$2.42 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The City Council proposes new general obligation debt as older debt is paid off. If voters approve this measure and Measure 24-47, it is anticipated that the total tax rate for all of the City's general obligation debt would remain below \$2.42 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

Ballot Measure 24-46 would permit the City of Salem to sell up to \$30,840,000 of general obligation bonds to finance fire, police, and other public safety capital construction and improvement projects including, but not limited to:

- relocating, rebuilding, renovating, and upgrading fire stations
- adding new fire stations
- acquiring fire and rescue vehicles and related public safety equipment
- acquiring land and making site improvements for public safety facilities
- relocating and expanding the 9-1-1 communication and emergency operations center
- developing an integrated 800 megahertz emergency radio communications system
- bond issuance costs

Salem fire stations house firefighters, firefighting equipment and ambulances. This measure would correct deficiencies identified in a 1996 engineering study indicating that these stations would not be useable after a major earthquake. This measure would allow improvements to the fire stations to enable them to better withstand an earthquake.

Additionally, the measure would provide funds to build a 9-1-1 Communications and Emergency Operations Center. The new Center would be better able to withstand an earthquake, as well as address space and operational inadequacies.

This measure would allow for the relocation of existing stations and the construction of new stations to serve the enlarged city. It would enable the Fire Department to better meet the City Council's adopted emergency response time standard.

If passed, the measure would allow for the completion of the City's existing 800 megahertz radio system. It would correct the radio communication dead spots throughout the City where emergency radio communications between public safety personnel are impaired. It would also allow police personnel to communicate more effectively and efficiently with each other, 9-1-1 dispatchers, fire department and ambulance personnel and other city operations personnel.

Any remaining bond funds may be used for additional capital construction and capital improvements for public safety or to pay bond debt.

Each series of bonds would mature within 15 years of its issue. The estimated tax rate impact is 52 cents per \$1000 of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2001/2002 and less thereafter. In fiscal year 2001/2002, it is estimated that a taxpayer owning property with an assessed value of \$100,000 would pay an additional \$52 in taxes per year. The estimated tax rate impact is based on the information available at the time this measure was filed.

Submitted by:
Mike Swaim, Mayor
On behalf of the Salem City Council

Argument in Favor:

Help Your Fire Fighters

Salem firefighters and paramedics want you to know why it is important to **VOTE YES** on the Public Safety Bond Measure. Our job is to keep you safe and our ability to do that well is becoming more difficult. **Endorsed unanimously by City Council**, this measure will dramatically improve your safety.

RESPONSE TIME

Scientific research shows that when a person's breathing or heartbeat has stopped, irreversible brain damage occurs after four to six minutes without resuscitation. Survival rates plummet when response is delayed. The same holds true when your home catches fire. A fire doubles in size every minute. A flashover – the moment at which all the contents of a room ignite – occurs within four minutes after burning begins. To prevent this from happening, quick response time is **critical**.

The Salem City Council adopted the recognized national standard of a four-minute driving response time to be met by emergency crews 85% of the time. Currently we cannot meet this standard. **Fire station relocations and additions are desperately needed to achieve this standard.**

EARTHQUAKE PREPAREDNESS

Many of Salem's existing stations are not built to survive even a modest earthquake. It's likely that in the event of a quake, stations will collapse, burying both firefighters and the specialized equipment needed to assist you in such an emergency.

Salem firefighters ask you to help us improve your family's safety as well as ours. Your **YES vote** on this measure will improve our response time and emergency services delivery.

What will it cost? The bond will cost approximately \$6.50 per month (based on a \$150,000 home) in the first year and less thereafter. Similar public safety investments have taken place in Portland, Beaverton, and Eugene, as citizens of these communities have recognized the need to upgrade their stations too.

Please join your friends, neighbors, relatives and fellow tax payers – **Salem's firefighters and paramedics** – in voting **YES ON PUBLIC SAFETY!**

Your Salem Fire Fighters & Paramedics

*(This information provided by
Salem Fire Fighters & Paramedics)*

Dear Voters:

We support Salem's proposed Public Safety Bond Measure. We understand the importance of providing firefighters, paramedics, police officers, and 911 dispatchers with the proper equipment and facilities to adequately perform their jobs. A **"Yes" vote in favor** of the Public Safety Bond Measure will improve fire and ambulance response times by upgrading, relocating and adding additional fire stations to west and east Salem. This measure will also build a new 911 communication and emergency operations center to help serve the community of Salem. These improvements are needed to ensure that our fire stations and communications center can withstand moderate to severe earthquakes. A recent study indicated that most of Salem fire stations would not be functional after an earthquake of any consequence.

