

May 16, 2000 Voter Pamphlet

Marion County Commissioner No. 3

Bill Burgess : Marion County Commissioner Position 3

OCCUPATION: Pharmacist

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Pharmacist, 1976-present; electrical construction; farm worker; pizza delivery manager; juvenile group home worker.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Lewis and Clark College, Master of Public Administration (Outstanding Graduate), 1995; Oregon State University, Bachelor of Science-Pharmacy, 1976.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Salem-Keizer Public Schools Bond Steering Committee, 1999-present; Salem City Council 1990-1998, President 1998; Salem Budget Committee; Task Forces: Water and Sewer (Chair); Fire Services; Police Services; Transportation. Committees: Financial Audit (Chair); Legislative; Boards and Commissions Appointments; Marion County Solid Waste Committee. Boards: Salem Area Ambulance Services (Chair); Marion County/Salem Computer Center; Marion, Polk, Yamhill County Pharmacy Association, (President); Liberty-Boone Neighborhood Association, (Chair).

MY PLEDGE: I will protect and enhance our quality of life in Marion County, as I did for over eight years on the Salem City Council. I will use an open, inclusive approach to support families, strengthen juvenile justice, and protect farmland, forests and watersheds. I will help find creative solutions to emergency services, solid waste and road maintenance problems.

My record as an elected official demonstrates leadership in the following areas:

Police...30 additional police officers ...gang intervention...detox center.

Emergency Response...15 additional paramedic/fire fighters ...improved response time to South Salem...earthquake resistant 911 center.

Schools...flashing lights and sidewalks for children near schools ...police liaison continuation ...after-school programs.

Water ...removal of mining waste...US Forest Service memorandum of understanding...Aquifer Storage & Recovery System.

Waste ...increased curbside recycling and composting/reduced air pollution.

Land use...expanded citizen notification area ...landscape standards...
enhanced development requirements...transportation plan standards
...Urban Growth Management Plan improvements...increased school-city communication.

Parks & Culture...park land acquisition and development...Riverfront Park...Marion Square Park ...Elsinore Theatre restoration...Rees Park.

Human Rights...Human Rights Commission preservation ...balanced youth's travel and association rights with youth and community safety.

Economy...urban renewal...infrastructure improvements...economic development zones...Salem Economic Development Corporation ...Salem Convention and Visitors Bureau.

(This information furnished by Bill Burgess and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Mike Ryan : Marion County Commissioner Position 3

OCCUPATION: Marion County Commissioner.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Business and Technology Manager, Department of Corrections; Executive Assistant, State Treasury; Administrator, Senate Republican Office; Manager, Consumer and Business Affairs, Department of Justice.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: San Francisco City College, A.A. Degree, Hotel and Restaurant Management; Denver University; San Francisco State University, B.A. Degree, Broadcast Communication Arts.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Chair, Board of Commissioners; Chair, Public Safety Coordinating Council; Chair, Data Center Board; Chair, Jobs Council Board; Vice-Chair, Economic Development Board; Treasurer, Senior Services Foundation; Member, Cable Regulatory Commission; Law Enforcement Services; Budget Committee; Housing Authority; and Legislative Committee on the State Fair.

Mike Ryan...Positive Leadership for Marion County

Swift, Sure, Compassionate Juvenile Justice. "Our community's public safety future must include an expanded juvenile justice facility. We have to create a justice system that combines immediate sanctions with a compassionate hand up for youth seeking help."

Cost-Effective Year 2000 "E-Government" Technology. "Information system innovations will make County programs and services more accessible and should save residents time by using computer technology. Instant, accurate information will aid fire and police emergency responses."

Growth Management and Our Community's Quality of Life. "Managing our growth future is one of our most critical challenges. It won't be easy. However, I try to resolve Marion County problems by rolling up my sleeves and getting involved. Consensus-building is hard work sometimes. Our quality of life demands this type of hands-on leadership."

Clear Public Policy Direction at a Price Taxpayer's Can Afford.

"The new Juvenile Justice Center will be built and operated within existing tax resources. I'm ready to tighten our budget to reflect this public safety priority."

"The \$30 million Courthouse Square project is now on time and under budget."

Dear Marion County Voters:

I look forward to every day on the job. I'm dedicated to continue doing my homework on issues, being an involved decision-maker, and listening to advice and constructive criticism. I would be honored to continue serving as your Commissioner.

Sincerely,

Mike Ryan

North Marion County Justice of the Peace

Janice D. Zyryanoff : North Marion County Justice of the Peace

OCCUPATION: Justice of the Peace; Municipal Judge; Attorney at Law

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Attorney at Law in Woodburn since 1980

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Cleveland State University, John Marshall College of Law, Juris Doctor, 1978; Kent State University, Graduated with a B.S. in Education with Honors, 1969.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: March 1, 1981-present, Municipal Judge for the City of Woodburn; January 6, 1987-present, Justice of the Peace for North Marion County.

Being a Justice of the Peace requires the skill to treat people with respect while maintaining the dignity of the courtroom and enforcing the laws of the State of Oregon. This traffic court is usually the general public's only contact with the judicial system. If you should have to appear in traffic court that experience should make you feel that you were treated fairly and with consideration. Justices of the Peace serving their local communities should be accessible to all people who have business before the court. Each individual needs to be treated equally and fairly before the law.

In civil cases, the small claims department gives citizens a place to have their small claims heard locally. I believe that all such cases should be heard within 45 days after the claim has been served on the opposing side. Everyone deserves the right to speedy justice.

As Justice of the Peace, my goal is to be firm but fair to all those who appear in court. I want to give each person an opportunity to speak in court and time to plead their case, while at the same time I uphold the laws of the state.

(This information furnished by Janice D. Zyryanoff and is printed exactly as submitted.)

City of Salem Mayor

Aurora Lopez : City of Salem Mayor

OCCUPATION: Published Writer; State of Oregon

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Medical Specialist; Purchasing administrator; Paralegal; Tax analyst; Published Writer

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Liberal Arts with emphasis in Public Administration

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Community Action Committee-City of Montclair, California; Special aide to Congressman Jim Lloyd-Ontario, California; Community Liaison for Herb Hafif for governor.

We believe in government for the People and by the people. We should keep in mind that local government should be in the hands of the people and away from professional politicians.

All municipal campaigns should be run on the basis of character and morality. Campaigns should be free from racial prejudice; they should always be color blind and accessible to all. Think of it this way. This country is like a big house of many colors, and we all reside in it. "A house divided against itself cannot stand (Abraham Lincoln)." I ask of you for unity so we can experience responsible growth and integration.

Let us become role models for our children, so that they in turn can inherit a better place to live than the one we did from our parents. Let us give our children good and practical after school programs with activities that will channel their energy productively and with a purpose.

Thank You

(This information furnished by Aurora Lopez and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Mike Swaim : City of Salem Mayor

OCCUPATION: Mayor of Salem; Attorney (family practice) – 29 years.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: US Air Force Security Service Foreign Language Interpreter; Municipal Park Director; University Loan Officer; Willamette University – Constitutional Law

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Bachelor of Arts – UCLA, 1967 (Political Science); Master of Arts – UCLA, 1968 (International Relations/Public Administration); Juris Doctor – UCLA, 1971

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Mayor of Salem, Second Term; Chaired Salem Downtown Development Advisory Board: 1995; Chaired Salem Core Area Master Plan Task Force: 1995; Chaired Salem Parks and Recreation Advisory Board: 1985, 1986; Served as Salem Hearings Officer Pro Tem; Served on Salem-Keizer 24J Budget Committee; Served on Governor’s Task Force for Salmon and Steelhead Recovery; Commissioner, Capitol Planning Commission

As your Mayor, I have listened when you told me which issues are important to you. As your representative on council, I have advocated for your positions on those issues, while insuring that all sides of each issue were heard on the council floor.

When I ran for Mayor last time I promised you that I would work for quality growth management, protection of our clean water supply, and support of our youth.

As your Mayor, I have:

- Championed protection of Salem’s water supply
- Worked to see that new development pays its fair share
- Negotiated a no-cost lease of State property for youth ball fields with Representative Courtney
- Helped finish Marion Square Skateboard Park
- Saved Salem’s Riverfront Park Christmas Tree Lighting Celebration
- Established a tree ordinance to protect trees in our City
- Created a citizen task force on sidewalks
- Convened a broad-based citizen task force to discuss possible “Salem Futures”

If re-elected, I will work to:

- Create a comprehensive after-school activity program for all school-aged youth
- Promote a Salem Library Development plan that assures adequate funding and a fair sharing of costs with non-Salem users
- Create an economic plan that ensures sustainable quality growth
- Defend each citizen’s right to be treated with respect
- Establish an Environmental Commission to protect Salem’s livability

(This information furnished by Mike Swaim and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Glenn Wheeler : City of Salem Mayor

OCCUPATION: Fleet Manager, Withnell Dodge

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Automotive manager; small business owner; livestock brokerage

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Elmira High School, Elmira, Oregon; Lane Community College

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Salem City Council, Ward 8, 1995-Present; Chair, Salem Charter Revision Committee, 1996; Chair, Salem Budget Committee

Community Service: West Salem Neighborhood Association; Salem Rotary; West Salem Business Association; Salem City Club; West Salem Little League

Family: Married to Margaret for 27 years, one daughter

COMPARISON ON KEY ISSUES WHEELER SWAIM

-Opposes Higher Taxes and Fees YES NO

-24-year resident of Salem YES NO

-Trial Lawyer NO YES

-Voted To Raise Cost of Natural Gas/Electric NO YES

-Voted To Look at Taxing Movie Tickets NO YES

-Voted to Look at Taxing Meals at Restaurants NO YES

GLENN WHEELER: A MAYOR SALEM CAN BE PROUD OF

- Glenn Wheeler wants solutions to our traffic problems.
- Glenn wants more creative partnerships with city, county and state on improving our schools.
- Glenn will focus on neighborhood safety, especially juvenile crime.
- Glenn will use his strong sense of family to find new solutions to helping local people in need.

“Glenn understands the needs of our community and has always been a big supporter. I know he will make an excellent Mayor.”

Vera Moehring, Polk County Meals on Wheels

“Glenn’s commitment to his position and to the community is first and foremost in his actions.”

Cheryl Bower, Assistant Principal, North Salem High School

“Glenn Wheeler is an honorable man that will make our citizens proud as Mayor. I have worked with him and appreciate his dedication and commitment to our city.”

Senator Gene Derfler (R-Salem), Senate Majority Leader

GLENN WHEELER

NEW LEADERSHIP FOR SALEM’S FUTURE

(This information furnished by Friends of Glenn Wheeler and is printed exactly as submitted.)

City of Salem Councilor, Ward 1

Kasia Quillinan : City of Salem Councilor Ward 1

OCCUPATION: Attorney-owner Neighborhood Law Office in Ward 1.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Judge, Workers' Compensation Board, 1982-1994; Bonneville Power Administration, Assistant General Counsel for rates and regulations, 1980-1982; Public Utility Commissioner's Office, 1977-1979; Instructor, Business Law and French, Chemeketa Community College; Adjunct lecturer, Willamette University College of Law; state certified pro-tem judge, arbitrator and mediator.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: University of California (Berkeley), BA Anthropology, French minor (1971); Willamette University College of Law, J.D. (1979).