The passage of this bond measure would also improve emergency response times. It has been over 25 years since Salem fire station locations were configured. During this time period, Salem has gone from 27.8 square miles to 46.4 square miles without any consideration of its fire station locations.

This measure will also improve communications for firefighters and police officers. Currently, fire fighters and police officers do not have the ability to directly speak to one another at emergency incidents. This puts our public safety officers in greater danger. It also threatens the lives of all of us by increasing the amount of time it takes for our firefighters and police to communicate with one another during an emergency.

At times we all can take for granted the vital and dangerous work that firefighters, paramedics and police officers do to keep our communities safer. A **"Yes" vote in favor** of the Public Safety Bond Measure will provide the type of public safety environment necessary for a safer Salem.

Join us in supporting the Public Safety Bond Measure by **voting Yes** for public safety and **Yes** to a **safer Salem**.

Sincerely,

John Kitzhaber, M.D. Peter Courtney
Governor of Oregon Oregon State Senator

(This information provided by John Kitzhaber, M.D., Governor of Oregon and Peter Courtney, Oregon State Senator)

To Salem, Oregon Voters:

Who doesn't feel more secure when public service officials are properly equipped and at-the-ready for *whatever* emergency may occur?

To assure that Salem continues to be a place where families can safely grow and prosper, it is important that our city's foundations remain strong. Public Safety is a cornerstone of any community.

Engineering studies have determined that in the event of even a moderate earthquake, Salem's fire stations and 911 Dispatch Center could be reduced to rubble. The goal of this measure, if passed, is to assure citizens that our fire stations and 911 emergency services will withstand a major earthquake. Should the Salem voters have the vision to pass this measure, it may save your life or that of your child and other loved ones. Make no mistake; our public service people see life and death situations each and every day.

We have the opportunity to pass a measure that will directly affect improved response for everyday emergencies by relocating and earthquake-proofing existing stations and constructing badly needed new stations in west and east Salem.

Communications between fire fighters, police officers and 911 personnel will also be improved by voting **YES**. Currently, our fire fighters and police officers do not have the sometimes-crucial ability to communicate directly with each other at an emergency scene via radio. Further, there are gaps in our community where radio communication for public safety employees is not possible. This endangers not only those in the public safety sector, but the people of the community who they admirably serve.

Please join me in voting **YES** for public safety on your mail-in ballot. It's important.

Gerry Frank

(This information provided by Gerry Frank)

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-47: CITY OF SALEM, OREGON TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

City Of Salem, Oregon Transportation Improvements General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the City be authorized to issue general obligations bonds for transportation improvements in an amount not exceeding \$45,790,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. Total cost of the Bonds is estimated as: principal payments \$45,790,000; interest payments \$23,206,028; and costs of issuance and bond administration \$3,461,000 (primarily to be paid from bonds). On average the measure is estimated to raise annual tax revenues of \$4,599,735. This amount represents the estimated amount collected to pay principal and interest on the bonds.

Measure would finance capital construction and capital improvements for transportation, including, but not limited to, providing funds to:

- * restore and rehabilitate streets;
- * make street capacity improvements;
- * widen vehicle lanes;
- * install traffic calming devices;
- * replace and rehabilitate bridges;
- * replace, add or upgrade sidewalks, bike lanes, shoulders and bus stop pads;
- * purchase street lights from electric utilities;
- * modernize and install traffic signals and traffic control and management devices;
- * pay bond issuance costs; and
- * unused proceeds could finance other capital construction and capital improvements for transportation, or pay the bonds including prepayment or defeasance.

Each series of Bonds will mature in 15 years or less from their closing date.

Explanatory Statement:

A "Yes" vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. However, the City Council has adopted a policy to hold the City's real property tax rate for all general obligation bond debt at or below \$2.42 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The City Council proposes new general obligation debt as older debt is paid off. If voters approve this measure and Measure 24-46, it is anticipated that the total tax rate for all of the City's general obligation debt will remain below \$2.42 per \$1,000 of assessed value.

Ballot Measure 24-47 would permit the City of Salem to sell up to \$45,790,000 of general obligation bonds to pay for transportation improvements. The bond measure would finance transportation improvements including pavement, bridge and sidewalk improvements; traffic signals and devices; the purchase of street lights from electrical utilities; and bond issuance costs. Projects proposed for funding from the proceeds were identified by neighborhood associations, staff and citizens during the City's Capital Improvement Program process, and include, but are not limited to:

- provide pavement restoration and resurfacing of arterial and collector streets
- make street capacity improvements, including adding travel and turn lanes
- improve safety by widening existing vehicle lanes
- install traffic calming devices to slow traffic through neighborhoods
- replace and rehabilitate bridges
- replace, add or upgrade sidewalks, bike lanes, shoulders and bus stop pads
- modernize and install traffic signals and traffic control and management devices
- purchase street lights from electric utilities to reduce the City's annual operating costs.