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Chair, Northeast Neighbors (NEN); member, Police Chief's Advisory Task Force; member, Chapter 64 Task Force to rewrite neighborhood association ordinances; founding chair, Common Ground/Common Action- coalition of community activist groups; member Salem Downtown Core Redevelopment Task Force; participant NEEDS (Neighborhood Environmental Design Study).

Community Involvement: Renovation of historic Buckner house, Salem Art Fair volunteer, State Street and neighborhood parks tree planter, Neighborhood fall leaf haul and spring clean-up, Salem Area Family Counseling Service board member and president, board member and chair Salem Theater for the Performing Arts, house manager and volunteer for Pentacle Theater, door to door fundraiser for Mutt Mitt program, member CityWatch, signature-gatherer for voter annexation campaign, tenor, Salem Community Chorus and Festival Chorale, board member Mid-Valley Women's Crisis Service.

ENDORSED BY: Mayor Mike Swaim, Councilors Bill Smaldone, Bob Wallace, and Wes Bennett, Robert Lindsey (former Salem mayor), Oregon Women's Political Caucus, Oregon League of Conservation Voters, Pacific Green Party, Oregon Natural Resources Council, AFSCME.

My Priorities:

- Give Taxpayers control over growth and its costs
- Cost- benefit approach to major development issues, including cost of schools, fire, police and other necessary services
- Preservation of downtown core and support of local small businesses
- Protect local, air, water and soil quality including tree and farmland preservation
- Promotion of "village center" style commercial development to help alleviate traffic congestion
- Respect for and support of citizen involvement in municipal decision making.

(This information furnished by Kasia Quillinan and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Ann Gavin Sample : City of Salem Councilor Ward 1

OCCUPATION: Salem City Councilor, Ward 1; City Council President.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Teacher, retired.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Graduate, Colorado State University.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Salem City Council (Eighth year); Council President (re-elected second year); Salem Planning Commission; Salem Parks/Recreation board; Northeast Neighborhood Association board; Salem Neighborhoods, Inc. board; League of Women Voters, former president; Child Health Initiative board; Community Action Agency (Head Start) board; Oregon Housing and Associated Services (Wheels) board.

RE-ELECT AN EXPERIENCED SALEM CITY COUNCILOR WHO WORKS TO SOLVE PROBLEMS FOR US AND OUR CHILDREN. Ann Gavin Sample has:

- Broad-based grass-roots support.
- An open mind and makes decisions only after hearing all the information.
- Sponsored a responsible ballot measure for voter-approved annexations.
- Worked to find reasonable common ground in the community and on the City Council.

Ann Gavin Sample is a City Councilor with a real record of accomplishments for Salem. She voted to:

- Restore funding for the Senior Center, library hours and emergency social services.
- Improve run-down buildings in our neighborhoods.
- Relieve traffic congestion and promote alternatives to vehicle use.
- Regenerate the North Downtown area.

During the next four years, Ann Gavin Sample wants to help Salem make important decisions to:

- Manage growth and development.
- Fund City services such as the library, parks, police and fire.
- Deal with traffic congestion and neighborhood parking.
- Keep our downtown vibrant and healthy.
- Expand positive after-school programs for children.
- Implement the Police Strategic Plan.

I am committed to working directly with you to solve problems related to City services. I walked door-to-door to warn neighbors of flooding in 1996. I pushed to get stop signs and signal lights on busy streets. I worked with downtown merchants on crowded sidewalks in front of their businesses.

I am not a single-issue candidate; I am strong on environmental issues and social services. I regularly attend neighborhood association meetings and believe that we must keep our neighborhoods strong and liveable. We need the support of businesses that provide living wages to help us maintain quality of life in our neighborhoods.

(This information furnished by Ann Gavin Sample and is printed exactly as submitted.)

City of Salem Councilor, Ward 3

Matthew Minahan: City of Salem Councilor Ward 3

OCCUPATION: Nextel Communications

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Marketing Manager, Loescher Incorporated

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Chemeketa Community College

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Airport Advisory Commission – Appointed by Mayor Mike Swaim

Running for Salem City Council was a tough decision, proceeded by much thought. I decided to run for election from Ward 3, because the future of Salem is important to me and I wanted to play a part in the discussions that will create policy and form our City's future.

I have gained knowledge and experience of the different facets of our City, how they function, and whom they affect by serving various organizations. As a student of the Salem Area Chamber of Commerce program, *Leadership Salem* I have learned the importance of being a volunteer. I have served on the Capital Area Sunrise Rotary club, Boys & Girls Club, and the Classrooms for Kids Committee to name only a few. Mayor Mike Swaim appointed me to the Airport Advisory Commission for the City of Salem in January of 1999, and I currently serve the City in this capacity.

With this experience and knowledge I will work with the citizens to carry out a vision for Salem; to create a healthy environment, a robust economy, and safe neighborhoods with affordable housing. To accomplish this the council needs to be proactive in these areas. Looking ahead and preparing for the needs we can see in the streets, utilities and public safety is the best way to give taxpayers the greatest value for their money.

I understand growth is a complicated issue in Salem. My stance is that we need some rate of moderate growth for our economy to function, which will demand some serious planning. Having enough of the right available land will allow us to properly plan for growth, and by preserving prime farmland we will assure it will be an asset to the community.

-Matthew Minahan

(This information furnished by Matthew Minahan and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Brad A. Nanke : City of Salem Councilor Ward 3

OCCUPATION: Environmental Manager, Mitsubishi Silicon America (MSA) 1988-Present

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Field Engineer, Fullman Company, 1987-1988; Associate Engineer, Anicon Inc., 1983-1987; Sr. Process Technician, Advanced Micro Devices, 1982-1983; Electro-mechanical Process Technician, Applied Materials Inc., 1978-1982

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: West High School, Waterloo, Iowa, Graduated 1977; Mission College, Santa Clara, California, Electronics and Mathematics Courses; Certified Hazardous Materials Manager

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Student Body President, West High School, Waterloo, Iowa 1976-1977; Chair, Morningside Neighborhood Association, 1998-Present; President, Salem Neighborhoods Incorporated (SNI), 1999-2000; Salem Futures Citizen Advisory Committee, 1999-Present; Pringle Creek Watershed Council, 1996-Present

What I Have Done For Salem:

- As President of SNI and Chair of Morningside Neighborhood Association, I have worked to enhance neighborhood livability.

- As a corporate member of the Pringle Creek Watershed Council, I have helped organize educational events, citywide stream cleanups and watershed enhancement projects.
- As a member of a concerned group of citizens and the Morningside Neighborhood Association, I helped defeat the construction of a five-lane roadway next to Morningside Elementary School on 12th Street.
- As a member of the Salem Futures Project, I am involved with the comprehensive review of the City's long range vision about how to manage future growth to maintain our quality of life.
- I have enrolled in the OSU Extension Office "Master Watershed Steward" Program so as to be better prepared to address the requirements of the Endangered Species Act, which will have a significant impact on Salem.

What I Will Do:

- As a councilor for the City of Salem I will bring my expertise, as a member of the business community and as a leader in watershed council and neighborhood groups, to provide fair and balanced leadership aimed at improving and enhancing neighborhood livability, volunteerism and Salem's overall quality of life.

Endorsements as of Filing Deadline:

AFSCME, Local 2067

ONRC Action PAC

OLCV

(This information furnished by Brad Nanke and is printed exactly as submitted.)

City of Salem Councilor, Ward 5

Don Scott : City of Salem Councilor Ward 5

OCCUPATION: Salem City Councilor

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: 24 years experience as consultant to local government in Oregon; 1968-1971 Captain U.S. Army

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Bachelor of Science degree, Oregon State University

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Salem City Council 1997-Present; Salem City Budget Committee 1990-1997, Chairman 1995-1996; Public Officials Compensation Commission 1996-1998; Salem Sub-Committee on Fire Services, Vice Chair 1994-1996; Salem Sub-Committee on Employee Benefits, Chair 1992

DON SCOTT – LEADERSHIP FOR SALEM

DON SCOTT WILL WORK FOR:

Police and Fire:

- Enhanced community policing.
- Shorter response time for police and fire.
- Improved emergency medical capabilities.

Neighborhoods:

- Enhanced livability by ensuring that existing neighborhoods get a fair share of the money for maintenance and new construction.

Taxes:

- Controlling the cost of City government by improved accountability and better long range planning

"I served with Don Scott on the city budget committee for several years. Don has shown himself to be very well informed, insightful and considerate of the needs of North Salem.

"Don has regularly attended our Neighborhood association meetings and has gotten to know our concerns. When issues have arisen, he has been ready and able to step forward and take a leadership role. Don Scott has proven himself to be an effective advocate for North Salem.

"One of the characteristics the Salem City Council needs is balance and consideration of both sides of an issue. Don Scott has shown he can look at both sides of an issue and stand up for what he believes is right."

- John H. Beckfield

Former: Chair Northgate Neighborhood Association

Salem Budget Committee Member

Chair Northgate Urban Renewal Board

VOTE DON SCOTT – PROVEN LEADERSHIP FOR SALEM

(This information furnished by Don Scott and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Rick Stucky : City of Salem Councilor Ward 5

OCCUPATION: Teacher of students with Vision Impairments, 15 years

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: None listed.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: BS-Accounting, University of Evansville, 1980; MA-Special Education for Visually Impaired, University of Northern Colorado, 1986; Education Administration Program, Portland State University, 1994.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Salem Planning Commission, appointed 1996.

Community Involvement: Highland Neighborhood Assoc., 13 years; Stand for Children, member; Salem Senior Center Future Planning Team

For over a decade I have worked with you and many other dedicated people to make North Salem a better place to live, work and raise a family. Through our efforts we have made positive strides towards those goals.

It has been through the grassroots efforts of working with neighbors and business owners that we have seen positive changes taking place in our community. These changes have come from finding creative ways of addressing issues without throwing our tax dollars at the problem.

Examples of such efforts of which I have been a part:

Highland Summer Concerts, which have re-established our neighborhood park as a place for families.

The Paint Back Program, which requires convicted youth to remove graffiti using recycled paint.

Organizing Neighborhood Watch Programs that have made our city safer.

I am excited about our future.

We have seen North Salem neighborhoods become models for community involvement. North Salem has one of only two schools in Salem to receive an "Exceptional" rating.

It has taken a community effort to produce these accomplishments.

To continue to be successful, we need leaders with the desire to and experience of working cooperatively with all parts of our community. The programs above were successful because I worked with people like you. We had a common goal, we worked together, and we made a difference.

As your city councilor, I will continue my grassroots involvement. It is my goal and passion to see our city, and especially North Salem, reach its full potential of being a desirable place to live, work, and raise a family. I ask for your vote on May 16th.

(This information furnished by Rick Stucky and is printed exactly as submitted.)

City of Salem Councilor, Ward 7

Anna Braun : City of Salem Councilor Ward 7

OCCUPATION: Full time mom and volunteer lawyer.

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Professional land use planner and policy analyst.

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: Law Degree, University of Oregon, 1993; Master of Urban Planning, University of Oregon, 1993; Bachelor of Science in Economics, 1989; Bachelor of Fine Arts, 1986.

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: Current: Salem Futures Citizen Advisory Committee Member; Housing and Community Development Committee Member; City of Salem Sidewalk Task Force Member; South Salem Neighborhood Association Board Member and Traffic Chair. Former: City of Salem's Budget Committee Member; Salem Neighborhoods Inc. Secretary/Treasurer; Southeast Salem Neighborhood Association Chairperson.