Any remaining bond funds may be used for additional capital construction and capital improvements for transportation, or to pay bond debt.

Each series of bonds would mature within 15 years of its issue. The estimated tax rate impact is 76 cents per \$1,000 of assessed valuation in fiscal year 2001/2002 and less thereafter. In fiscal year 2001/2002, it is estimated that a taxpayer owning property with an assessed value of \$100,000 would pay an additional \$76 in taxes per year. The estimated tax rate impact is based on the information available at the time this measure was filed.

Submitted by:
Mike Swaim, Mayor
On behalf of the Salem City Council

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-48: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF .69 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of .69 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located at 4115 Fisher Rd NE, east of I-5, be annexed to the City of Salem?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex .69 acres of property located at 4115 Fisher Road NE, east of the Interstate 5 Freeway and west of Fisher Road NE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RM2 (Multiple Family Residential).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 0.69 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located at 4115 Fisher Road. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Multi-Family Residential". Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RM2 (Multiple Family Residential). RM2 zoning would typically permit multiple family residences, with a maximum density of twenty-eight dwelling units per acre.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Marion County Fire District and the East Salem Service District, and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff report dated July 31, 2000. Copies of the staff report are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-49: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 19.86 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 19.86 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located south of Holder Lane SE and east of Integra Avenue SE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 19.86 acres of property located south of Holder Lane SE and east of Integra Avenue SE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 19.86 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located south of Holder Lane SE and east of Integra Avenue SE . The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential." Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Salem Suburban Rural Fire Protection District, and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff report dated July 31, 2000. Copies of the staff report are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

Argument in Favor:

This property on Holder Lane SE has been owned by us since 1978. Residential development is taking place to the North, and the West boundary of our property is fully developed.

Major public facilities, including a water reservoir and an extension of Mildred Lane are planned for this area. It is now timely to annex this property so the surrounding neighborhood can be planned in a logical and sensible way.

Eventually, this property will be developed as homesites that are harmonious with the surrounding developments.

The Salem Planning Commission and Salem City Council have passed this proposal on to you, the voters, for approval. Please vote for sound planning and logical development. Vote "YES" on Measure 24-49.

Respectfully submitted by:
Ralph and Louise Bochsler
Joeine Lulay

(This information provided by Ralph and Louise Bochsler and Joeine Lulay)

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-50: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 2.77 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 2.77 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located at 5052 Kale Road NE, east of Toledo Avenue NE, be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 2.77 acres of property located at 5052 Kale Road NE, south of Kale Road NE and east of Toledo Avenue NE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 2.77 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located South of Kale Street NE and East of Toledo Street NE. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential." Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Marion County Fire District, and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff report dated July 24, 2000. Copies of the staff report are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-51: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 85.87 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 85.87 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located in the 3200 block of Orchard Heights Road NW, west of BPA line be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 85.87 acres of property located in the 3100 and 3200 Block of and south of Orchard Heights Road NW and west of the Bonneville Power Line right-of-way to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 85.87 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located south of Orchard Heights Road NW and west of the Bonneville Power Line right-of-way. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential". Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Salem Suburban Rural Fire Protection District, and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated July 24, 2000, July 31, 2000, and August 7, 2000. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,

Department of Community Development

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-52: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 28.64 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 28.64 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located south of Landau Street and east of Reed Lane SE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 28.64 acres of property located south of Landau Street SE and east of Reed Lane SE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 28.64 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located at the intersection of Landau Street SE and Reed Lane SE. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential" and "Single Family Residential." Zoning of the property, if annexed into the City, would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

The annexation of 24.78 acres of territory was requested by petition of the property owner. The territory proposed for annexation also includes a 3.86 acre adjacent area, which would be completely surrounded by the City if the 24.78 acres is annexed. Salem ordinances would require the inclusion of this adjacent area in the annexation proposal to avoid the creation of an enclave subject to a separate annexation proceeding.

The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates. If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Salem Suburban Fire Rural Fire Protection District, and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated July 31, 2000, and August 7, 2000. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

Argument in Favor:

This proposal would annex property that already abuts the city on three sides. Annexation makes sense because the property is inside the Urban Growth Boundary and is within the first priority area selected by the City of Salem as the most logical and economical for urban expansion. The public investments in this area should be utilized rather than wasted or

duplicated elsewhere. Utilities are currently available, Pringle Elementary School and an adjacent public park are about 1,000 feet away, an additional elementary school site and park have been identified by the School District and the City nearby, a fire station is less than three minutes away, major arterials are close by, and the property is on an existing transit route.

If this property is annexed at this time, it will be added to Salem's tax base and the vacant portion will become the home of a low density, high-quality single family residential subdivision, similar to the nearby Woodscape subdivision that was developed by one of the applicants. The low density of this development will ensure that it will be compatible with other developments in the neighborhood, and can be accommodated by existing facilities and services.

(This information provided by John D. Miller)

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-53: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF .67 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of .67 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located west of Lancaster Drive SE and south of Mahrt Avenue SE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex .674 acres of property located west of Lancaster Drive SE and south of Mahrt Avenue SE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem CR (Commercial Retail).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 0.67 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located at south of Mahrt Avenue SE and west of Lancaster Drive SE. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Commercial." Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be CR (Commercial Retail). CR zoning would typically permit commercial retail, office, and related land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Marion County Fire District and the East Salem Service District and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff report dated July 31, 2000. Copies of the staff report are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-54: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 14.77 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 14.77 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located south of Kuebler Boulevard between 32nd Avenue SE and 36th Avenue SE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 14.77 acres of property located south of Kuebler Boulevard, North of Boone Road SE, between 32nd Avenue SE and 36th Avenue SE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 14.77 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located south of Kuebler Boulevard SE, east of 32nd Avenue SE and west of 36th Avenue SE. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Industrial." Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses. The industrial comprehensive plan designation could enable the zoning to be changed to industrial zoning in the future.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Salem Suburban Rural Fire Protection District and the Santiam Water Control District, and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated July 31, 2000 and August 7, 2000. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

Argument in Favor:

VOTE 'YES' FOR C-540

I am one of the owners of the property that is the subject of this annexation request. The property consists of 13.71 acres and is located in the southeast quadrant of the Kubler and Interstate 5 (I-5) Interchange. It is currently designated as Industrial on the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan, for industrial uses, and is surrounded to the north and east by industrially zoned and designated lands on the City of Salem Zoning maps and Comprehensive Plan. The Southeast Kubler Quadrant Study has further identified our property as being future industrial land, and once the property is annexed

to the City of Salem, we intend to use it with industrially oriented uses consistent with the comprehensive plan and zoning designations.

This request is one of those unique requests that does not involve wholesale expansion of the city limits but is merely redirecting the existing city limits boundary around an area that has been slated for industrial development. Currently there is a great need for large flat parcels of industrially zoned land like the one here to provide for manufacturing and/or commercial service oriented business that pay a living wage for Salem's residents. Utilities necessary to serve the subject project are ready and available with the area.

This is a good request. The City of Salem Planning Division, the City of Salem Planning Commission and the City Council support it. It is one that makes sense for the City of Salem and for its continued economic diversity.

Along with our family, I encourage you to vote "YES" on Annexation C-540.

Thank you!
Brad Pence

(This information provided by Brad Pence)

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-55: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 3.36 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 3.36 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located northeast of the intersection of Lynda Lane NW and Wallace Road NW be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 3.36 acres of property located east of Wallace Road NW, North of the intersection of Lynda Lane NW and Wallace Road NW to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 3.36 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located east of Wallace Road NW and north of Lynda Lane NW. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Multi-Family Residential." Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses. The multi-family comprehensive plan designation could enable the zoning to be changed to multiple family zoning in the future.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation that is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Salem Suburban Rural Fire Protection District and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated July 31, 2000 and August 7, 2000. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

Argument in Favor:

Approval of this measure will annex 3.36 acres of property located east of Wallace Road NW, north of the intersection of Lynda Lane NW and Wallace Road NW, to the City of Salem.

The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property will be zoned Residential Agriculture. Rezoning will then be requested to allow for development of an Assisted Living Community to provide housing and care for about 70 elderly residents.

This project will greatly increase the tax value of the property, which in turn will benefit the city and school district, while having very minimal growth impact on schools, transportation systems and parks.

There is a demonstrated need for quality housing and care for frail and incapacitated elderly persons in our community, including those with limited financial resources. This project will meet that need in the West Salem community, and will be an attractive and well-maintained facility to complement the neighborhood. It will be developed and operated by experienced, competent local people who have successfully operated similar facilities in Oregon for nine years.