Salem has many wonderful things. We have great parks, a good library and a vital downtown. Unfortunately, the city has a huge revenue shortfall that threatens to close our library and damage other vital public services in the next five years.

I have two young children. I want my children along with all Salem residents to live in a community with parks and recreational opportunities, a library, a healthy environment and safe streets. I want to find a solution. That's why I'm running for the Salem City Council.

If elected, I will:

- Stop public subsidies that promote new development. Development should pay its fair share for schools, parks and other city services.
- Carefully scrutinize our economic development program to insure that the public benefits.
- Explore progressive revenue sources that will save our library and other public services.
- Promote meaningful public involvement in city decisions.
- Protect our environment and preserve our neighborhoods.

Thank you for voting.

“Ward 7 residents will be well represented by a clear thinker like Anna Braun. We are lucky to have someone with her insight and abilities to vote for in May.”

Loren Collins, Former Ward 7 City Councilor

The Statesman Journal, February 20, 2000.

Endorsed by:

AFSCME Union Local 2067, Salem Professional Firefighters Local 314, Oregon Women Political Caucus-Capitol Chapter, Oregon Peace Works, Pacific Green Party Marion-Polk Chapter, CityWatch, ONRC Action PAC, Oregon League of Conservation Voters.

(This information furnished by Anna Braun and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Bob Ruck : City of Salem Councilor Ward 7

OCCUPATION: Certified Public Accountant and Managing Partner of Ruck & Martin, LLC, Certified Public Accountants and Consultants

OCCUPATIONAL BACKGROUND: Certified Public Accountant in Oregon since 1981

EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND: B.S. Oregon State University; M.B.A. Lincoln University, Iowa

PRIOR GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE: No elected positions. Substantial auditing experience for municipalities including Salem, Oregon, San Antonio, Texas, San Francisco, California and Tempe, Arizona

Bob Ruck has been a certified public accountant for 20 years. His tax consulting and auditing experience, with individuals, companies and major West Coast cities, qualifies him to solve the complex financial challenges confronting Salem.

“As Salem faces financial challenges in the future, we really need the experience and skills Bob can add to the City Council.”

- Dick Withnell,

1999 Salem First Citizen

Bob Ruck’s community involvement extends to youth activities including: City of Salem Basketball Coach; Leslie Little League T-ball Coach; City of Salem Girl’s Soccer Coach

“Bob is the kind of individual who works quietly but effectively to get things done.”

- Susie Lee, Educator

Bob Ruck is a longtime Salem resident and small business owner. His professional and civic involvement help him clearly understand the challenges our community faces. Bob sincerely believes that, with honest effort and hard work, we can find practical solutions to our challenges.

“Bob demonstrates a real commitment to improving the quality of life in the community.”

Bob Ruck demonstrates his commitment to the human side of our community. He dedicates many hours per week to volunteer activities such as:

United Way – 2000 Board Chair; 1999 Campaign Chair; Salem Area Chamber of Commerce – Board Member since 1997; Higher Education Task Force Chair; Fairgrounds Task Force Chair; Conference Center Task Force Member; Capital Area Sunrise Rotary – Treasurer; 1997 President; Queen of Peace Church – Auction Treasurer

“I believe in our community’s future and want to play a role in providing our children a great place to live. I want the City of Salem to be considered a champion among West Coast cities.”

- Bob Ruck

(This information furnished by Bob Ruck and is printed exactly as submitted.)

Measure 77: State of Oregon

Measure 78: State of Oregon

Measure 79: State of Oregon

Measure 80: State of Oregon

Measure 81: State of Oregon

Measure 82: State of Oregon

Measure 24-30: City of Mt. Angel

Referred to the People by the City Council

Measure Proposing Annexation Of 22 Acres To The City

Question: Should Mt. Angel annex approximately 22 acres of land northwest of the City between North Pershing Street and Mt. Angel-Gervais Road?

Summary: The City Council is referring this measure to the voters as allowed by state law in response to an application for annexation of land to the City.

The applicant, Mary Foltz Revocable Living Trust, requested annexation of approximately 22 acres of land northwest of the City between North Pershing Street and Mt. Angel-Gervais Road, Tax Lot 600 on Tax Map 06-1W-93C and Map 06-1W-40. The proposed parcel of land is contiguous to the City boundaries. No development proposed was submitted with the annexation request.

The major effect on the City of Mt. Angel would be to extend the City boundaries to include 22 acres of land. The property would require City services and would generate revenue through payment of property taxes and fees.

The City Council for the City of Mt. Angel has not determined whether the proposed annexation meets the applicable land use criteria for approval.

Explanatory Statement:

**A MEASURE PROPOSING ANNEXATION
OF APPROXIMATELY 22 ACRES TO THE CITY OF MT. ANGEL.**

APPLICANT: Mary Foltz Revocable Living Trust

OWNERS: Mary Foltz Revocable Living Trust

DESCRIPTION: Annexation of approximately 22 acres to the City of Mt. Angel

LOCATION: Northwest and contiguous to the City limits within the Urban Growth Boundary and between North Pershing Street and Mt. Angel-Gervais Road.

The applicant, Mary Foltz Revocable Living Trust, requested the City of Mt. Angel to annex approximately 22 acres of generally vacant land located contiguous to the City limits and within the Urban Growth Boundary to the north and west of the City. The property is currently designated Low-Density Residential in the Mt. Angel Comprehensive Plan and is zoned Urban Transition Farming by Marion County. There is one pre-existing residence on the parcel. No development is proposed for the land at this time. Upon annexation the property would be zoned Residential Single Family (RS). The attached map depicts the property.