(This information provided by J. Wallace Gutzler)

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-56: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 6.51 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 6.51 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located west of I-5 and east of Landau Street SE/Battle Creek Road SE intersection be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 6.51 acres of property located west of and abutting the Interstate 5 Freeway and east of the intersection of Landau Street SE and Battle Creek Road SE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 6.51 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located west of Interstate 5, and east of the intersection of Landau Street SE and Battle Creek Road SE. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential." Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation that is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Salem Suburban Rural Fire Protection District and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff report dated July 31, 2000. Copies of the staff report are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-57: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 4.78 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 4.78 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located north of Hayesville Drive NE and east of Flintlock Court NE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 4.78 acres of property located north of Hayesville Drive NE and east of Flintlock Court NE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 4.78 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located north of Hayesville Drive NE and east of Flintlock Court NE. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential." Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Marion County Fire District and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff report dated July 31, 2000. Copies of the staff report are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-58: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 23.4 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 23.4 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located adjacent to Eola Drive NW, south of Gehlar Road SE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 23.4 acres of property located south of Gehlar Road NW, north and south of Eola Drive NW and east of Doaks Ferry Road NW to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 23.40 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located north and south of Eola Drive and east of Doaks Ferry Road NW. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential". Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Salem Suburban Rural Fire Protection District, and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated July 31, 2000 and August 7, 2000. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:

City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-59: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 1.74 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 1.74 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located at the southwest corner of Lancaster Dr NE and Center St NE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 1.74 acres of property located at the southwest corner of Lancaster Drive NE and Center Street NE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem CR (Commercial Retail).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 1.74 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located South of Center Street NE and West of Lancaster Drive NE. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Commercial." Zoning of the property, if annexed into the City, would be CR (Commercial Retail). CR zoning would typically permit commercial retail, office, and related land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner. The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from the Marion County Fire District, the East Salem Service District, and the East Salem Water District, and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff report dated July 31, 2000. Copies of the staff report are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

Argument in Favor:

VOTE "YES" FOR C-546

My name is Pat Miklia. For the past 50 years I have owned and operated an unfinished furniture business from my land near the corner of Center and Lancaster Drive NE. It was known as "Miklia's Furniture" (remember the big rocking chair?).

My property is located in a unique part of Salem, where most of the land surrounding my property is within the city limits; my property is not. The property is zoned Commercial and is also designated on the City of Salem's Comprehensive Plan as Commercial. My land is already connected to city water, city sewer and has all the necessary city utilities and infrastructure installed to continue commercial use. So, annexation really costs the city little if anything; but the property will generate large tax revenues for the city to help pay for library, police and fire services throughout the city.

The reason for my request to annex this property at this time is to develop another retail commercial use.

This is not "new growth" as some would argue, rather it is simply a redrawing of the City's boundary around an existing commercial property that is currently connected to city services and has been so for over thirty years. This is a good annexation and I would urge your support in voting "YES" for C-546.

Thank you!
Pat Miklia

(This information provided by Pat Miklia)

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-60: A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION OF 9.03 ACRES OF PROPERTY

City of Salem

Submitted to the People by the City Council

A Measure Proposing Annexation Of 9.03 Acres Of Property

Question: Shall the property located adjacent to the intersection of Cordon Road NE and Juniper Street NE be annexed?

Summary: Approval of this measure would annex 9.03 acres of property located west of Cordon Road NE adjacent to the intersection of Cordon Road NE and Juniper Street NE to the City of Salem. The property is within the Urban Growth Boundary. Upon annexation, the property would be zoned City of Salem RA (Residential Agriculture).

Explanatory Statement:

If approved by the voters, this measure would result in the annexation of 9.03 acres of property to the City of Salem. The proposed annexation has been found by the City Council to meet all applicable land use laws of the State of Oregon and the City of Salem. By City Charter, this annexation must be submitted to the voters of the City.

The property proposed for annexation is generally located at on the west side of Cordon Road adjacent the intersection with Juniper Street NE. The entire property is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, and is designated in the Salem Area Comprehensive Plan as "Developing Residential". Zoning of the property if annexed into the City would be RA (Residential Agriculture). RA zoning would typically permit agricultural activities and single family residential land uses.

Development of property is not required at the time of annexation. When development occurs, it must conform to the zoning designation which is in effect at the time of development. The zoning of any property may be changed from time to time following notice and public hearing as required by law.

This annexation was requested by petition of the property owner(s). The annexation would place the property within the City of Salem, subject to the City's tax rates.

If annexed, the property would be withdrawn from Marion County Fire District and would thereafter receive fire and other services from the City of Salem.

Additional information and land use findings regarding the proposed annexation are contained in the staff reports dated July 31, 2000, and August 7, 2000. Copies of the staff reports are available for public review at the Salem Public Library, and at the Salem City Hall, Department of Community Development, 555 Liberty Street SE, Salem, Oregon.

Submitted by:
City of Salem,
Department of Community Development

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-61: GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

Woodburn Rural Fire Protection District

Referred to the People by the District Board

General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the District be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$8,500,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: A “yes” vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. This measure authorizes the District to issue general obligation bonds of not to exceed \$8,500,000, to refund existing capital improvement debt; acquire real property; construct, reconstruct, expand and improve District structures; acquire fire fighting apparatus and equipment; make related improvements as funds allow and to pay all costs incidental thereto. Bonds would mature over a period of not to exceed 25 years. The total projected estimated cost of the bonds, principal and interest, assuming serial bonds with a final maturity in 21 years at an average annual estimated interest rate of 5.526%, would be \$15,303,673. The revenue (the average annual tax levy assuming a level debt service) is estimated to be \$728,746. annually. The estimated property tax rate, based on the foregoing assumptions, would be \$0.68 per \$1000 of assessed value.

Explanatory Statement:

On November 7, you will be asked to approve an \$8,500,000 bond issue to provide funding for your fire district to make improvements necessary to keep up with growth in the district and changes in fire fighting technology.

What will your support cost?

The District estimates that the first year cost of the bond will be 68 cents per \$1,000 of assessed value, decreasing to a cost of 53 cents in its last year. That is a cost of \$102 in the first year on a home valued at \$150,000, or \$8.50 per month, decreasing to a cost of \$79.50, or \$6.63 per month.

What will your support accomplish?

The Woodburn Fire District supplies fire fighting and emergency response services from five fire stations to citizens in a 75 square mile area, including the Cities of Woodburn and Gervais.

It has been 25 years since you last approved a bond issue for Woodburn Fire. That bond provided financing for two fire stations in the City of Woodburn and the purchase of a fire engine and a ladder truck.

Since 1990 your fire district has reduced its operating budget by more than \$900,000 in response to the passage of Measure 5 in 1990 and Measure 47/50 in 1996. In 1991 the District had 17 fire fighting apparatus, 12 full-time employees, 70 volunteers and responded to more than 2,000 calls for assistance. In 2000 the District has 12 fire fighting apparatus, 11 full-time employees, 40 volunteers and will respond to more than 2,200 calls.

With the passage of the bond, your fire district will upgrade its stations, apparatus and equipment including, but not limited to these projects:

- Headquarters, James St, Waconda and the Broadacres stations will be remodled and/or updated to meet current and future demands.
- A training facility will be constructed. Firefighters need a training facility at which they can develop and practice their fire fighting skills. A training facility provides a controlled, safe environment in which to develop and maintain multiple skills.
- Fire fighting apparatus would be replaced. New fire engines would be purchased for the Headquarters, Gervais and James St. stations.
- A new ladder truck would be purchased to replace the one purchased 25 years ago, which is showing its age and is costly to maintain. The ladder truck will be used to rescue people from structures, elevate a large water stream to combat fires and to get firefighters onto the roofs of structures to release smoke and heat.
- Purchase two “tenders” to haul water to fires in those areas without fire hydrants.
- The Gervais Station will be replaced. A new station will allow the district to house firefighters in Gervais 24/7, if needed, and will provide for better response time both in Gervais and district wide.

Submitted by:
Kevin Hendricks, Fire Chief
Woodburn Fire District

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-62: PARKS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

City of Woodburn

Referred to the People by the City Council

Parks And Community Facilities General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the City be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$5,000,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: A “yes” vote on this measure is a vote to increase taxes. This measure authorizes issuance of general obligation bonds of not to exceed \$5,000,000, to acquire property; construct, equip and furnish a City community center; construct and renovate City park and recreational facilities; construct parking and related improvements; and pay all costs. The total cost is estimated to be \$7,000,000. The City plans to apply for federal and state loans and grants and use other City revenues to pay the balance of the cost. Bonds would mature over a period of not to exceed 20 years. The total projected estimated cost of the bonds, principal and interest, at an average annual estimated interest rate of 5.75%, would be \$8,955,846. The revenue (the estimated average annual tax levy assuming level tax rate), is estimated to be \$471,360 annually. The estimated average property tax rate, based on the foregoing assumptions, would be \$0.46 per \$1000 of assessed value.