The City Council for the City of Mt. Angel decided by motion on October 11, 1999 and by Resolution No. 808 to submit the question of annexation to the voters. The City Council has not determined whether or not the proposed annexation application is in compliance with the applicable land use criteria.

State law authorized the City Council to submit the question of proposed annexation to registered voters of the City.

The annexation would generate additional needs and cost for City services. The annexation would generate additional revenues through property taxes, permit fees, system development charges, and utility rates.

Submitted by:

Roberta Huddleston, City Administrator

City of Mt. Angel

Argument in Favor:

THE FACTS ON THE FOLTZ PROPERTY ANNEXATION

FACT: Dick and Madeline Foltz owned the property, adjacent to the city limits, for over 50 years.

FACT: Marion County and Mt. Angel classified the land for urban development many years ago.

FACT: Under an existing intergovernmental agreement, the Marion County Commissioners in Salem “presently retain responsibility for regulating land use on the land...until such lands are annexed by the city.”

FACT: Dick and Madeline Foltz applied for annexation in their waning years thus allowing their neighbors IN THE CITY to exercise final judgment on any proposal to develop the home site they loved so much.

FACT: None of the Foltz family wishes to live on the property nor are there any plans to develop the property at the present time.

FACT: The city planner and the city engineer recommended approval of the annexation.

FACT: If annexed, the property will pay property taxes to the City of Mt. Angel. Any development proposal will have to “pay its own way” for needed public improvements.

FACT: A “YES” vote assures Mt. Angel residents a strong voice in the future development, if any, of this property.

The Foltz Family Trust asks you to join in casting a yes vote on the annexation of this property.

VOTE YES, IT’S THE FAIR THING TO DO!

James E. Scott Julia A. Hockett Francis J. Foltz

Foltz Family Trust

*(This information provided by James E. Scott, trustee,
Julia A. Hockett, Francis J. Foltz, Foltz Family Trust)*

No arguments opposed to this measure were filed.

Measure 24-31: Woodburn Rural Fire Protection District

Referred to the People by the District Board

General Obligation Bond Authorization

Question: Shall the District be authorized to contract a general obligation bonded indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$8,500,000? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout. This measure authorizes the District to issue general obligation bonds of not to exceed \$8,500,000, to refund existing capital improvement debt; acquire real property; construct, reconstruct, expand and improve District structures; acquire fire fighting apparatus and equipment; make related improvements as funds allow and to pay all costs incidental thereto. Bonds would mature over a period of 20 years.

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

Measure 24-32: Drakes Crossing Rural Fire Protection District

Referred to the People by the District Board

Five-year Capital Projects Local Option Tax

Question: Shall Drakes Crossing RFPD impose \$50,000 each year for five years to purchase fire fighting equipment beginning in 2000-2001? This measure may cause property taxes to increase more than three percent.

Summary: This measure may be passed only at an election with at least a 50 percent voter turnout. The taxes needed for five years total \$250,000, which will be imposed in equal amounts of \$50,000 each year. The taxes will be used to replace fire fighting equipment. The trucks that need replacing and/or major repairs range in age from 25 to 47 years old. The

1978 Medical Rescue truck is the primary response vehicle for the district; it must be replaced or upgraded to accommodate necessary life support equipment. The 1958 Tanker is the districts primary water supply and needs extensive maintenance or replacement. It is estimated that the proposed tax will result in a rate of \$1.97 per \$1,000 of assessed value in the first year. The estimated tax cost for this measure is an ESTIMATE ONLY based on the best information available from the county assessor at the time of estimate.

Explanatory Statement:

The Drakes Crossing Rural Fire Protection District is asking voters of the district to approve a measure that would authorize the district to levy \$50,000 of local option taxes each year for a period of five years, a total of \$250,000.

Drakes Crossing Fire Department is an all-volunteer department, made up of approximately 25 firefighters and emergency medical technicians. These individuals commit significant time and resources to the training and vehicle maintenance necessary to provide excellent emergency service to the residents of the Drakes Crossing area.

This measure would allow the district to purchase needed emergency vehicles. These are the two that are most urgent: An Emergency Medical/Rescue vehicle and a Fire Tanker.

The Emergency Medical/Rescue vehicle will provide the primary emergency medical service to residents of the district. During the past five years, the department's volunteer emergency medical technicians responded to almost 200 medical emergencies, including fractures, heart attacks, and motor vehicle accidents. The current Emergency Medical/Rescue vehicle is a 1978 Chevrolet, which has become increasingly difficult to maintain and is in need of significant repairs, including an engine replacement. The proposed new vehicle, combined with the volunteers' ongoing training and the department's medical equipment, will ensure that personnel are able to rapidly and reliably respond to the emergency medical needs of local residents.

The Fire Tanker provides the water supply needed to fight medium- or large-sized fires. Unlike a city, the Drakes Crossing area has no fire hydrants, so a Tanker is an essential piece of equipment when fighting structure or wildland fires. The current 1958 Tanker is under-powered to handle the hill country of Drakes Crossing, cannot supply water at a sufficient rate, and relies on a portable pump for drafting (refilling) from water sources. These factors, combined, mean that the Tanker does not provide the kind of fast response needed to successfully fight a house, out-building, or serious wildland/forest fire.

It is estimated that these two vehicles will have a service life of at least 20, and as many as 30, years. Combined with the 1995 purchase of a primary Fire Engine, this levy, if approved, will ensure that fire department personnel are able to provide reliable and prompt service to local residents well into the 21st century.

Submitted by:

William D. Brown, Board Chairman

Drakes Crossing Rural Fire Protection District

No arguments in favor of or opposed to this measure were filed.

Measure 24-33: City of Salem

Referred to the People by the City Council

Amends Charter: Requires Voter Approval Of Annexations; Adds Exceptions

Question: Shall city charter be amended to require voter approval of annexations, with certain exceptions?