Explanatory Statement:

In November of 1999, the City of Woodburn adopted the Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan Update. This Update recommends extensive renovations to Woodburn’s parks and replacement of the Woodburn Community Center.

In response to the Update’s conclusions, the Woodburn Recreation and Parks Board conducted a seven-month community engagement project. To complete this project, the Board held public and Town Hall meetings, convened a

focus group and surveyed the community to shape a vision for improving city parks and developing a new community center.

Based upon this vision, the City Council adopted a strategy to fund a new community center and park improvements. This strategy proposes funding through this \$5 million bond and \$2 million from various other sources including grants, sale of city property and Systems Development Charges. Below is a summary of the projects this strategy will complete.

COMMUNITY CENTER

The Woodburn Community Center is 48 years old. The Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan Update cites that the building is marginal in size to support current recreation programs and lacks adequate parking. The building is not accessible to disabled people. About 25% of the building's floor space is on a second floor with no elevator. This bond seeks to develop funds to replace this aging facility.

The new building will accommodate current Community Center activities including the RSVP and senior nutrition programs. It will also host adult and youth recreation programs, rentals, special events and conferences. The new building will be located at either Centennial Park or at the Community Garden grounds on Park Avenue near Legion Park. It will include:

- 300-350 Seat Performing Arts Theater
- 250 seat Banquet Room w/Kitchen
- 7,200 sq. ft. Exhibit/Recreation Pavilion
- 2,500 sq. ft. Activity Room
- 1,250 sq. ft. Teen Center

PARK IMPROVEMENTS

The Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan Update inventoried the physical condition of Woodburn's parks and concluded that the facilities outlined below are below standard or should be upgraded or replaced. Funds for renovation are limited since the City's Systems Development Charges can only be used to expand capacity. General Fund resources for renovation are limited because these monies are used for maintenance and operation. This bond seeks to develop funds to renovate City parks.

- Burlingham
1,500 sq. ft. Activity Center
Irrigation
Drainage
Playground
Park Amenities
Security Lighting
Paths
- Centennial
Athletic Field Lighting
- Heritage
Disabled Access Path
- Legion
Irrigation
Drainage
Park Amenities
Security Lighting
Playground
Paths
- Skate Park
Site to be determined
- Nelson
Irrigation
Drainage
Playground
Park Amenities
Security Lighting

Paths
• Senior Estates
Irrigation
Park Amenities
Security Lighting
Paths
• Settlemier
Irrigation
Drainage
Park Amenities
Security Lighting
Parking
Paths

The estimated cost of the proposed bond measure is 46¢ per \$1,000 of assessed property value. This means that if voters approve the proposed measure, owners of property assessed at \$100,000 will pay about \$3.83 a month for 20 years and property assessed at \$150,000 will pay about \$5.75 a month for 20 years to finance improvements these bonds will fund.

Submitted by:
John C. Brown, City Administrator
City of Woodburn

Argument in Favor:

We have a vision for Woodburn's park facilities:

- Neighborhood parks with green grass, picnic tables, and playground equipment. Irrigation systems that save labor costs and keep the park looking great. Security lighting. Drainage into the storm drain system, not mud puddles or neighbors' back yards.
- A complete Centennial Park, with ball fields, lighting, parking and restrooms. Cleaned up and upgraded Legion and Settlemier Parks.
- A skate park for our kids.
- A community center we can be proud of. Finally, enough parking and room for people of all ages. Space for meetings, banquets, classes, and recreation. A 350-seat performing arts center.

This vision can become a dream come true if you vote "Yes" on Ballot Measure 24-62. For \$100 or less per year for an average homeowner, we can build a real community center, improve our parks, upgrade our neighborhoods, and offer enhanced recreational opportunities. We believe that's a bargain.

Our Parks staff and volunteers have done a great job using existing tax dollars, getting grants and contributions, and managing developer fees to maintain existing facilities and build new ones. Still, they play a daily game of catch-up. Our current community center needs thousands of dollars in repairs, has large areas not accessible to the public, and only has 9 parking spaces. Most of our parks are many years old and weren't designed to take today's level of wear and tear. A community of almost 20,000 people has needs that the Woodburn of 50 years ago could not dream of.

We can enjoy terrific parks now and lower costs in the future if we make a financial commitment to our parks today. With revenue from the park bond, we can catch up on deferred maintenance, replace obsolete facilities, and create a system worthy of the people who use it. We believe that's a bargain at any price.

Please vote "Yes" on Ballot Measure 24-62!

(This information provided by Kathy Figley, Treasurer, 21st Century Parks for Woodburn)

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 24-63: MARION SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT PERMANENT RATE LIMIT

Marion Soil and Water Conservation District

Referred to the People by the District Board

Marion Soil And Water Conservation District Permanent Rate Limit

Question: Shall the District have a permanent rate limit of \$.05 per \$1000 assessed value beginning fiscal year 2001-2002?

Summary: This permanent rate limit will enable the Marion Soil and Water Conservation District to provide water quality improvement technical assistance to District residents, cities, special districts, and watershed councils within the District. Requests for this assistance have increased due to mandates of the Federal Clean Water Act and the Endangered Species Act, but the District does not have staff to provide the assistance and has decided to ask the voters for a permanent rate limit.

The permanent rate limit would cost the owner of a \$100,000 home \$5.00 a year and would yield approximately \$590,000 a year to the District. With this money the District would hire technicians to help individuals and groups with planning, design, construction and monitoring of projects to prevent and reduce soil erosion and other pollutants entering waterways.

Explanatory Statement:

The Marion Soil and Water Conservation District (District) is asking voters within the District for a new permanent rate limit of .05 cents per \$1000 assessed value. The \$590,000 annual income from this permanent rate limit would enable the District to provide soil and water conservation technical assistance to urban and rural residents of the District, and to cities, special districts, and watershed councils in the District.

Since the Dust Bowl days of the 1930s, farmers in the District have been able to get help on soil erosion and water conservation problems from the federally-funded Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). The District provided administrative services to the local NRCS staff. The Federal government has reduced staff to the point the present staff has no time for landowners not in a federal program. The District has attempted to fill the void with employees funded by the state and county.

In the past two years requests to the District for technical assistance have multiplied. Feeling the pressure exerted by the Federal Clean Water Act and the Endangered Species Act, not only farmers, but cities, special districts and watershed councils are all requesting technical assistance from the District. Unfortunately, these requests come at a time when funding is limited from existing sources. Grants are available only for projects, not for ongoing technical assistance. County budget, affected by property tax limitations, are strained to provide financing for the District. Funding from State of Oregon has been cut almost 30% over the last two years. As a consequence, the District finds itself with funding for only 2 1/2 employees to provide the technical assistance once provided by 21 federal employees.

To deal with its lack of stable funding, the District has decided to proceed with the development of its own permanent rate limit. If voters approve the permanent rate limit, the District will hire additional employees to provide soil and water conservation technical assistance for the Oregon Plan, Senate Bill 1010 Plan, Endangered Species Act, Watershed Health, and Water Quality Monitoring expertise, as well as, administrative and coordination of support services.

The Marion Soil and Water Conservation District Board stress their commitment to offer technical assistance to all residents of the district. Pollution clean up by urban and rural residents will be required by the Clean Water Act and the Endangered Species Act. Most cities and special districts do not have the expertise to deal with soil and water conservation matters such as identifying soil types, controlling soil erosion, managing fertilizers and pesticides, designing efficient irrigation systems, designing vegetative strips to catch pollutants before they reach streams, and designing vegetation restoration along streams and flood plains. These are the services the District could provide to all its residents.

Submitted by:
Jeanne Fromm, District Chair
Marion Soil and Water Conservation District

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 22-86: FIVE-YEAR LOCAL OPTION TAX FOR OPERATION

Mill City Rural Fire Protection District

Referred to the People by the District Board

Five-Year Local Option Tax For Operation

Question: Shall District be authorized to impose \$0.63 per \$1,000 of assessed value for operations for five years beginning in 2001-2002? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: The Mill City Rural Fire Protection District will use tax revenue from this measure to establish a paid Chief position. Currently the District is operating without a paid Chief. The proposed rate will raise approximately \$42,000 in 2001-2002, \$43,200 in 2002-2003, \$44,600 in 2003-2004, \$45,900 in 2004-2005, \$47,200 in 2005-2006.

No Explanatory Statement was submitted for this Measure.

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

MEASURE 27-43; POLK COUNTY FIRE DISTRICT #1 GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND AUTHORIZATION

Polk County Fire District #1

Referred to the People by the District Board

Polk County Fire District #1 General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the District be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$1,900,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: This measure authorizes the District to issue general obligation bonds of not to exceed \$1,900,000, to finance capital construction and improvements in the District, including but not limited to the following:

- Construct and furnish a multi-purpose training facility;
- Construct a maintenance facility;
- Remodel two fire stations;
- Upgrade and replace fire apparatus; and
- Site improvements and issuance costs.

The Bonds will mature in 21 years or less from the date of issuance and may be issued in one or more series.

No Explanatory Statement was submitted for this Measure.

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