Summary: Amends city charter. Provides that annexation to the city may only be approved by a prior majority vote among the electorate, except when property to be annexed:

- (1) Is located entirely within the Urban Service Area; or,
- (2) Is funded or committed for a public use; or,
- (3) Is a lot or parcel less than three acres in size, provided the lot or parcel was created on or before May 16, 2000; or,
- (4) Is unincorporated territory surrounded on all sides by the city of Salem; or,
- (5) Has been petitioned for annexation on or before May 16, 2000; or,
- (6) Where the annexation is required to alleviate danger to public health pursuant to state law.

Explanatory Statement:

Measure 24-33, referred to the voters by the City Council as an alternative to measure 24-34, would amend the City Charter to require voter approval of annexations, with exceptions. Annexation of the exception areas would continue to require public notice, hearing and City Council approval, but would not be subject to the public vote requirement. The exceptions are:

- If the land to be annexed is located entirely within the city's Urban Service Area. The Urban Service Area is established by the City Council following public notice and hearing. It includes only those areas where required sewer, water, transportation, storm drainage, and park facilities already exist or are fully committed.
- If the annexation is for land which is funded or committed for a public use, such as for schools, streets, parks, fire stations, or water reservoirs.
- If the annexation is for lots or parcels less than three acres in size, when such lots or parcels were in existence on or before the date of the election (May 16, 2000).
- If the annexation is for unincorporated territory surrounded on all sides by the city (sometimes referred to as "county island" or "enclave" annexations).
- If the land has been petitioned for annexation on or before the date of the election (May 16, 2000).
- If the annexation is required to alleviate a danger to public health, pursuant to state law. This would allow an annexation without a public vote if the annexation were required to provide connection to public water or sewage systems for the elimination of health hazards, such as polluted wells or failing septic systems.

Submitted by:

Salem City Council

No arguments in favor of this measure were filed.

Argument Against:

VOTERS! BEWARE!

Don't be deceived by this developers Measure!

Measure 24-33 PROHIBITS voting on most annexations.

MEASURE 24-33, the developers' measure, IS THE LARGEST LAND GRAB IN THE HISTORY OF THE CITY OF SALEM. IT ACTUALLY PROHIBITS VOTING ON ALMOST EVERY ANNEXATION THAT WILL EVER BE PROPOSED IN SALEM. IT WILL CONTINUE TO CREATE SPRAWL.

The developers are on record throughout the state opposing our democratic right to vote on annexations. They don't want us to know what growth is costing us, or to decide where growth in our community should happen.

Why then would they file an "annexation vote" measure? Read their cleverly written list of "exceptions". Their measure actually PROHIBITS voter approval of almost all annexations. It protects the millions of dollars in subsidies they've been getting from taxpayers for decades.

Their measure (24-33) says we won't be able to vote on annexations within Urban Service Areas. That means that EVERY DEVELOPMENT THAT THEY ARE LIKELY TO PROPOSE WILL BE AUTOMATICALLY APPROVED WITHOUT A VOTE OF THE PEOPLE! And that's exactly what has been creating sprawl and wrecking our community. Their measure continues the process under which EVERY ANNEXATION BUT ONE, PROPOSED IN THE LAST 30 YEARS, HAS BEEN APPROVED.

Measure 24-33 is a special interest measure; it excludes the taxpayers from the process of deciding on how our city will grow, or how fast, or how much we want to pay for growth.

Before you vote, look carefully. The citizens' measure (24-34) is on the ballot because more than 100 citizen volunteers gathered signatures, and nearly 6,000 citizens signed it and want it on the ballot.

The developers' phony measure, (24-33) sponsored by City Councilor Ann Gavin-Sample, was placed on the ballot by only five people – Gavin-Sample and the other four developers' representatives on the council.

VOTE NO ON 24-33, THE DEVELOPERS' PHONY MEASURE:

THEN GO VOTE "YES" ON 24-34, THE CITIZENS' GENUINE ANNEXATION VOTE MEASURE!

(This information provided by Richard Reid, President, CityWatch)

Measure 24-34: City of Salem

Submitted to the Voters by Initiative Petition

Amends Charter: Requires Voter Approval Of Annexations

Question: Shall city charter be amended to require voter approval of annexations?

Summary: Amends City Charter: Requires that annexations to the city, including delayed annexations, be approved by voters of the city. Excepts annexations from voter approval requirement when annexation contracts were signed before the charter amendment, annexations are necessitated by failing septic systems or health hazards, or annexations are mandated by state law.

Argument in Favor:

VOTING IS CONSTITUTIONAL

Oregon courts have repeatedly ruled that citizens have the right to vote on annexations. Nothing is more fundamental than the right to vote on issues that affect our community's well-being.

Development interests and their elected allies constantly tell us that rampant growth is good for Oregon's communities, and that growth always pays for itself.

Voters in 28 cities have realized growth does not pay its fair share and have given themselves the right to vote on annexations. Salem's current financial crisis in maintaining public services speaks volumes about taxpayers being forced to subsidize a lower quality of life.

Myths & Facts:

- Oregon courts have ruled that voting on annexations does not violate either a city's comprehensive plan nor Oregon land use law.
- Voter annexations do not drive up the cost of housing. Portland and the Coast have some of the state's highest housing costs, and they don't have the right to vote on annexations. The marketplace and Oregon's natural beauty dictate housing costs.
- City governments are not allowed to deny annexations based on overcrowded schools; a developer-backed law passed in 1995 (HB-2501) makes that illegal.
- But -- when we're voting on annexations, WE can consider the impact on school overcrowding, and vote accordingly.

Some city officials who oppose voter annexation say that citizens are not well informed, that we're ignorant about growth issues. Then they turn around and praise voters' intelligence when developers want more of our tax money (bonds & levies), or want to be voted into office.

Annexation voting brings consensus, allowing us to agree on how fast we should grow, who should pay for growth, and how large we want our community to be.

The Willamette Valley will add a million more people by 2015. Voting on annexations is an essential step in the process of deciding Oregon's future quality of life. Vote YES for the CITIZENS' initiative (24-34) to gain that right.

(This information provided by Jeff Lamb, Chairman, Oregon Communities for A Voice in Annexations.)

"What we desperately need is a victory for community over individual self-interest"

Governor John Kitzhaber

State of the State Address, January 2000

VOTERS BEWARE!

This measure (24-34) is the REAL Voter Annexation Measure. More than 100 citizens circulated petitions for it; nearly 6,000 citizens signed them. It alone allows taxpayers to decide how fast Salem should grow, and how much we want to pay for growth.

AFTER OUR MEASURE QUALIFIED FOR THE BALLOT, THE DEVELOPERS' FAKE MEASURE (24-33) WAS FILED TO TRY TO DECEIVE VOTERS. Their measure contains a trap; it PROHIBITS voting on nearly all annexations. Governor Tom McCall warned us about "The Grasping Wastrels of the Land" whose measure (24-33) will continue their AUTOMATIC ANNEXATIONS OF THOUSANDS OF ACRES, COSTING SALEM TAXPAYERS HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS, without a vote of the people!

These automatic annexations have put our community \$400 million in the hole!

Look at the costs to taxpayers:

Each new house; about \$30,000

Each residential acre; about \$200,000.

New industrial land; nobody knows

New commercial land; nobody knows

HOWEVER, BY VOTING FOR THE CITIZENS' MEASURE (24-34), WE WILL GAIN SOME CONTROL OVER GROWTH.

Passing THIS measure (24-34), will force developers to reveal how many millions of dollars each annexation will cost taxpayers for schools, police, fire, libraries and other services. Those who profit from runaway growth will be forced to tell us what their schemes will cost us, BEFORE we vote on them.

ONLY THIS CITIZENS' INITIATIVE, (24-34) REALLY ALLOWS TAXPAYERS TO VOTE ON ANNEXATIONS! Hundreds of millions of our tax dollars are at stake in the contest between these two competing measures.

ONLY THIS CITIZENS' MEASURE (24-34) allows us to find out how many hundreds of millions we're sacrificing to subsidize growth, and ONLY THIS CITIZENS' MEASURE (24-34) gives us control over growth, and over the costs of growth.

Vote YES on THE CITIZENS' MEASURE (24-34)

Vote NO on THE DEVELOPERS' MEASURE (24-33)

(This information provided by Richard Reid, President, CityWatch)

Argument Against:

Salem Mayors for Responsible Planning

As former mayors of Salem, we have a deep commitment to, and love for, this fine city. That's why we are opposed to Ballot Measure # 24-34. We hope you will join us in voting no on Measure # 24-34.

The process for annexing land into the city limits is part of a long range plan, developed over many years with thousands of hours of staff time, and citizen input. The city has a Comprehensive Land Use Plan; an Urban Growth Boundary; a Growth Management Plan; Water, Sewer, Park and Street Master Plans; Intergovernmental Agreements, and a number of policies that define when and where annexations to the city limits are appropriate.

Asking that the citizens be made to vote on every annexation wastes time and money. For example, should the School District have to wait for an election to bring in a school site that the community wants and needs? Should someone on a failing well or septic tank have to wait, perhaps a year, to have a vote to annex their home to get water or sewer service? Does it make any sense for the City to incur the extra cost of an election to annex land it has purchased for a future city park? These examples point out the unintended consequences of this measure.

That's why the Governor of Oregon has expressed concern about voter approved annexations. That's why the Land Conservation and Development Commission, in charge of Oregon's land use laws, has opposed these measures. That's why the city's own planning staff advised the City Council against voter annexation.

Vote no on measure # 24-34

Kent Aldrich Sue Miller Tom Neilsen

Mayor 1976-82 Mayor 1983-88 Mayor 1989-90

(This information provided by Sue Miller)

The **Salem Area Chamber of Commerce** encourages a "No" vote on measure 24-34. Any measure that would implement the requirement of voter approval of annexations is bad public policy and will create many unintended consequences.

The Oregon planning system is predicated upon preserving farm and forest lands by directing growth in and around the cities. This measure will produce these unintended consequences:

n An increase in regional commuter traffic due to increased growth in smaller communities surrounding Salem

n The destruction of farm lands, negatively impacting the development of the region as a whole

Additionally, this measure will increase the costs of city government, and will destroy coordinated planning between city, county and state governments.

The Department of Land Conservation and Development provided testimony to the 1997 State Legislature stating that measures such as this will:

n Likely increase sprawl and loss of farmland

n May cause land to be used less efficiently

n Bypass a planning process in which Oregonians have invested a great deal of time, money, and energy.

Proponents describe voter annexation as a way to let people have a say in how their community will develop. **BUT WE ALREADY HAVE THAT SAY!** That's what Oregon's land use planning is all about: it is a proven process which involves each community in long-range, coordinated decisions about land, natural resources, and public services.

Elected officials, appointed citizen boards and commissions, and city staff are all held responsible for ensuring that development decisions, *including annexations*, are consistent with adopted public policies. Oregon land use law requires that citizens are provided notice and a right to participate in these development decisions.

This measure is bad public policy. It will create inefficiency in local government.

If you, as a voter, feel the need to support a modification to the current planning process, do not support this measure. It is too radical with numerous unintended consequences.

VOTE "NO" ON MEASURE 24-34.

(This information provided by Mike McLaran, Salem Area Chamber of Commerce)